_ [Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATE WESTERN DISTRICT		
2			
3	SUSAN SOTO PALMER, et al.,)	
4	Plaintiffs,)	
5	-vs-)) No.	
6	V) 3:22-cv-05035-RSL	
7	STEVEN HOBBS, in his official capacity as)	
8	Secretary of State of)	
9	Washington, and the STATE OF WASHINGTON,)	
10	Defendants,)	
11	And)	
12	JOSE TREVINO, ISMAEL G.)	
13	CAMPOS, and State Representative ALEX YBARRA,)	
14	Intervenor-Defendants.)	
15)	
16			
17	REMOTE DEPOSITION C	F SARAH AUGUSTINE	
18			
19	Thursday, Octo		
20	9:00 a.m. PST to	-	
21	Witness Location: Whi	te Swan, Washington	
22	REPORTE	D BY:	
23	Connie Recob, C		
24	Washington CC Oregon CCR N		
25	Utah CCR No. 1 connie@lakesider		

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES-REMOTE
2	
3	FOR THE WITNESS:
4	AARON MILLSTEIN K&L GATES
5	925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, Washington 98104
6	aaron.millstein@klgates.com
7	FOR PLAINTIFFS SOTO PALMER, et al., ON BEHALF OF CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER:
8	SIMONE LEEPER
9	ANNABELLE HARLESS ASEEM MULJI
10	BEN PHILLIPS - Legal Fellow CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER
11	1101 14th Street Northwest, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005
12	SLeeper@CampaignLegalCenter.org AHarless@CampaignLegalCenter.org
13	AMulji@CampaignLegalCenter.org BPhillips@CampaignLegalCenter.org
14	ON BEHALF OF UCLA VOTING RIGHTS PROJECT:
15	SONNI WAKNIN
16	UCLA Voting Rights Project 3250 Public Affairs Building
17	Los Angeles, California 90095 Sonni@UCLAVRP.org
18	ON BEHALF OF MALDEF:
19	DEYLIN THRIFT-VIVEROS
20	ERNEST HERRERA JAZMINE PARRA Marriage Parra Laural Dafanara and
21	Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund (MALDEF)
22	643 South Spring Street, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90014
24	DThrift-Viveros@MALDEF.org EHerrera@MALDEF.org
2 4 25	JParra@MALDEF.org
∠ ⊃	

			Page	3
1		APPEARANCES, continued:		
2	FOR	PLAINTIFFS, ON BEHALF OF MORFIN LAW FIRM:		
3	1 010	EDWARDO MORFIN		
4		MORFIN LAW FIRM, PLLC		
5		7325 West Deschutes Avenue, Suite A Kennewick, Washington 99336		
6		Eddie@MorfinLawFirm.com		
7	F'OR	DEFENDANT STATE OF WASHINGTON:		
8		ANDREW R.W. HUGHES Assistant Attorney General		
9		ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON Complex Litigation Division		
10		800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104		
11		Andrew.Hughes@ATG.Wa.gov		
12	FOR	INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS:		
13		BRENNAN A.R. BOWEN HOLTZMAN VOGEL		
14		Esplanade Tower IV 2575 East Camelback Road, Suite 860		
15		Phoenix, Arizona 85016 bbowen@@HoltzmanVogel.com		
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Case 3:22-cv-05035-RSL Document 191-1 Filed 05/24/23 Page 4 of 213

1		EXAMINATION INDEX	Page 4
2			
3	EXAMINATION E	37:	PAGE NO.
3 4	BY MR. THRIFT	-VIVEROS	5
	BY MR. HUGHES		150
5	BY MR. BOWEN		159
6	BY MR. THRIFT	-VIVEROS	176
7	BY MR. HUGHES		180
8			
9		EXHIBIT INDEX	
10	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
11	Exhibit 1	6/21/21 Meeting Minutes	64
12	Exhibit 2	Framework E-mails	101
13 14	Exhibit 3	11/21/21 Timeline of Redistric Commission Events	ting 112
15	Exhibit 4	11/15 Text Message	122
16	Exhibit 5	11/15 to 11/18 Text Messages	124
17	Exhibit 6	Handwritten Notes	138
18	Exhibit 7	11/24/21 Meeting Minutes	145
19	Intervenor-De	efendant Exhibit 1 3/11/22 Seattle Times Article	170
20			
21		WITNESS INSTRUCTED NOT TO	ANSWER
22		(None)	
23		INFORMATION REQUESTED	
24		(None)	
25		, · · · · · ·	

	Page 5
1	THURSDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2022; SEATTLE, WASHINGTON
2	9:00 A.M. PST
3	* * *
4	
5	SARAH AUGUSTINE,
6	having been sworn/affirmed on oath to tell the truth,
7	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as
8	follows:
9	
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
12	Q. Hi. Good morning, Ms. Augustine. Do you prefer if I
13	call you Ms. Augustine or Sarah?
14	A. You can call me Sarah.
15	Q. Okay. So my name is Deylin Thrift-Viveros, and you can
16	call me Deylin. I'm an attorney at the Mexican
17	American Legal Defense and Educational Fund known as
18	MALDEF, and we're representing the plaintiffs in the
19	Soto Palmer v. Hobbs litigation.
20	Are you familiar with the lawsuit?
21	A. I am.
22	Q. Okay. So please state your full name and address for
23	the record.
24	A. My name is Sarah Augustine. My address is 3500 Island
25	Road, White Swan, Washington 98952.

Page 6

- 1 Q. Okay. And have you ever been deposed before?
 - A. I have.

Q. Okay. So even though you've been deposed before, I like to go over some ground rules so that we're on the same page for this deposition. So to keep the record as clear as possible, I'd like to ask that you be mindful of only having one speaker at a time. I know sometimes there can be a lag with the Zoom or, you know, internet and everything, but I just like you to wait until I'm done with my question before answering.

And your lawyer might make objections as well. And I ask for him to wait for me to complete my question before objecting. And once your lawyer completes his objection, you must still answer the question that I asked unless your attorney clearly instructs you not to answer the question. And usually your lawyer is preserving the right to object to the question.

So the court reporter can only record verbal responses, so it's important that you answer out loud, clearly with words rather than just nodding your head or shaking your head.

Does that make sense?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And if you don't understand a question for any reason, let me know. I'll try to clarify it. But if

		Page 7
1		you do answer a question, I'll assume that you've
2		understood it.
3		Do you understand that?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	Great. So, yeah, at any point, let me know if you need
6		to take a break. We can take one. I just ask that if
7		you're able to, to not ask for a break while a question
8		is still pending.
9		Is that okay?
LO	Α.	Yes.
L1	Q.	So as you probably surmised, we are here to discuss the
L2		redistricting commission process and the decisions that
L3		were made throughout that process. And just to be
L4		clear, you're not a defendant in this case. There are
L5		no claims against you. We're taking depositions of the
L6		commissioners, of commission staff to get a better
L7		sense of how the legislative maps were created and the
L8		processes and metrics used in creating these maps.
L9		So in the State of Washington's initial
20		disclosures, you were identified as someone familiar
21		with the information received and considered by the
22		redistricting commission, the assessment of alternative
23		and draft map configurations and the approval and
0.4		transmission of the final mans to the Supreme Court and

25

to the legislature.

		Page 8
1		Would you agree with this description?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Okay. And do you understand that all of your responses
4		are being recorded?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	So you'll have an opportunity to review your answers in
7		a physical booklet after the deposition and make any
8		changes you deem appropriate, and then you'll sign it
9		under penalty of perjury.
10		However, if you make more than clerical changes,
11		like fixing a typo or something like that, I or another
12		lawyer may look and make comments on your changes at
13		trial, which could affect your credibility before the
14		judge or the jury. So it's just easiest to give the
15		best testimony possible today.
16		Do you understand that?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Great. And the court reporter has put you under oath,
19		which means that you're under an obligation to tell the
20		truth. And although we are in a somewhat informal
21		environment, that oath has the same force and effect as
22		if you were testifying in a court of law in front of a
23		judge or jury.
24		Do you understand that?
25	Α.	Yes.

		Page 9
1	Q.	Great. And then also, sometimes it might happen that
2		you give an answer as completely as you can in the
3		moment, but then later on you might remember something,
4		some information or you want to make a clarification
5		from a previous answer. So if that happens, feel free
6		to tell me that you'd like to add something to an
7		earlier answer, and then we can do it right then while
8		it's fresh in your mind.
9		Is that okay?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Great. And then lastly, if you don't know an answer to
12		a question, feel free to say so. We are entitled to
13		your informed estimate, but I don't want you to
14		necessarily guess at something. Like if it's a
15		timeline or something like that, if you can make a good
16		estimate, please do so. And if you don't know the
17		answer to the question, you can simply say so as well.
18		Is that okay?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Great.
21		MR. BOWEN: Deylin, before we get into a line
22		of question, can we get an objection by one party
23		preserves it for all? Does anybody disagree with that?
24		MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: We're all in agreement to
25		that.

1		Page 10 BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
2	0	
	Q.	So, Sarah, you mentioned that you were deposed before.
3		What lawsuit or what was the deposition in
4		connection with?
5	Α.	The deposition was in connection with the OPMA lawsuit
6		brought against the commission.
7	Q.	And do you recall when you were deposed?
8	Α.	I don't remember the specific date. It was in 2022.
9	Q.	Okay. Like in the spring, potentially, or winter?
10	Α.	In the spring.
11	Q.	In the spring, okay. Had you been deposed for any
12		other issue prior to the OPMA lawsuit?
13	Α.	No.
14	Q.	Okay. Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Okay. And what was was it one or multiple?
17	Α.	One.
18		MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.
19		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
20	Q.	One. And what was that in connection with?
21	Α.	It was in connection with a boundary dispute and the
22		current ranch where I live with my family.
23	Q.	Okay. To prepare for this deposition, did you meet
24		with anyone in person or by phone or Zoom in any way,
25		other than your counsel, to prepare?

1	7\	Page 11 No.
2	Q.	Okay. Did you meet with your counsel to prepare for
3	_	the deposition?
4		Yes.
5		Okay. And about how many times did you meet?
6	Α.	Once.
7	Q.	Okay. Did you discuss this deposition with anyone else
8		besides your counsel?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	And who did you discuss this deposition with besides
11		your counsel?
12	A.	I discussed it with my employer, because today is a
13		workday for me. So I scheduled to have this day off.
14		And I discussed it with my husband, asking him to care
15		for our child for this whole day including pick-up and
16		drop-off.
17	Q.	Thank you for making the time to come to this
18		deposition and making those arrangements.
19		And so nobody else you did not excuse me.
20		You did not discuss this deposition with anyone else
21		besides the people you mentioned?
22	Α.	No one else.
23	Q.	Okay. Did you review any documents in preparation for
24		this deposition?
25	A.	I did.

Page 12 1 O. And which documents were those? 2 A. I reviewed the documents related to the training that 3 we received, the commissioners received, and our 4 meeting on June 21st, 2021. 5 Q. Any other documents in preparation for this deposition? 6 A. No. 7 Q. And the training you received on June 21st, 2021, what 8 was that a training for? 9 A. It was a presentation by the person at the Attorney 10 General's Office felt to be the best expert to prepare 11 commissioners for following the Voting Rights Act. 12 Q. And when you say "following the Voting Rights Act," do 13 you mean in the process -- excuse me, in the process of 14 drafting the maps, ensuring compliance with the Voting 15 Rights Act? 16 A. Yes. 17 MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to the form. 18 BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: 19 I think we'll discuss that training a little bit 0. Okay. 20 later on in this depo. 21 So during the redistricting process, what 22 communication devices did you use in the business of 23 conducting the redistricting? 24 A. I was issued a commission laptop and a commission 25 phone, and I used both of those devices. There were

	Page 13
1	times when I went to Olympia, the office in Olympia,
2	and I worked with the independent staff that reported
3	to me in Olympia.
4	But I think I still I believe I still used my
5	the laptop that was issued to me. Although, I might
6	have looked on with other staff there in the Olympia
7	office.
8	And prior to receiving that laptop, I used my
9	computer at the Dispute Resolution Center in Yakima,
10	which was my employer at the time. And that was maybe
11	for four weeks. So that would have been
12	Q. So you were oh, excuse me.
13	What was that? Sorry.
14	A in January.
15	Q. Oh. So for about four weeks when you first started,
16	you did not have a laptop for redistricting, and you
17	used the computer at the Dispute Resolution Center?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. And as part of your duties on the commission,
20	did you use a specific e-mail address for redistricting
21	work?
22	A. I did.
23	Q. And what was that e-mail address, if you recall?
24	A. I'm embarrassed to say I don't recall specifically, but
25	I can give you an estimate of what I think it was.

Page 14

- Q. Okay. And if it's inaccurate, that's okay. But, yeah, what's your estimate?
- 3 A. I think it was sarah.washington at whatever the
- 4 extension is. I apologize. I don't really remember.
- 5 I could find that.
- 6 Q. Did you use a personal e-mail address to conduct
- 7 redistricting business?
- 8 | A. I did.
- 9 Q. And what was that e-mail address?
- 10 A. I used that e-mail address until I was issued an e-mail
- address from the redistricting commission.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. And I can tell you that address.
- 14 Q. Yes, please.
- 15 A. director@drcyakima.org.
- 16 Q. And once you received your quote-unquote official
- 17 redistricting e-mail address, did you use your personal
- e-mail address to do redistricting activities?
- 19 A. I believe that I did not. To the best of my
- 20 recollection, I did not use that e-mail address.
- 21 Q. Do you recall more or less when you received the
- official redistricting e-mail address?
- 23 A. I believe it was similar to the laptop receipt, so
- January 2021 perhaps, for three or four weeks.
- 25 Q. And you mentioned also you were issued a phone. Do you

		Page 15
1		recall when you received that phone for redistricting
2		activities?
3	A.	I don't recall the exact date. It came in a package.
4		So I received a phone and a laptop all in one package.
5	Q.	Okay. And did you use your personal phone for
6		redistricting activities prior to receiving that
7		package?
8	A.	I don't remember.
9	Q.	Okay. For calls or for text messages, do you remember?
LO	Α.	What I remember is the primary people I was
L1		communicating with in that time period were the staff
L2		of the so it would have been the chief of staff for
L3		the senate and this I don't remember what the title
L4		is for the house, but these were administrators who
L5		were trying to prepare me.
L6		So perhaps we spoke by phone. That could be. I
L7		recall that primarily we were using e-mail.
L8	Q.	Okay. And once you received your official
L9		redistricting phone, did you use your personal phone to
20		do redistricting activities?
21	A.	I did.
22	Q.	And about how frequently would you use your personal
23		phone for redistricting activities?
24	A.	Perhaps a handful of times if I forgot my redistricting
25		phone or if the battery was dead. Not often.

		Page 16
1	Q.	Did you use your personal phone to send text messages
2		relating to the redistricting?
3	Α.	I did.
4	Q.	Okay. And that kind of leads to my next question, but
5		were you asked to conduct any searches for documents in
6		response to public records requests?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	And did you produce those documents?
9	Α.	I did.
10	Q.	Okay. And did you include the text messages from your
11		personal cell phone related to redistricting
12		activities?
13	Α.	I did.
14	Q.	Did you use any other messaging platforms besides
15		e-mail or text messages relating to redistricting work?
16	Α.	No.
17	Q.	Okay. And when you produced or when you excuse
18		me.
19		When you did a search and production for
20		redistricting work sorry. Excuse me.
21		When you did a search for production in response to
22		public records requests related to your redistricting
23		work, who asked you to produce those documents?
24		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
25		THE WITNESS: Lisa McLean.

	Page 17
1	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
2	Q. Okay. And Lisa is the executive director of the
3	redistricting commission; is that correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. And how many times did Lisa McLean ask you to
6	conduct a search of your documents?
7	A. I don't recall exactly. It's possible that there was
8	more than one request.
9	Q. Okay. Did excuse me. Let me strike that.
10	What guidance did Lisa McLean give to you in
11	regards to searching your documents and records for
12	this production?
13	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
14	THE WITNESS: She asked me to fully comply
15	with the request that she was processing. So she would
16	describe the request and provide a timeline to produce
17	the records.
18	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
19	Q. Okay. When you first started as a commissioner, or I
20	guess at any point in the commission process, were you
21	given any guidance related to retaining communications
22	related to the redistricting work?
23	A. Can you please repeat the question?
24	Q. Yes. Either from the excuse me. Let me strike
25	that.

	Page 18
1	At any time in the redistricting process, were you
2	giving guidance on retaining communications related to
3	the redistricting work?
4	MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form. And I'll just
5	say, to the extent it's asking you for any
6	communications you've had with counsel, I'll ask you
7	not to answer on that but any other conversations or
8	guidance.
9	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry to be obtuse. I don't
10	understand the question yet.
11	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
12	Q. That's okay.
13	So I'm asking if at any point when you were serving
14	on the commission, did anyone tell you, it could have
15	been Lisa McLean or anyone else, about the process or
16	requirements to retain all communications regarding
17	redistricting?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. And who gave you that guidance?
20	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
21	THE WITNESS: I don't recall, but it was
22	certainly pertaining to the OPMA suit and this suit.
23	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
24	Q. Okay. I won't ask you that many more questions about
25	this but I just kind of wanted to clarify. Were you

1	C	only given guidance on retaining communications related
2	t	to the redistricting work after the OPMA lawsuit was
3	f	filed or after this lawsuit was filed?
4		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
5		THE WITNESS: I am having trouble with this
6	C	question for a couple of reasons: One is that it was
7	r	my intention to provide a clear record of everything we
8	C	did in this commission for the benefit of the next
9	(commission. That was always an intention. I struggle
10	t	to remember who advised me specifically to retain
11	1	records, and the specific requests I remember were
12	I	pertaining to these cases.
13	I	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
14	Q. (Okay. So you mention you had an intention to provide a
15	(clear record. What steps did you take personally to
16	á	act on that intention?
17	A.]	I asked for all of the minutes of our public meetings
18	t	to be archived, all of the reports that were generated
19	k	by the independent staff to be archived, for all of the
20	I	public comment that we received to be archived and for
21	á	a specific document on the process that we followed to
22	k	be drafted by Lisa McLean for posterity.
23	Q. <i>A</i>	And as far as you know, have all of those things that
24	7	you mentioned have all those things that you
25	r	mentioned been archived?

Page 20 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. And do you know who's in possession of the 3 archives? 4 A. I believe most of those documents are available to the 5 public on the archived website. 6 Q. Okay. Great. So even if it wasn't to prepare for this 7 deposition, have you reviewed any of the documents 8 filed in this case, for example, the complaint or 9 preliminary injunction motion? 10 A. I reviewed the complaint when it was issued. 11 Q. Did you -- excuse me. 12 Have you read any other documents filed in this 13 case? 14 A. No. 15 Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion on the complaint that was 16 filed? A. I have an opinion about the impact of the complaint as 17 18 it pertains to my role as the chair, and that opinion 19 was printed in the Seattle Times. 20 Q. And do you have any documents with you today? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Do you have any --23 A. Oh, I -- excuse me. I'll back that up and say I have a 24 file folder with the training that I described for you 25 earlier, which was held on June 21st.

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Page 21 1 Q. Okay. Do you have any documents open on your computer 2 right now? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Okay. Do you have any notes with you today? 5 A. Nothing. 6 Q. Okay. So I know you reviewed -- excuse me. You 7 mentioned you reviewed the complaint and you wrote the 8 op ed in the Seattle times. Have you discussed this 9 lawsuit with anyone aside from your attorneys? 10 A. I pause because I have discussed the process of 11 redistricting with many people over many months. 12 not recall talking about this lawsuit specifically with 13 anyone, to the best of my recollection. However, I 14 have spoken extensively privately and publicly about 15 the process of redistricting. 16 Q. Okay. So, yeah, you mention that you haven't spoken --17 but just perhaps to jog your memory, for example, have 18 you spoken with any of the commissioners, any of the other commissioners from the commission, regarding this 19 20 lawsuit? 21 A. Nothing comes to mind, but it is possible. I pause 22 because it has been a long time, many months. And if 23 there was discussion, it would have been cursory and

related to logistics. I don't recall having an

in-depth conversation with the commissioners about

24

25

Page 22

this.

- Q. Okay. And I guess, yeah, going to the op ed that you wrote in the Seattle Times, I did read it, but I would like to ask you to walk me through the events that led to your resignation.
- A. In the redistricting process, it was a priority for me as chair to engage as much public input as possible.

 And I believe, to the best of my knowledge, that we engaged more public input than in any commission in Washington State history, more than a million communications.

And I took that role very seriously, putting the voices of the public before the voting commissioners so they would make informed decisions on behalf of all the people of the state. That mattered to me to the extent that one of the very first things I did as chair was to get a values agreement from all four commissioners, and that value statement was read in every public meeting to show the affirmation of the collective values that the voters and the residents of Washington State could count on. And with that in mind, engaged as many people as possible.

One of the very first tasks after hiring an executive director was to hire an organizer. During the time of COVID, it was very difficult to have

	Page 23
1	interaction with the public. We created a strategy for
2	engaging as many voices as possible from the extremes
3	of political position all the way to the center, not
4	just parties, not just politically active people,
5	community members, using the public libraries, using
6	all manner of mechanisms, clubs, associations, chambers
7	of commerce to engage the widest range of people in
8	this process.
9	When I understood that the leaders of the
10	legislature in Washington State after the
11	legislature had affirmed the maps and they were the
12	law, my understanding is that they were not planning on
13	defending the law.
14	I the action that I felt I could take was to
15	resign at that time. Simply because the people I
16	believe I had created a social contract with, the
17	people who participated in the process, that those
18	voices would be included in the final product. It was
19	certainly my intention that the commissioners would be
20	accountable to that population.
21	And I believe, although this is simply a belief,
22	that the commissioners were responsive and responsible
23	to those voices. And for the state leaders to not join
24	our commission in defending what had been created, I
25	felt I was in the position where I could not accept

1	that.
2	Q. So it's very admirable all the outreach work. And I
3	know that there was a lot of time and effort placed
4	into it. Is it your understanding that the legislative
5	leaders instructed the commissioners excuse me. Let
6	me take that back.
7	You mentioned that the legislative leaders were not
8	in favor of defending the maps. Is it your
9	understanding that the legislative leaders told the
10	commissioners, the two democratic appointed
11	commissioners, to not vote to defend the maps?
12	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
13	THE WITNESS: I don't know what the democratic
14	leaders instructed anyone to do. I have no idea what
15	their instructions were and yeah.
16	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
17	Q. Prior to the meeting in which you resigned, had you
18	notified any of the commissioners that you were
19	planning on resigning if they did not vote to defend
20	the maps?
21	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
22	THE WITNESS: I think that you're referring to
23	a meeting where the commission was determining whether
24	or not they would act as an intervenor in this case. I
25	did not have any say into whether the commission would

1		Page 25
1		or would not do that. I made the decision to resign
2		before that meeting without the knowledge of anyone on
3		the commission. Because whether or not the commission
4		chose to be an intervenor had nothing to do with the
5		decision of the leaders in the Washington State
6		legislature.
7		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
8	Q.	I see. But prior to your resignation, had you informed
9		any other commissioners that you were planning to
10		resign?
11	Α.	No.
12	Q.	Did you strike that.
13		You mentioned the meet in which the commission met
14		and voted not to intervene in this particular lawsuit;
15		is that right?
16	A.	Yes.
17		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
18		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
19	Q.	Did you have conversations with any of the
20		commissioners to persuade them to vote in favor of
21		intervening in this lawsuit?
22	A.	No. I I guess I can just leave it at no. No. I
23		did not, I would not, huh-uh.
24	Q.	And you say you would not. Why why do you say that
25		you would not have tried to persuade them to intervene

		Page 26
1		in the lawsuit?
2	Α.	My purpose as chair was to provide the commissioners,
3		the voting commissioners, with the information and the
4		tools that they needed to make the best decision. It
5		was not my role to be part of the decision-making
6		process beyond being an impartial facilitator. And I
7		stuck to that role from beginning to end and instructed
8		all of my staff to do the same.
9	Q.	Okay. I'm going to ask a few questions just about your
10		hiring sorry, your appointment to the commission.
11		Do you recall the date you were selected to serve
12		as a commissioner?
13	Α.	I don't.
14	Q.	Okay. Do you recall the month, more or less?
15	A.	It was January 2021.
16	Q.	Okay. And when you were selected, were you selected
17		as specifically to serve as the nonvoting commission
18		chair?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Okay. And throughout the time from the time you were
21		selected to your resignation, did you work continuously
22		as the commissioner?
23	Α.	I did.
24	Q.	Okay. Did you hold other jobs during that time?
25	Α.	Yes.

	Page 27
Q.	During the entire time, did you hold another job?
A.	Yes.
Q.	And was that job as sorry. Excuse me.
	What was that job?
A.	I served as the executive director of the Dispute
	Resolution Center of Yakima and Kittitas Counties.
Q.	So about how many hours per week did you work on
	commission work?
A.	Depending on the time frame, 20 to 30 hours per week.
Q.	And your role as an executive director was a full-time
	role as well?
A.	Yes.
Q.	That's an impressive amount of work. Do you recall the
	process that led to your appointment as the chair of

16 A. I recall from my point of view.

the commission?

- Q. Okay. Did you -- so, yeah, what was your point of view on the process?
- A. I was called by a staff member named Osta on a
 Thursday. It was a cold call. I did not know Osta.
 This was not on my radar. And she asked me to
 consider. And I had a phone conversation with all four
 of the voting commissioners between that time and the
 Sunday meeting, at which time they appointed me.
 - Q. So you did not apply or send in an application for the

	Page 28	
1	role of commission chair?	
2	A. If there was an application process, I was unaware of	
3	it.	
4	Q. Okay. And you said you were called by a staff member	
5	named Osta. Is that Osta Davis?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Okay. And when she called you, do you know if she was	
8	acting in the capacity of or strike that.	
9	When she cold called you, do you know if she was	
10	acting as a representative of the commission or of a	
11	specific commissioner?	
12	A. It was my understanding that she was calling on behalf	
13	of the commission. At that time, I did not even	
14	understand what Osta Davis's role was.	
15	Q. Do you know if someone outside of the commission	
16	recommended you or excuse me, strike that.	
17	Do you know if anyone recommended to the commission	
18	that you be appointed as the chair?	
19	MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.	
20	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know	
21	how no, I don't know.	
22	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:	
23	Q. Did you ask anyone either a commissioner, commission	
24	staff or anyone else why you were cold called for	
25	consideration as the chair of the commission?	

Page	29

- 1 | A. I may have had surmising conversation with Lisa McLean
- 2 many months later. Lisa also did not know. It was
- 3 | curious to me.
- 4 | Q. Okay. So I'm going to ask you some, I guess, a little
- 5 | bit more personal questions, but did you grow up in
- 6 | Washington?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Where did you grow up?
- 9 A. I grew up in Colorado and New Mexico.
- 10 | Q. And how long have you lived in Washington?
- 11 A. I moved to Washington in 1998.
- 12 | Q. And I believe you live in the Yakima Valley currently;
- 13 | is that correct?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. How long have you been living there in the Yakima
- 16 Valley region?
- 17 A. I moved to the place where I now live in 2006.
- 18 Q. And prior to that, 2006, where did you live?
- 19 | A. Seattle.
- 20 Q. In Seattle, okay.
- 21 Did you go to college?
- 22 | A. I went to graduate school at the University of
- 23 Washington and then at Antioch University.
- 24 Q. Was Antioch University your undergraduate?
- 25 A. I went to graduate school at both of those

		Page 30
1		universities.
2	Q.	Oh, okay. And where did you go to undergraduate?
3	Α.	University of New Mexico.
4	Q.	Okay. And in your undergraduate university, what was
5		your major?
6	Α.	My double major was in sociology and psychology.
7	Q.	And your postgraduate degree, what was the excuse
8		me. Let me strike that.
9		What was the topic or the major of your
LO		postgraduate degree?
L1	A.	At the University of Washington, I was in a Ph.D
L2		program in sociology where I studied organizations, and
L3		I did not complete that work. I completed a master's
L4		degree at Antioch University in whole systems design.
L5	Q.	Forgive my ignorance, but what is whole systems design,
L6		briefly?
L7	Α.	Yeah. Make sense out of that one.
L8	Q.	I know what each individual word means, but as a
L9		collective, I don't.
20	A.	Also organization, human systems.
21	Q.	And when you say human systems and organization, is
22		that a sort of broad level of ethnic groups or societal
23		groups?
24	A.	So in the discipline of sociology, organization is
25		thinking about how organizations are arranged and how

_		Page 31
1		they work together and how they're designed. There are
2		a variety of different theories to interpret that. I
3		studied that. And then at Antioch University, applied
4		that. So really thinking more high level human
5		systems, institutions, organizations and how those
6		things fit together.
7	Q.	That's very interesting. Do you have any other
8		postgraduate experience?
9	A.	No.
10	Q.	Okay. And how long or strike that.
11		Are you currently working?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	And in what position?
14	Α.	I'm the executive director of the Dismantling the
15		Doctrine of Discovery Coalition.
16	Q.	And how long have you been working in that role?
17	A.	Since July 1st, 2022.
18	Q.	And was your immediately prior job as the executive
19		director of the Dispute Resolution Center?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	And how long did you work at the Dispute Resolution
22		Center?
23	A.	Five and a half years.
24	Q.	Okay. And prior to that organization, where else did
25		you work?

		Page 32
1		Sorry. Let's limit it to post college just for
2		ease.
3	Α.	Just to clarify, you want me to tell you everywhere
4		I've worked since I graduated from college?
5	Q.	How many how many places was that, do you believe?
6	A.	Probably quite a number.
7	Q.	Okay. Let's make it a little easier. Have you
8		prior to your experience on the redistricting
9		commission, had you done any work pertaining to map
10		making?
11	Α.	No.
12	Q.	Had you done any work pertaining to voting rights?
13	A.	No.
14	Q.	Had you done work pertaining to race?
15		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
16		THE WITNESS: Specifically, no.
17		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
18	Q.	Before the 2021 redistricting commission, had you
19		worked on previous redistricting cycles in any
20		capacity?
21	Α.	No.
22	Q.	Had you worked on political campaigns for specific
23		candidates?
24	A.	No.
25	Q.	Had you worked on political campaigns for specific

		Page 33
1		legislation?
2		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
3		THE WITNESS: Yes.
4		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
5	Q.	And what legislation was that?
6	Α.	There was a bill, I believe that passed in 2020, that
7		was called the NICA Act. That's federal legislation.
8		I don't know the full name of that, of the law as it
9		stands, but I worked on the NICA Act until the time
10		that it passed as a volunteer.
11	Q.	And when you say you worked on it, what do you mean by
12		that?
13	Α.	I collaborated with the senator who sponsored the bill,
14		with their staff to insert human rights language into
15		the bill.
16	Q.	I'm sorry. What was that bill about in a general
17		sense?
18	Α.	It was the sanction bill tying compliance by the state
19		of Nicaragua with regulations of the United States.
20	Q.	Do you identify as a latina?
21	A.	I do.
22	Q.	Okay. Are you Nicaraguan? Just wondering.
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	Okay. Are you familiar with demographics of the Yakima
25		Valley?

	Page 34
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And in a general sense, what can you tell me about the
3	demographics of the Yakima Valley?
4	MR. BOWEN: Objection. Form.
5	THE WITNESS: The Yakima Valley contains what
6	is arguably the largest Indian reservation in the state
7	of Washington. The population is just over 50 percent
8	Latinx. It is made up of a population of historical
9	growers who are from the dominant culture and those
10	people who have settled on the homeland of the
11	confederated bands and tribes of the Yakama Nation and
12	on the ceded territories of the confederated bands and
13	tribes of the Yakama Nation.
14	There is also a population of Filipino people that
15	live in the valley who have lived here historically as
16	settlers as well as a tiny minority of Japanese
17	settlers who have also participated in the agricultural
18	industry.
19	There is a small community of African Americans in
20	the city of Yakima.
21	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
22	Q. Thank you. In your work as the executive director
23	or strike that.
24	Does the Dispute Resolution Center, at least when
25	you were working there, generally serve the Yakima

		SARAH AUGUSIINE - 10/06/2022
		Page 35
1		Valley?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	And what kind of work does the Dispute Resolution
4		Center do?
5	Α.	The Dispute Resolution Center provides training tools
6		and interventions so that ordinary people can solve
7		their problems themselves. So they provide mediations
8		at a micro level.
9		They also provide systems level dispute resolution
10		interventions, including the creation of a massive and
11		successful community policing program that's community
12		driven.
13		We also intervene in workplace conflicts, conflicts
14		at the community level, such as between school board
15		and the parents that live in that school district, and
16		a whole other variety of things. They provide training
17		and resources to schools and school districts on
18		restorative practices and also other official
19		government institutions on restorative practices.
20		The Dispute Resolution Center also serves
21		provides, serves as the facilitator in a variety of
22		statewide programs, including an eviction resolution
23		program that serves those people that are most

program that serves those people that are most vulnerable of losing their homes.

24

25

The Dispute Resolution Center of Yakima and

	Page 36
1	Kittitas County is a bilingual center. And in my
2	tenure, I took a center that had one staff of color to
3	a center that has now 70 percent staff of color that is
4	fully bilingual.
5	Q. That's great. Do you believe that members of the
6	Latino community in Yakima Valley face discrimination?
7	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
10	Q. In what ways?
11	A. In the United States, there is policy that excludes
12	human beings from being able to participate in the
13	legitimate economic structure, and that leads to many
14	various social problems. I could list them for you,
15	but I'm sure you're familiar with them. So I would say
16	in the broadest sense, that's the first line of
17	discrimination with the exclusion of workers and
18	families from the legitimate economic structure, that
19	is having access to jobs.
20	There are secondary, tertiary forms of
21	discrimination for people, not only those who are
22	undocumented, but for their decedents.
23	Q. Do you know of any or strike that.
24	Going into the redistricting process, were you
25	aware of previous litigation in the Yakima Valley

	Page 37
1	region around the Voting Rights Act?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Do you recall what litigation you were aware of?
4	A. I am aware that the city of Yakima was sued in order
5	that the city would district would provide
6	representation by district rather than having open
7	seating for the city council.
8	Q. Are you aware of other litigation in the Yakima Valley
9	region around the Voting Rights Act?
10	A. I believe there was also and maybe ongoing, I'm not
11	sure litigation at the county commission level as
12	well.
13	Q. Do you have an opinion on the City of Yakima litigation
14	that you mentioned?
15	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
18	Q. And what is that opinion?
19	A. In order for democratic institutions to function
20	properly, the populus has to believe in them. Open
21	city open seating in the city council in the City of
22	Yakima eroded the public's trust in the institution of
23	the city council itself. Providing representation by
24	district was a clear way of strengthening the
25	democratic institution of the city council.

	Page 38
1	Q. Thank you. Going back to the your role as a
2	commissioner, can you describe to me as specifically as
3	possible what your duties were as the chair of the
4	commission?
5	A. My first duty was to stand up the institution. I began
6	by hiring an executive director, investigating where we
7	could have office space, setting up typical office
8	systems, including the procurement of business
9	machines, telephone numbers, connecting with HR to
10	create a process for hiring staff.
11	The very first job was in standing up the
12	institution. Because when I came on as chair, there
13	was nothing. There was nothing. And so throughout
14	that process, I was also the facilitator of every
15	meeting, so informing myself on the laws and
16	regulations that the commission was accountable to and
17	then facilitating meetings.
18	This included creating, at the front end, a process
19	that each commissioner, voting commissioner, could
20	believe in, and as a professional mediator, in the
21	hopes of creating common ground so that they would be
22	able to negotiate in a good way through a lengthy
23	negotiation process. So setting up that process was
24	another task.
25	The executive director and I then also had to

	Page 39
1	ensure that we had regular RCWs that were in place that
2	were reflective of the work that we needed to do, and
3	also to our contemporary times. Those policies that
4	had been in place were antiquated, and it was important
5	to update them. And that is a difficult and lengthy
6	task, I can tell you.
7	So I would break that down in saying that one task
8	was supervisory in nature and administrative. Another
9	task was acting as a facilitator for a political body
10	that was born in conflict. And a third task would be
11	to comply with laws and policies, as I understood them,
12	that pertain to the agency.
13	Q. Yeah, it sounds like you wore many hats.
14	When you were appointed, what duties or sorry.
15	Strike that.
16	When you were appointed as the chair of the
17	commission, what duties were you given?
18	A. None.
19	Q. Did you report to anyone?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Was there any body, either a body, agency, person, that
22	could have removed you, for example, as a chair of the
23	commission?
24	A. I don't believe so.
25	Q. Okay. So I'm going to go into a few of the roles that

		Page 40
1		you mentioned. As a mediator in the commission let
2		me strike that. I'm sorry.
3		Do you have any certification in mediation?
4	Α.	Yes. I'm what's called a senior mediator in the
5		Washington State system.
6	Q.	Did that require some sort of coursework or training?
7	Α.	Yes. I was trained as a mediator in 2001 by the
8		Lombard Mennonite Peace Center. And then when I became
9		the executive director at the Dispute Resolution
10		Center, I was trained by you know, by the Dispute
11		Resolution Center according to the standards of
12		Resolution Washington, which is a statewide
13		association. And then, you know, accrued hours and
14		hours and hours of mediation to gain the status of a
15		senior mediator.
16	Q.	In general, what kind of disputes did you mediate among
17		those hours and hours that you mediated?
18	Α.	Family mediation, which is often dissolution or divorce
19		and parenting plans; community mediation, which would
20		be especially within the K-12 system; community
21		mediation provided for the city related to tensions
22		around community policing; mediations within workplaces
23		and institutions where there was conflict going on
24		either between staff and the board or staff; many
25		mediations in universities and institutions of higher

	Page 41
1	learning, various types; also with agencies at the
2	community level that were in conflict where that
3	conflict was impacting the community itself. So
4	multiple mediations between the police and other
5	agencies.
6	Q. Okay. Okay. And going back to your descriptions of
7	your roles, you mentioned you acted as a supervisor; is
8	that right?
9	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
12	Q. And who did you supervise?
13	A. I supervised Lisa McLean, and she was empowered to hire
14	her staff and serve as the executive director and the
15	supervisor of her staff.
16	Q. Did you have the ability to remove Lisa McLean from her
17	position during the redistricting process?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Sorry. Someone is at my door.
20	Did you have any staff members yourself as a
21	commissioner?
22	A. All of the staff all the independent staff reported
23	to Lisa McLean. I had access to that entire staff, and
24	I met with them regularly. And so while Lisa was the
25	direct supervisor, I had the opportunity to benefit

		SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022
		Page 42
1		from those staff in a variety of different ways, but
2		Lisa was the supervisor.
3	Q.	Okay. And were you involved in the hiring of the
4		independent staff?
5	Α.	I was involved in the hire of Lisa and then our
6		communications director.
7	Q.	What was the name of the communications director?
8	A.	Jamie Nixon.
9	Q.	Were you involved in the hiring of Justin Bennett?
10	A.	I believe I reviewed the CVs that came in, and I was
11		allowed to comment as a courtesy, but I wasn't directly
12		involved in those hires.
13	Q.	And you mentioned that you met with the independent
14		staff regularly. About how often was that?
15	A.	I believe we had a weekly staff meeting, to the best of
16		my recollection.
17	Q.	And just to be clear, this weekly meeting was with you,
18		with Lisa McLean, with the independent staff; is that
19		correct?
20	A.	That's right.
21	Q.	Were any of the commissioners or the commission staff
22		present at those weekly meetings?
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	And at those weekly meetings, what did you generally

25

discuss?

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022

Page 43

A. The redistricting commission is kind of like a musical in that it unfolds in phases. So what we were discussing directly pertained to whichever phase we were in. In the beginning, it was really just getting our apparatus up to recruit and host a massive number of people in public testimony during COVID.

So it seems now, at this time in October of 2022, that we've always known how to do this. But we haven't always. And trying to set up the apparatus to do that without systems failure took a lot of time and planning. And a lot of our early days were really just focusing on that.

Keep in mind also, we did not have data from the U.S. census until very late in the game. I think maybe even -- oh, gosh, I wish I remembered all this right on the top of my head. But it was -- it was months late. And so whereas we may have been crunching numbers with census data, what we were doing was forecasting using past census data. Trying to sort of, you know, think through -- from my point of view, think through how to provide the best information that we could to the voting commissioners. And so what metrics could we use?

You know, we also had to figure out this software that -- you know, you only redistrict every ten years.

		Page 44
1		So the software they used ten years ago was not I
2		mean, it took us quite some time to even get maps
3		figured out. I don't mean I mean just to look at
4		the districts as they are now using the software. I
5		mean, it was we spent a lot of time working on that.
6		So a lot of what we were doing was logistics. Most of
7		what we were doing was logistics.
8	Q.	And what software were you referring to?
9	Α.	I'm embarrassed to say I don't even remember what it
10		was called.
11	Q.	That's okay.
12	Α.	When I was a young thing, we used AutoCAD. But nobody
13		uses that. Like, that's so ancient. So that's
14		those are the words that come into my mind. I don't
15		remember what it's called at this stage.
16	Q.	I remember AutoCAD. But it was the map making
17		software?
18	Α.	Map making software is what I'm talking about, yep.
19		There was a lot of training. We were trying to even
20		figure out how to mess with it. And we were doing that
21		in the hopes that we would then be able "we" not
22		being me, those qualified staff who were trying to
23		train me, so that we could then train the commissioners
24		and their staff.
25	Q.	And was that generally how the process went, that the

	Page 45
	independent staff did presentations or trainings for
	the commissioners?
Α.	To the extent the commissioners were interested and
	willing. But it was our intention to provide the very
	best materials and preparation that we could in every
	arena for the commissioners, the voting commissioners.
Q.	Were any of the commissioners nonresponsive to these
	map making trainings?
Α.	I don't remember the details. Towards towards the
	lower, the I would say the fourth or the third sort
	of quarter, as we were trying to imagine a way to do
	negotiations, the independent staff and myself came up
	with a variety of options for how those negotiations
	could happen. And there was certainly a lack of
	interest in learning about that.
Q.	Can you elaborate a little bit more on that lack of
	interest. Was it specific commissioners or
Α.	All commissioners.
Q.	All commissioners. And when you say lack of interest,
	in the negotiation process, is that what?
Α.	In any negotiation process that I would recommend.
Q.	Okay. Do you feel or strike that.
	Do you believe that the voting commissioners had
	their own negotiation process separate from the one
	that you recommended?
	Q. A. Q.

		Page 46
1	Α.	Yes.
2		MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to the form.
3		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
4	Q.	And what was that process generally?
5	Α.	I can tell you in a global way what we agreed on in our
6		open public meeting, which is that we would have a
7		house team and a senate team; and the house team would
8		negotiate the legislative districts, and the senate
9		team would negotiate congressional districts. Beyond
10		that, I do not know.
11	Q.	And when you were referring to the lack of interest in
12		your negotiation style I guess, I don't know if
13		that's the right word but what were you recommending
14		that you felt there was a lack of interest in?
15	Α.	So that's a very complex answer, and I'll do my best to
16		describe it to you. Redistricting is a strange puzzle
17		where every decision that is made impacts every future
18		decision. So it can be very hard to it is a
19		difficult puzzle to figure out.
20		We were bound on both ends by time constraints. So
21		our census data was months late, and a new
22		constitutional amendment demanded that we complete by
23		November 15th instead of the previous, January 1st. So
24		we were squeezed on two ends.
25		So my design challenge was to figure out how to get

		Page 47
1		through a negotiation a geographical negotiation
2		process on time in a matter of ten weeks. So the
3		process that I recommended was logistical in nature and
4		was just dealing with getting through geographies in a
5		quick way, so because you can't just go from left to
6		right. That's it doesn't work that way. You can't
7		go from corner to corner. It depends on populations.
8		You have to think about populations. And you also have
9		to think about geographical barriers. And there's all
10		kinds of things, variables to consider.
11		So my independent staff and I were crunching
12		through trying to figure out how we could come up with
13		a geographical negotiation process that would get us to
14		the church on time, so to speak. And the commissioners
15		just wanted to negotiate independent of any of that.
16	Q.	Okay. Thank you. You mentioned that the commissioners
17		would vote or sorry, excuse me, that the commissions
18		would negotiate. The house commissioners would
19		negotiate for the legislative maps, and the senate
20		appointed commissioners would negotiate for the
21		congressional maps; is that right?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	And you would call those diads; is that correct?
24	Α.	I called them diads.
25	Q.	Whose idea was it, if there strike that.

Page 48 1 Whose idea was it to implement this diad structure? 2 A. I don't remember. I would have to go back and watch 3 the record. All of those decisions were made in public 4 meetings. It could have -- I might have suggested it. 5 I don't feel a great sense of ownership over it, but 6 certainly there was precedent for doing it that way. 7 And so, you know, when that was decided, I don't 8 remember what meeting that came about, but there seemed 9 to be general, you know, comfort with that idea. 10 Nobody voiced, you know, discomfort with it. 11 O. Okay. Earlier you mentioned, in your weekly staff 12 meetings, that you would discuss metrics we could use. 13 Is that -- is that accurate that you said that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. What -- what do you mean by that? 16 A. There are a variety of variables around which you would make decisions. So those variables had to do with 17 18 geographical placement, population and all -- I mean, 19 one of the -- one of the big ones that came out of the 20 independent staff was the public input. So thinking 21 through, you know, we were -- we were -- I wasn't. My 22 staff, the independent staff were generating 23 spreadsheets and also visuals of public input by all

Q. Okay. And I understand that was one, if not the top,

kinds of region and across a variety of dimensions.

24

25

	Page 49	
1	priority of you is to have as much public input as	
2	possible.	
3	Do you feel that the other commissioners shared	
4	that sentiment with you?	
5	A. I don't know what the voting commissioners, what their	
6	sentiment was. It was a priority for me, and I had the	
7	authority to ensure that that's what we did.	
8	Q. So the commissioners never expressed one way or the	
9	other their sentiment on having such a vast public	
LO	outreach effort?	
L1	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.	
L2	THE WITNESS: I don't recall hearing comment	
L3	one way or the other.	
L4	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:	
L5	Q. Who was in charge of public outreach in general?	
L6	A. Lisa as the executive director was directing the	
L7	outreach work. And to that end, she hired Daniel	
L8	I'm trying to remember his last name with you	
L9	know, with my tacet agreement. I didn't sit in on	
20	those interviews but Daniel was our outreach	
21	coordinator.	
22	Q. Okay.	
23	A. Pailthorp. That's what his name is, Daniel Pailthorp.	
24	Q. Did you have a lot of in-person public outreach events?	
25	A. We had no in-person public outreach events.	

Page 50 1 Q. Because of COVID, right? 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And about how often -- so how would these public 4 outreach events usually take form, on Zoom? 5 A. They were on Zoom. 6 Q. And about how many public outreach events did you have? 7 A. Excuse me. Six months ago I could have quoted all of 8 this to you off the top of my head. I don't remember, 9 but I can tell you every -- every legislative district 10 had at least two. 11 O. That's great. And I kind of want to understand the 12 process of collecting the public comments and folks 13 that people said -- or things that people said at the 14 public outreach events. And would -- yeah, basically 15 what was that process of gathering and then 16 synthesizing that information? 17 A. So every public outreach event is an open public 18 meeting, and it was recorded. Staff would then -- they 19 would attend those events but then also comb through 20 them. And a massive spreadsheet was generated with, 21 not only those people that came to open public meetings, but also all the social media input, e-mails, 22 23 telephone messages, et cetera. 24 And all of that was paraphrased and put into a spreadsheet that was searchable so that we could create 25

	Page 51
1	metrics of input. All of that was shared with the
2	voting commissioners and their staff. They had full
3	access to it. It was stored on a shared drive.
4	Q. Did any of the voting commission or voting commission
5	staff express that to you, that they were using this
6	public outreach information in their map making?
7	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
8	THE WITNESS: That was not expressed to me
9	personally, however, it was a common topic in staff
LO	meetings. And the independent staff would often report
L1	during those meetings, contact that they had with the
L2	house and senate staff. And we would at times, you
L3	know, troubleshoot how to address some of the issues
L4	they were bringing forward.
L5	So I believe it was used, but it was never
L6	expressed to me personally.
L7	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
L8	Q. Okay.
L9	A. That I recall.
20	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Okay. So we've hit the
21	hour and a half mark. How would everyone feel about
22	taking a ten-minute break?
23	MR. BOWEN: You bet.
24	MR. MILLSTEIN: That's fine.
25	Is that okay with you, Sarah?

	Page 52
1	THE WITNESS: It is. I do want to request
2	that we that we break at noon, if you're open to
3	that?
4	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Yes.
5	THE WITNESS: That would be very helpful to
6	me.
7	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: I'm already getting
8	hungry, so I'm looking forward to the noon break. But,
9	yes, we'll take a break at noon.
10	MR. MILLSTEIN: Real quick while we're
11	discussing this, Sarah, how long do you want to take
12	for lunch?
13	THE WITNESS: I would like to take a minimum
14	of 45 minutes, if that's acceptable?
15	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: I think we can take an
16	hour, if that's okay?
17	Okay. So for now let's go off the record.
18	(Recess 10:32-10:43.)
19	
20	EXAMINATION (Continuing)
21	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
22	Q. So you mentioned earlier that you didn't have any
23	commission staff assigned to you; is that correct?
24	Besides the general staff?
25	A. To the best of my recollection, yes.

Page 53 1 Q. Okay. Was there a primary map maker for the 2 independent staff? 3 A. Yes. 4 O. Was that Justin Bennett? 5 A. Yes, Justin Bennett. 6 Q. Okay. Did you personally draw any maps? 7 A. No. 8 O. Okay. Did you direct staff members such as Justin 9 Bennett to draw maps? 10 No. I mean, it's hard -- he was working with the A. No. 11 mapping software. 12 Q. Okay. Well, I guess, yeah, generally can you explain 13 the process of how a map would be created? 14 A. So in the process of negotiation, the parties in 15 negotiation would have to agree on the metrics around 16 which negotiation would occur. Once you establish 17 those metrics, you could start working through 18 geographies and building a map based on those metrics 19 of importance. 20 So, for example, we knew from the census data that 21 they were going to be -- you know, I don't remember 22 exactly how many. I think it was, like, close to 23 76,000 per legislative district. And, gosh, I don't 24 remember what it was for the congressional district. 25 So you have some parameters. You know that they all

Page 54

have to be the same size. We were really trying for them to be within 1 percent.

So then you have to really determine, what are the metrics that you're going to agree on? And then you're going to start negotiating around geographies based on those metrics. So the independent staff and I were trying to create the best possible information for agreeing on those metrics. You can't really figure out what those metrics are going to be without looking at data.

So you might say, okay, you know, we're going to care a lot about, you know, how we're going to cross, you know, the Cascade range, for example. That's a big one. How is it going to happen? Because you can't just -- you know, there's a geographical barrier. That's why you can't go left to right and just say, we're just going to catch 70-some thousand and just go in consecutive order.

It doesn't work because they're -- because the people who are testifying themselves are -- through public comment and all the various ways, are saying, this is my community of interest. So you're trying to figure out where are communities of interest, what are the boundaries around those communities of interest and then what metrics are important within that.

Page 55

And so as we were working with a mapping software, we were trying to figure out the best information to provide to the voting commissioners for them to determine what metrics were the metrics of import.

And I will tell you that they did not share that with us. So while we did -- while the independent staff did all this work to create this, they were negotiating with metrics, I assume. But those metrics were not shared with me. And if they were shared with independent staff, I am not aware. They were doing their process independent of us.

- Q. What metrics did you and the independent staff recommend?
- A. So we weren't recommending metrics. We were saying, here's all the universe of things that you could -- you could look at. And so -- and there's quite -- you know, there's quite a number.

I mean -- and certainly, you know, voting data is part of that. And, of course, that is how historically and traditionally -- I shouldn't say -- that has been an important dynamic for redistricting historically.

And the voting commissioners who were appointed are coming in with a perspective of what their -- what -- you know, what they're hoping to achieve in that regard. So that's not an easy thing to achieve in that

	Page 56
	regard.
	You would think, Hey, I will just use voting data.
	Well, which voting data will you use? Will you use
	that data for state races? Will you use local races?
	Will you use the most recent voting data? Will you
	pull it over the past ten years, because geographies
	change over time? These are all metrics to be messed
	with, for example.
Q.	And you don't know specifically what metrics the voting
	members of the commission were using when creating
	their maps?
Α.	No.
Q.	Did you ever ask them, the voting members of the
	commission, what metrics they were using in the
	creation of their maps?
A.	It was certainly discussed.
Q.	And what were what did you discuss?
A.	It is my opinion, although you will have to ask them,
	much of what they were negotiating almost to the end
	was those metrics. So I don't know that anyone was
	trying to keep that from me. I'm not sure they had
	that resolved until the very end.
Q.	Okay. And to narrow sort of what you're referring to
	by metrics, do you mean specific races to use or
	looking at racial demographics, for example?
	A. Q. A.

		Page 57
1	A. Th	ne priorities of the commissioners were stated in
2	th	neir public statements that are listed on the website.
3	Sc	o along with the rest of the public, I learned what
4	th	neir priorities were through those statements. And I
5	as	ssume, because I actually believe in the integrity of
6	al	ll four of those commissioners, that they followed
7	th	nose priorities that they stated to the public.
8	Q. Go	oing back kind of to the process of the map making, I
9	ju	ast want to have a sense of sort of the dynamics
10	be	etween the an individual commissioner who is
11	cr	reating a map and the independent staff.
12		How would that process work generally?
13		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
14		THE WITNESS: From my point of view, the
15	in	ndependent staff offered multiple multiple times
16	OV	ver a long period of time sort of a menu of options,
17	ar	nd there was very little response to those offers.
18	ВУ	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
19	Q. Ar	nd when you say "menu of options," what do you mean by
20	th	nat?
21	A. Fo	or example, as we were looking at voter data and
22	tr	rying to determine what kind of voter data to use,
23	li	ike what race to use, we did a variety of mathematical
24	pc	ooling to see what was the most neutral or the least
25	pa	arty influenced kind of data to use.

	Page 58
1	So then we would say, Look, here are the tests we
2	ran, here are the options of data to use and this is
3	our recommendation.
4	Q. Can you give me an example of some recommendations that
5	you that the independent staff made?
6	A. I don't I don't remember, of course, exactly. But
7	I'm trying to remember, we did kind of we came up
8	with a pooled data option that was from I mean, I
9	think it was state races but it wasn't it was
10	like because, like, which state race? Are you going
11	to poll them all?
12	I mean, you're trying to say let's look at let's
13	look at one where there is a republican in a seat,
L4	let's look at one where there's a democrat in a seat.
15	And then if you're looking over a decade, where have
L6	where is one where it's been more you know, it's
17	gone back and forth, for example.
18	Because you're trying to get, you know, the best
19	kind of indicator of where most voters are at, you know
20	what I mean, so that it's not so that it's not
21	heavily pooled to one party or the other, for example.
22	Q. Okay. Did you provide input to the voting
23	commissioners on how they should draw their maps?
24	A. My message that I repeated again and again was to care
25	for the input of all of the residents who bothered to

	Page 59
1	provide input. To that extent, yes. However, I never
2	had direct conversations with any voting commissioner
3	expressing my opinion about what they should do. And
4	that was not solicited and not offered. That would
5	have been a violation of my ethics.
6	Q. Did you once a map was created, and let's say it was
7	publicly released by a commissioner, did you conduct
8	any analysis on those maps after they had been created?
9	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
12	Q. What kind of analysis did you do?
13	A. When the four voting commissioners submitted for the
14	public their first round of draft maps, I asked the
15	independent staff to review where there was the most
16	overlap with the intention of identifying interests
17	that they held in common.
18	As a professional mediator, it was my opinion that
19	if we started with the places that they agreed on most,
20	we may be able to gain some traction in terms of
21	carrying on the effective negotiation.
22	Q. Would you ask Justin Bennett specifically or someone
23	else to conduct this analysis of where there's the most
24	overlap?
25	A. I would ask Lisa McLean, and she would direct her staff

	Page 60
	as appropriate.
Q.	And did the independent staff produce, like, a report
	explaining, this is where we have the most areas of
	agreement and this is where we have the most areas of
	disagreement?
	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
	THE WITNESS: I don't remember reports
	specifically, although I believe there must have been
	reports.
	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
Q.	Did members of the community submit proposed maps to
	the commission?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	Would you forward those maps to the voting
	commissioners?
Α.	All of the maps were held on a shared drive. I don't
	remember the details of communication, but I believe
	the independent staff then sent a notice every time
	there was a new map that was deposited into that shared
	drive. There were many hundreds of maps.
Q.	In your capacity as the chair of the commission, did
	you meet with members of the state legislature?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	Do you recall how many members you met with?
Α.	Two.
	Q. A. Q. A. Q.

1	0	And who were they?
2	Α.	They were both from the house, so the minority leader
3		from the house and the speaker of the house.
4	Q.	Do you recall what you discussed in those meetings?
5	Α.	I as I recall, I reached out to all four of them and
6		asked for meetings. And the meetings that I recall
7		were "getting to know you" kind of meetings, very
8		general, short half-hour, with those folks and their
9		staff.
10	Q.	Did you meet with party officials who are not members
11		of the legislature in your capacity as the chair of the
12		redistricting commission?
13	Α.	No.
14	Q.	So going back to the meetings with the specific
15		legislators, you didn't discuss or did you discuss
16		anything substantive regarding the maps or specific
17		districts?
18		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
19		THE WITNESS: No.
20		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
21	Q.	No, okay.
22		And you mentioned before you held many, many public
23		outreach meetings. Who was strike that.
24		Did you attend most of these meetings, all of these
25		meetings or a few of these meetings?

		Page 62
1	Α.	I believe I I believe in my tenure as chair, I
2		missed one meeting. And I don't remember if that was a
3		public outreach meeting. It could have been our
4		regular open public meeting.
5	Q.	At these public outreach meetings, were you usually
6		accompanied by one or more voting commissioners?
7	A.	I believe that every district had a full slate, at
8		least one meeting. And then in our second meeting
9		our second round of meetings, there were I think
10		they split it up. So then we would have had two
11		commissioners per meeting in the second round. So most
12		of them made most of them, most of the meetings.
13	Q.	So the first round was generally all of the
14		commissioners?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	And the second round, when you said that it was split
17		up into two or two commissioners per meeting, was that
18		generally one republican appointed commissioner and one
19		democratic appointed commissioner?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	Okay. Aside from these general public outreach
22		meetings, did you have meetings with organizations to
23		discuss the redistricting process?
24	A.	Yes.

Q. Do you recall how many meetings you had?

25

		Page 63
1	Α.	I do not.
2	Q.	Can you give me a best estimate? Like more than ten or
3		more than 50, more than 100?
4	Α.	Fewer than ten. I responded to direct invitations to
5		make a public presentation.
6	Q.	The public presentation that you just mentioned, what
7		was generally, what was the content of that
8		presentation?
9	Α.	The 101 of how redistricting works, why it is
LO		important, how to get your constituency to public
L1		meetings, the various venues for testimony, push a
L2		push to our videos. I believe we produced seven
L3		educational videos. It was educational in nature.
L4	Q.	In these meetings with these organizations, did you
L5		discuss specific districts?
L6	Α.	No.
L7	Q.	So you mentioned previously that you there was a
L8		training on June 21st regarding the Voting Rights Act;
L9		is that correct?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	I have the minutes here. Let me I'll put into the
22		chat of the Zoom.
23		MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: I'd like to get this
24		marked as Exhibit 1.
25		////
	i	

	Page 64
1	(Exhibit No. 1 marked
2	for identification.)
3	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
4	Q. Do you remember this meeting and this presentation?
5	MR. MILLSTEIN: Counsel, just a moment. I'm
6	still saving the file.
7	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Sorry. Did it go
8	through?
9	MR. MILLSTEIN: It's gone through on my end.
10	You have to save it. And I don't know for Sarah if
11	she's got it open yet either, so if you give us just a
12	minute.
13	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: No problem.
14	THE WITNESS: I don't have it open, nor will I
15	have it open until after lunch. It will take at least
16	two hours to download this file where I live because I
17	live in a rural area. It would be much more expedient
18	if you could share your screen. It would probably be
19	better to do it that way.
20	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Let's do that. If I can
21	figure that out.
22	MR. MILLSTEIN: And, Sarah, if it helps, it's
23	just a PDF of the meeting minutes. So it might
24	download quicker.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay.

	Page 65
1	MR. MILLSTEIN: It's not a large file.
2	THE WITNESS: Okay.
3	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
4	Q. Has it shown up for you?
5	A. Got it.
6	Q. Great.
7	So do you recall this meeting?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. Can you tell me who Brian Sutherland is?
10	A. Brian Sutherland is an assistant attorney general for
11	Washington State.
12	Q. Did you invite him to come speak at the meeting?
13	A. I believe Lisa invited him.
14	Q. Do you know why Lisa invited him specifically rather
15	than any other representative from the Attorney
16	General's Office?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And why is that?
19	A. I asked Lisa to find an educator for the commission to
20	review the Voting Rights Act and our obligations
21	pertaining to the Voting Rights Act. Lisa reached out
22	to the Attorney General's Office, and Brian Sutherland
23	was recommended for that purpose.
24	Q. Were there any specific reason why you asked Lisa to
25	find someone to give a presentation on the Voting

	Page 66
1	Rights Act?
2	A. It was my understanding that the commission was
3	obligated to comply with the Voting Rights Act.
4	Q. Did you develop that understanding independently, or
5	did someone tell you that the commission is obligated
6	to follow the Voting Rights Act?
7	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
8	THE WITNESS: I don't recall. I was connected
9	with Brad and Bernard, the two senior administrators
10	from the house and the senate, and also with, I
11	think I want to say really with Brad and Bernard,
12	who were coaching me in creating this agency, standing
13	up the agency.
14	And I had I asked for and received the primary
15	laws that we would need to comply with in this agency.
16	And I believe the VRA was presented in that way, but I
17	am I don't remember exactly if that came from Brad
18	or Bernard or from documents that I read related to the
19	last redistricting commission, their executive director
20	and chair, I might have read it and understood it
21	there.
22	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
23	Q. Okay. So in the minutes, it mentions that some of the
24	commissioners asked questions. Did you have
25	conversations with the commissioners after this

	Page 67	7
1	presentation about the Voting Rights Act?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. About how many conversations did you have with the	
4	commissioners after this presentation about the Voting	
5	Rights Act?	
6	A. I don't recall specifically, but I will venture that I	
7	had at least one conversation with each commissioner	
8	about the Voting Rights Act fairly soon after this	
9	training or this presentation.	
10	Q. Do you recall in your conversations with the	
11	commissioners about the Voting Rights Act after this	
12	presentation, do you recall how each commissioner	
13	expressed to you their thoughts about the Voting Rights	s
14	Act?	
15	A. That was not the nature of our conversation, that they	
16	would share that with me. So, no, that wasn't shared.	
17	Q. So what was the nature of these conversations?	
18	A. I requested that they consider hiring that the	
19	commission would consider hiring a consultant that	
20	would work together with the commission to provide the	
21	best the best advice regarding the Voting Rights	
22	Act. So each of these conversations was requesting	
23	that consideration.	
24	Q. Whose who had the authority to hire a Voting Rights	
25	Act consultant?	

	Page 68
1	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
2	THE WITNESS: All decisions must be made in an
3	open public meeting with the majority of the
4	commissioners. So the majority of commissioners alone
5	would be able to authorize a hire such as that.
6	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
7	Q. For example, Lisa McLean hired independent staff. What
8	distinguishes the staff that Lisa McLean hired and the
9	hiring of a VRA consultant?
10	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
11	THE WITNESS: Lisa McLean was hired by me, at
12	my recommendation, but with the full participation of
13	all voting commissioners. So Lisa McLean was hired by
14	the commission. Then she was empowered by the
15	commission to hire her staff as the leader of our
16	employees.
17	But Lisa McLean, her contract was exactly like
18	anyone else, that the majority had to vote in an open
19	public meeting for her hire. And any anyone else
20	who would be providing counsel, any kind of advice to
21	us, would have to be that would have to be done in
22	an open public meeting with at least three votes of the
23	voting commissioners.
24	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
25	Q. I guess my question is why was or strike that.

1	Page 69 The commission empowered Lisa McLean to hire her
2	staff, but what distinguishes her the staff that she
3	was able to hire as opposed to a voting rights
4	consultant?
5	Was there a clear line between who the commission
6	would have to vote on to hire versus the staff that
7	Lisa McLean was empowered to hire?
8	Was there a clear division between those two
9	categories?
10	
	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
11	THE WITNESS: If if Lisa McLean had not
12	been empowered to hire her staff, then every staff
13	would have been had to be hired through a majority
14	opinion. But the commissioners, in an open public
15	meeting, voted to empower Lisa McLean specifically to
16	hire her staff. So that was the authority she was
17	granted by the commission.
18	No one else was granted any such authority or Lisa
19	was not empowered to do any such thing. All the
20	authority rested with the voting commissioners in a
21	majority. So that's the difference. Lisa was provided
22	that authority.
23	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
24	Q. So sorry if I'm not asking as clearly as I could, but I
25	guess I'm just trying to understand, when you say that

	Page 70
1	Lisa McLean was empowered to hire her staff, was there
2	a categorical these are the employees that you can hire
3	or not?
4	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
5	THE WITNESS: To the best of my recollection,
6	there was a budget, and that budget determined how many
7	staff Lisa McLean could hire. Within that budget,
8	there was some discussion between Lisa and me about who
9	that staff should be. In an open public meeting, she
10	was directed to hire her staff by the commission.
11	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
12	Q. Could Lisa McLean have hired a VRA consultant if the
13	budget allowed?
14	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
15	THE WITNESS: I don't have any way of
16	answering that question, because I don't I don't
17	have I don't know.
18	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
19	Q. Did the commission have a separate budget apart from
20	the budget set aside for Lisa McLean to hire her staff,
21	did the commission have a separate budget for hiring
22	consultants?
23	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
24	THE WITNESS: To the best of my recollection,
25	like many budgets organizational budgets, there were

Page 71
line items. And there was a line item for staff. When
that staff slate came out, it was approved, I believe,
in an open public meeting. Because all decisions were
made in the open and in the public. So those staff
positions were created, the dollar amounts, all of that
was approved, in my recollection. That's what I
remember.
BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
Q. Okay. So going back to what you mentioned earlier,
that you asked Lisa McLean to conduct a search for a
Voting Rights Act consultant; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And did she find a consultant for the commission?
A. She recommended a slate of consultants for
consideration.
Q. And did she give you that slate of consultants?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did you do with that slate of consultants?
A. She did share that report with all five commissioners.
Q. And did the commission vote on whether to hire a
consultant or not?
A. I believe that the commission did vote, or at least
there was certainly discussion. I don't remember if
there was if there was a vote, an official vote. I
would have to look in the minutes. There was extensive

	Page 72
1	discussion, and I believe much of that discussion was
2	with counsel.
3	Q. When you say "counsel," is there someone specific
4	you're referring to?
5	A. I'm just saying that it was during executive session,
6	and it's privileged information.
7	Q. Apart from the discussions you had with counsel, can
8	you tell me what discussions the commission had around
9	the option to hire a Voting Rights Act consultant?
10	MR. BOWEN: Objection to form.
11	THE WITNESS: What I remember is there wasn't
12	consensus. There was simply not consensus, and I don't
13	mean what I mean specifically is there wasn't
14	consensus around which consultant or group of
15	consultants to hire.
16	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
17	Q. So ultimately since there wasn't consensus around a
18	specific consultant, did the commission decide not to
19	hire a consultant?
20	A. That is an interesting phrasing. I imagine if you
21	assume that every nondecision is a decision, then the
22	answer is yes.
23	Q. Okay. Do you recall how many consultants were listed
24	on this slate prepared by Lisa McLean?
25	A. I don't recall. There were two or even three. At

	Page 73
1	least two. No more than four. But I think there were
2	three.
3	Q. Do you remember their names?
4	A. I don't.
5	Q. Was one of them Matt Barreto from UCLA?
6	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
9	Q. Do you recall if any of the commissioners were in favor
LO	of retaining him as a consultant?
L1	A. I would I will refrain from commenting on that
L2	simply because you have access to the commissioners and
L3	you can ask them that directly, and whatever I would
L4	provide is hearsay. I don't remember directly, and I
L5	can't speak with confidence about how much of that was
L6	privileged, that conversation was privileged.
L7	Q. Okay. Yeah, just to be clear, in this deposition, I'm
L8	not asking you necessarily what other commissioners
L9	thought but rather what other commissioners expressed
20	to you. And that is something that you can testify to
21	is what conversations you had with the commissioners.
22	A. Thank you for that clarification.
23	Q. Yeah. So I'm going to stop the screen share.
24	After do you recall when these conversations
25	around hiring of the VRA consultant occurred?

	Page 74
1	A. It was in the summer of 2021. And I believe, to the
2	best of my recollection, it was after the release of
3	their first draft maps, the commissioners' first draft
4	maps. I believe it was certainly before Matt Barreto's
5	report came out and was published. But I can't tell
6	you the exact time frame.
7	Q. Okay. Did you ever talk with any of the VRA
8	consultants recommended by Lisa McLean?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Okay. Do you have a best estimate of the amount of
11	time between you asking Lisa McLean to go look for VRA
12	consultants and the ultimate decision to not hire a VRA
13	consultant?
14	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
15	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
17	Q. Can you estimate whether it was a week, a month?
18	A. I would estimate between a month and two months, but I
19	don't feel confident.
20	Q. That's okay.
21	So after these discussions around the hiring of VRA
22	consultant ultimately resulted in the decision not to
23	hire a specific consultant, did you recommend later in
24	the process that the commission hire a VRA consultant?
25	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.

	Page 75
1	THE WITNESS: The commissioners could not
2	agree to hire a consultant. I don't recall specific
3	discussion after that time.
4	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
5	Q. Okay. I guess just to clarify for myself, did the
6	commissioners not agree on a specific consultant and
7	that was the reason why the commission didn't hire a
8	consultant, or was it that the commission didn't agree
9	whether to hire one or not?
10	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
11	THE WITNESS: My recollection is that they
12	could not agree on a specific consultant or team of
13	consultants.
14	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
15	Q. And when you say "team of consultants," do you mean,
16	like, two separate consultants that both the democratic
17	appointed commissioners and the republican appointed
18	commissioners liked?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. Do you recall discussions with the commissioners
21	about why a commissioner did not want to hire a
22	specific consultant?
23	A. Those were not the kind of details that were generally
24	shared with me.
25	Q. So what kind of details were shared with you?

Page 76

- A. In this time period, not a whole lot.
- Q. Do you recall speaking with Commissioner Sims about hiring a VRA consultant?
- 4 | A. I do.

1

- 5 | Q. What -- what was that discussion?
- 6 A. She was generally open to a VRA consultant as I recall.
- 7 | Q. Do you recall if she was open to a specific consultant?
- 8 A. She was open to -- I don't remember who all was on the
- 9 slate, and it was much discussed, but she was open to
- 10 Matt Barreto.
- 11 | Q. Was she open to -- I know you might not remember
- everyone on the slate, but was she open to another
- 13 | consultant besides Matt Barreto?
- 14 A. In my recollection, which is dim because this has now
- been some time ago, that's what I remember is that she
- 16 was open to Barreto.
- Q. Do you recall any specific reasons why she was open to
- 18 hiring him?
- 19 A. None shared with me.
- Q. Do you recall conversations with Commissioner Graves
- about the potential hiring of a VRA consultant?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- 23 O. Can you tell me what those discussions were?
- 24 A. He had a consultant that was the front runner in his
- 25 | mind and I don't recall their name.

Page 77 1 Q. And he was different than Matt Barreto? 2 A. Yes, right. 3 Q. Did he express to you why he wanted this consultant? 4 A. No. Q. What about Commissioner Walkinshaw? Did you have 5 6 discussions with him about the potential hiring of a 7 VRA consultant? A. This is the most hazy. I may have discussed this with 8 9 Brady Walkinshaw, but I don't remember. I don't 10 remember any details, if we did talk about it. I'm 11 quite sure that I reached out to him. But of all the 12 commissioners, I communicated with him the least. 13 Q. And why was that? Why did you communicate with him the 14 least? 15 A. He was nonresponsive. 16 Q. Would he answer your e-mails? 17 A. Typically, no. 18 Q. If you gave him a call, would he answer? 19 A. Sometimes. 20 Q. Did he ever give you a reason why he was nonresponsive? 21 A. He often talked about being busy, which I believe. He 22 was running a very large media concern. And I would 23 note, all five commissioners were working full time. 24 Q. And then do you recall conversations with Commissioner

25

Fain --

		Page 78
1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	around okay.
3		Do you recall what you discussed with Commissioner
4		Fain?
5	Α.	He also voiced preference for a, you know, a specific
6		consultant on the slate.
7	Q.	Was that consultant Brunell?
8	Α.	I honestly don't remember.
9	Q.	Do you remember if that was the same consultant that
10		Graves was interested in hiring?
11	Α.	I don't remember specifically. There were there
12		were I believe there may have been more than three
13		that were presented and, you know
14	Q.	Okay.
15	Α.	Yeah, that's what I remember.
16	Q.	So lastly on this topic, there was was there ever a
17		consideration of hiring one consultant that the
18		democratic appointed commissioners liked and one
19		consultant that the republican appointed commissioners
20		liked?
21	Α.	There was consideration of hiring a team that would
22		work together to advise the entire commission.
23	Q.	And ultimately that idea of hiring a team failed; is
24		that correct?
25	Α.	Yes.

	Page 79
1	Q. Okay. So apart from the June 21st, 2021, presentation
2	from Brian Sutherland, did anyone else give a
3	presentation to the commission on the Voting Rights
4	Act?
5	A. I don't recall.
6	Q. So what is your understanding of what is required under
7	the Voting Rights Act?
8	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
9	THE WITNESS: I'm not an attorney, so I can
10	only give you an arm chair assessment. What I remember
11	is that sort of the landmark legislation is the
12	Gingles, you know, decision I guess I shouldn't call
13	it a decision but the Gingles decision, which talks
14	about the various requirements in regards to packing,
15	which is where you put members of a racial category in
16	one district to prevent them from having impact in more
17	than one district, or cracking, which is where you
18	divide members that were vulnerable I guess, by
19	vulnerable, I mean a racial category that would be
20	that would have more power if they were in more than
21	one district, and divide them to dilute their
22	influence. And that both of these things would be
23	illegal under the Voting Rights Act.
24	I also understand that there are constitutional
25	requirements based on the 14th Amendment. And those

		Page 80
1		constitutional requirements, under that in that
2		case, you would have to prove intent. And that for the
3		Voting Rights Act, you don't have to prove intent. And
4		I would say that would be the summary of what I
5		remember about the Voting Rights Act.
6		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
7	Q.	Okay. So after these failed talks to hire a Voting
8		Rights Act consultant, did you have conversations with
9		the commissioners about whether their maps complied
10		with the Voting Rights Act or not?
11	Α.	I don't remember specific conversation. What I
12		remember is when the Barreto report came out, if I
13		recall correctly, he felt that all the drafts maps
14		violated the Voting Rights Act, that is all four
15		commissioners had violated the Voting Rights Act.
16		And I remember discussion about being attentive to
17		that and striving to comply with the Voting Rights Act.
18	Q.	Do you recall which commissioners you had discussions
19		with regarding compliance with the Voting Rights Act
20		after the Barreto report came out?
21	Α.	I remember talking with at least three of them.
22	Q.	Which three?
23	Α.	Paul Graves, Joe Fain and April Sims.
24	Q.	And do you recall, in their discussions, what they said
25		about the Barreto report?

	Page 81
1	A. In my capacity of chair calling to remind commissioners
2	of their obligations, I was typically met with stoney
3	silence.
4	Q. Did any of the commissioners not express stoney silence
5	to you in these discussions about the Barreto report?
6	A. The Barreto report I don't remember specifically
7	talking about the Barreto report with anyone in detail,
8	with any commissioner in detail.
9	Q. Okay. Yeah, let me rephrase that question.
10	Did any of the commissioners not express the stoney
11	silence when you reminded them of their obligations, as
12	you said, as you stated?
13	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
14	THE WITNESS: I mean, what I remember is that
15	those were cursory conversations with, you know, okay,
16	take it under advisement. There wasn't if there was
17	lengthy discussion, and I'm sure there was, it wasn't
18	with me.
19	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
20	Q. So do you believe when you say the likely
21	discussion, do you believe between the commissioners
22	but not you?
23	A. I believe between the commissioners and their staff
24	and, you know, those people they were representing.
25	Q. And when you say "people they were representing," do

	Page 82
1	you mean the legislative groups, the legislative
2	political groups?
3	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes. I don't know that, but
5	that's what I assume.
6	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
7	Q. Okay. As far as you know, did any of the commissioners
8	take any steps to analyze their maps to ensure
9	compliance with the Voting Rights Act?
10	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
11	THE WITNESS: What I know is what the
12	commissioners shared with the public in their draft
13	maps. I believe two commissioners revised their maps,
14	their draft maps, and published those revised maps.
15	That's what I remember. And the comments about those
16	maps are public knowledge, because they were submitted
17	along with their maps.
18	I also remember that Brady Walkinshaw commented on
19	the Barreto report in realtime. So I think he was in
20	the article that the report was embedded in, if I'm not
21	mistaken. So what I would know of his point of view
22	would have been expressed in that article.
23	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
24	Q. As far as you know, did any of the commissioners
25	hire or strike that.

		Page 83
1		As far as you know, did any of the individual
2		commissioners consult with anyone outside of the
3		commission regarding the Voting Rights Act and how
4		their maps comply with it?
5		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
6		THE WITNESS: I do not know, but the article
7		that I read in the Seattle Times with the rest of the
8		public expressed that the senate had hired a consultant
9		to create a report. So I learned that with everyone
10		else.
11		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
12	Q.	Did each individual commissioner, and I know this
13		strike that. I'm sorry.
14		You mentioned, yeah, the senate hired a consultant.
15		But did an individual commissioner have a budget to
16		hire a consultant if they chose to?
17	A.	No.
18	Q.	Okay. Did did the commission, each individual
19		commissioner have a budget to hire any staff at all?
20	A.	None.
21	Q.	Okay. Did you ever ask Justin Bennett to conduct any
22		sort of analysis around the Voting Rights Act on the
23		maps submitted by the voting commissioners?
24	Α.	Not per se.
25	Q.	Did you ever and strike that.

Page 84
Did you ever recommend to any of the commissioners
that they conduct an analysis on their maps on whether
it complies with the Voting Rights Act or not?
I urged the commissioners to be aware of their
obligations to follow the law.
Okay. I'm going to ask just a few more questions
before we go to break. You told me earlier what your
priorities were going into the redistricting process.
Did Commissioner Sims give you her priorities in
the redistricting process?
Commissioner Sims published her priorities along with
her first draft map, and I believe with her second
draft map. And I heard those priorities in an open
public meeting and in a variety of open public meetings
as those draft maps were discussed.
Did she ever express her priorities to you apart from
those in the public meetings or the publicly released
comments?
Yes.
And what did she tell you?
I was directly involved in negotiation between April
Sims and Paul Graves in the weekend preceding the final
vote on the maps, and I learned during that time many
details of how that negotiation was going. And so
through that negotiation, I learned her priorities at

		Page 85
1		the tail end of the negotiation about the few districts
2		that were being discussed at that time.
3	Q.	Okay. And do you recall what she told you were her
4		priorities in that last weekend?
5	Α.	In that last weekend, there was much discussion about
6		the 44th and the 28th. And at that time, the only
7		metric that I know that was being discussed for those
8		specific districts in the last three days of the
9		negotiation process were voter data, party affiliation
10		data.
11	Q.	Specifically party affiliation data or other voting
12		data?
13	Α.	Voting data. I would say voting data related to party
14		affiliation.
15	Q.	So how an individual voter voted in previous elections?
16	Α.	Yes.
17		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
18		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
19	Q.	Do you remember what the previous elections the
20		commissioners looked at in establishing their metrics?
21		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
22		THE WITNESS: I don't recall what they finally
23		landed on. It may have been it may have been the
24		secretary of state race, but I don't remember if
25		that's if that's what it was. Maybe it was down to

		Page 86
1		one race. I don't remember.
2		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
3	Q.	Okay.
4	A.	They could tell you.
5	Q.	Did Commissioner Walkinshaw give you his priorities in
6		the redistricting process, apart from what he mentioned
7		in public?
8	Α.	No.
9	Q.	Okay. Did Commissioner Graves give you his priorities
10		in the process, apart from what he mentioned in public
11		meetings or public statements?
12	A.	Yes, as it pertains to the final three days of
13		negotiation pertaining to two or three districts. I
14		believe it was the 28th and the 44th, and there may
15		have been maybe the 21st was under discussion.
16	Q.	Sorry. Can you repeat that? It was breaking up a
17		little bit. I got the 28th and the 44th and?
18	A.	And it may have been the 21st. But really we ended up
19		talking most about the 28th and the 44th.
20	Q.	Okay. And those are the two priorities for
21		Commissioner Sims as well; is that correct?
22	A.	That was the substance of that discussion, yes.
23	Q.	Got it.
24		Okay. Did Commissioner Fain ever give you his
25		priorities in the redistricting process?

	Page 87
1	A. Not beyond what he issued to the public.
2	Q. Okay.
3	A. And I also want to state here that I believe in the
4	integrity of the four commissioners, and I believe that
5	the priorities that they stated publicly were truly
6	their priorities.
7	Q. Did any of the nonindependent staff give you their
8	priorities in the redistricting process?
9	A. Not that I recall.
LO	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Okay. I think this is a
L1	good time to go off the record and take a break.
L2	(Recess 11:54-1:01.)
L3	
L4	EXAMINATION (Continuing)
L5	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
L6	Q. So I just have a few more questions about the Voting
L7	Rights Act generally and how the commission worked with
L8	it.
L9	First off, do you know if any of the commissioners
20	or their staff conducted a racially polarized voting
21	analysis?
22	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
23	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know
24	that.
25	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:

	Page 88
1	Q. Do you recall any conversations with the commissioners
2	regarding the amount of majority minority districts?
3	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
6	Q. And who did you discuss majority minority districts
7	with?
8	A. In the last three days leading up to the final vote, I
9	was in negotiation with Paul Graves and April Sims.
10	And as they were working through that final
11	negotiation, this was a topic that came up.
12	Q. Was there strike that.
13	Did Commissioner Sims have an idea, or did she
14	express to you an idea, of how many majority minority
15	districts the legislative district map should have?
16	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
17	THE WITNESS: She expressed conversation with
18	Paul about that in their negotiation, and I don't
19	recall the details of what she said. It was in
20	reference to their previous negotiations that I was not
21	privy to.
22	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
23	Q. And those conversations between Commissioner Sims and
24	Graves that you were mediating and that you were aware
25	of, did the commissioners discuss majority minority

	Page 89
1	districts in the context of the Voting Rights Act?
2	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
3	THE WITNESS: As I've heard that discussed,
4	what I remember is reference to making sure there was
5	compliance with the Voting Rights Act. And that was a
6	topic of conversation because there were, you know,
7	inconsistent interpretations of that.
8	But that was not really the topic under discussion.
9	It was you know, as I'm sure you understand, in any
10	negotiation, there are a series of trades. And so
11	there was discussion about the whole legislative
12	district map, you know, leading up to this final
13	negotiation and the final two districts, if that makes
14	sense.
15	And in my presence, anytime a majority minority
16	district came up, commissioners were very careful to
17	refer to the Voting Rights Act in compliance with the
18	Voting Rights Act.
19	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
20	Q. You said that the commissioners had different
21	interpretations of what constitutes requirements under
22	the Voting Rights Act; is that correct?
23	A. That was my sense.
24	Q. And what why did you have that sense that they had
25	inconsistent interpretations?

	Page 90
1	A. In this final three-day discussion that I was a part
2	of, there was shorthand that was being used, as they
3	were referring to previous agreements they had made.
4	And I wasn't taking notes or, you know, asking for
5	details or calling them out on that. I was really
6	focused on negotiating the final two districts. And so
7	I would say that was an impression that I had.
8	Q. Okay. I'm just trying to glean where that impression
9	came from. What conversations were there that caused
10	you to believe that there were inconsistent
11	interpretations?
12	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
13	THE WITNESS: These conversations were cursory
14	at best. It was more reference to previous decisions
15	that had negotiated agreements that had been made. So
16	it would have, you know, been I mean, I really I
17	really can't re-create it. I happened to be there as
18	they were talking about you know, I remember it
19	being referred to. But there wasn't direct
20	conversation about it, and I didn't ask for details,
21	because it wasn't really an issue in the 44th or the
22	28th that was raised in my hearing.
23	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
24	Q. Were you involved in discussions between Commissioner
25	Sims and Commissioner Graves regarding the legislative

		Page 91
1	d:	istricts in the Yakima Valley region?
2	A. W	hat I remember hearing was reference to anxiety that
3	t]	he Yakima Valley, the 15th the 14th and the 15th
4	W	ould be the major sticking point and sort of interest
5	t]	hat, Oh, well, it turns out that's not really what
6	ha	appened here. The 44th was. So that was the sort of
7	r	eference. I don't remember discussion beyond that.
8	Q. Ai	nd when you say "major sticking point," you mean major
9	S	ticking point in the negotiations between Sims and
10	G:	raves?
11	A. Y	es, regarding the legislative district map.
12	Q. D:	id it as far as you know, did any of the other
13	C	ommissioners spend significant time in the Yakima
14	Va	alley region?
15		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
16		THE WITNESS: I don't know that any
17	C	ommissioners spent time in the Yakima Valley beyond
18	01	ur tribal consultation with the Yakama Nation.
19	1	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
20	Q. Wo	ould it be safe to say that you were the most familiar
21	0:	f everyone working on the commission with the Yakima
22	Va	alley region?
23		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
24		THE WITNESS: The Yakima Valley is my
25	C	ommunity, certainly. And April Sims supervised an
	ı	

		Page 92
1		employee also living in the Yakima Valley. I asked
2		April Sims at one point if she had conversation with
3		her direct report, this person who reported directly to
4		her, and she said she felt it would be inappropriate
5		for that conversation to occur. And I trust that. I
6		trust her integrity in that regard.
7		So I would say I would have the most lived
8		experience, yes, in the Yakima Valley.
9		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
10	Q.	Did any of the commissioners ask you questions about
11		the demographics of the Yakima Valley region?
12	Α.	No.
13	Q.	Did any of the commissioners ask for your advice on the
14		drawing of lines in the Yakima Valley region?
15	Α.	No.
16	Q.	Okay. Do you feel it would have been appropriate for a
17		commissioner to ask you about the demographics of the
18		Yakima Valley region for the purpose of drawing maps?
19	Α.	No.
20	Q.	Okay. And you mentioned before trades, which, of
21		course, in every negotiation trades happen. Is it your
22		understanding that a majority minority district in the
23		Yakima Valley region was subject of one of these trades
24		between Commissioner Sims and Commissioner Graves?
25		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.

		Page 93
1		THE WITNESS: That was certainly not the
2		content of their negotiation around the 44th and the
3		28th.
4		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
5	Q.	Okay. But did you ever hear in reference, passing
6		reference, or in a proposal somewhere that the lines in
7		the 14th and 15th districts were part of a trade?
8		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
9		THE WITNESS: No.
10		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
11	Q.	Okay. Beyond just looking at majority minority
12		districts, do you know if any of the commission any
13		of the commissioners or their staffs expressed to you
14		that they were looking at the ability of a minority in
15		a district to elect the candidates of their choice?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	And who who did you discuss that with?
18	Α.	Brady Walkinshaw voiced that to me in conversation, and
19		in that same time period. And what he said to me was
20		consistent with his published position that's on
21		listed on our website.
22	Q.	So I understand that, yeah, his statement is listed on
23		the website, but in his conversation with you, what did
24		he say regarding that?
25	A.	So I had a conversation with him. I went to see him to

	Page 94
1	see how he was doing. I did this with all of the
2	commissioners multiple times throughout this three-day
3	period, just to check in and see how they were doing.
4	And it was an evening time. I think it was a
5	Saturday. And I asked how he was doing generally and
6	how he felt things were going generally.
7	He and Joe Fain were negotiating also. And he
8	voiced to me during that conversation, it's very
9	important to me that there is at least one majority
10	minority district.
11	But if I recall, and I don't recall exactly, that
12	there were two and possibly even three. He wasn't
13	talking about one. He had he cared about more than
14	one. And at the state level.
15	And so the way he was sharing that with me was
16	really sort of in a informal, casual way. And he was
17	also voicing his faith in Commissioner Sims to
18	negotiate that map, because he was not directly engaged
19	in that negotiation.
20	Q. Did you communicate that conversation with Commissioner
21	Walkinshaw to Commissioner Sims?
22	A. Absolutely not.
23	Q. Okay. And when he was talking when Commissioner
24	Walkinshaw was talking about one or two or possibly

three majority minority districts, was that in the

25

		Page 95
1		context of compliance with the Voting Rights Act?
2	Α.	I don't remember a reference to it. I don't remember a
3		direct reference. What I remember is a very deep
4		commitment to his constituency. And that commitment,
5		when I say "constituency," I mean the residents of the
6		state of Washington.
7	Q.	And when he was when Commissioner Walkinshaw was
8		referring to these potential majority minority
9		districts, was he specifically referring to the
10		districts in the Yakima Valley region?
11	Α.	Not in my hearing.
12	Q.	He just said it in a general sense?
13	Α.	(Witness nods head up and down.)
14	Q.	Okay. In part of that excuse me.
15		Did you have other conversations with Commissioner
16		Walkinshaw regarding the potential creation of majority
17		minority districts and the legislative map?
18	Α.	Not that I recall. That's the one I really remember.
19	Q.	Okay. Did Commissioner Walkinshaw, in that
20		conversation that you had with him, did he discuss the
21		idea of an opportunity district, as in a district that
22		allows a minority population to elect a candidate of
23		their choice?
24		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
25		THE WITNESS: I don't remember hearing that

		Page 96
1		term, no.
2		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
3	Q.	And if not specifically that term but that general
4		concept, did he discuss that with you?
5	Α.	I don't remember that level of detail.
6	Q.	Do you recall if he discussed the voting patterns of
7		Latino voters in the Yakima Valley region with you?
8	Α.	No.
9	Q.	So in that conversation regarding with Commissioner
10		Walkinshaw regarding the creation of majority minority
11		districts, did you and Commissioner Walkinshaw discuss
12		anything else regarding the minority populations of
13		that area or the ability for or the voting patterns
14		or anything outside of, we should create a majority
15		minority district somewhere in Washington?
16		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
17		THE WITNESS: I'm trying to remember if he
18		spoke directly about the Yakima Valley, and I honestly
19		don't remember. And there were many conversations
20		going on over, you know, three days without sleep. So
21		it's hard to recall exactly what was said and at what
22		time.
23		I remember that Commissioner Walkinshaw voiced a
24		very passionate priority around ensuring that people of
25		color would be adequately represented, and I just don't

	Page 97	
1	remember the exact detail.	
2	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:	
3	Q. Okay. Did did you ever direct either Lisa McLean to	
4	direct Justin Bennett or Justin Bennett directly to	
5	perform a racial voting analysis on any of the maps?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.	
8	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:	
9	Q. Do you know how many times?	
LO	A. We were by "we," it's really Justin in meetings	
L1	with me and Lisa, and our team were once again trying	
L2	to develop appropriate metrics for use by the	
L3	commissioners. So we generated "we" being Justin	
L4	generated many different maps with with metrics that	
L5	were created by him in conversation with the	
L6	independent staff.	
L7	But these were not it's you know, I don't	
L8	want to imply that we were creating maps for the	
L9	commissioners, because we were not. But we were	
20	looking at a lot of data and often many maps in a row.	
21	So we would adjust you know, Justin would adjust a	
22	metric, and we'd look at it again. And then make	
23	another adjustment, and look at it again.	
24	And often you're looking at, you know, five or six	
25	or ten or 15 different ways of looking at something.	

	DIRGIT 11000D111VL 107 007 2022
	Page 98
1	And the goal of this process is to provide the very
2	best data to the commissioners so that they would be
3	able to make informed decisions. So we certainly did
4	that related to the Voting Rights Act.
5	Q. Do you know if Justin Bennett was looking at voting age
6	populations or citizen voting age populations when
7	making these maps and metrics?
8	A. I think we were looking at all of those.
9	Q. Do you know for sure, or do you just think maybe?
10	A. As I said, when we were looking at as we were
11	creating different metrics, we would say, Let's look at
12	race. Okay, now let's look at you know, Hispanic. Now
13	let's look at Hispanic voting age. Now let's look at
14	all race voting age.
15	You know, many sequences of maps with all different
16	kinds of data. I cannot speak to how much of those
17	resources were looked at or used by the voting
18	commissioners or their staff.
19	Q. When Justin Bennett would create one of these maps,
20	would he e-mail them to you or to Lisa McLean or
21	what how would he convey this product to you?
22	A. Often I would come to Olympia, and we would sit in a
23	room for eight hours and do this.
24	Q. Do you know if those maps are archived anywhere?

A. Certainly if a tool was created, it would be archived.

25

	Page 99
1	But in terms of those meetings, I'm not sure any of
2	that was saved. It was a brainstorming session.
3	Some of it might be saved. Justin would certainly
4	know that. Justin was extremely careful about sharing
5	everything created with every commission and every
6	staff member of every voting commissioner, and careful
7	about cataloging, making sure that was all uploaded.
8	All of those drafts, I don't know.
9	Q. Okay. Do you recall if Justin Bennett performed
10	analyses either in these metrics or maps regarding the
11	turnout rates of minority voters?
12	A. I don't recall, but if it was a piece of data, we
13	probably looked at it. I don't recall that
14	specifically, but we were looking at everything.
15	Q. Okay. And do you happen to know what set of data
16	Justin Bennett was using when producing these metrics,
17	as in the ACS data or before, you know, the census data
18	came out?
19	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
20	THE WITNESS: I don't remember what data we
21	used for what metric. We were certainly looking at the
22	2010 census data before we had 2020 census data. And
23	then we were also looking at intermediate data and
24	voting data.
25	I don't I don't remember, you know, the sorting

	Page 100
1	criteria. It depends from my point of view, we
2	would look at one thing and turn it and look at it
3	again, you know. So we'd say, Hey, let's look at the
4	2010 data first. Let's look at our most recent
5	imperfect data. Let's look at it with the election
6	data. We were looking at it every which way.
7	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
8	Q. And I understand that Justin Bennett produced a lot of
9	these metrics and maps for the benefit of the
10	commissioners in drawing their maps, right? Is that
11	correct?
12	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
15	Q. Did Justin Bennett analyze through these contexts of
16	race and turnout, or whatever metrics that you
17	mentioned, did he apply those metrics to maps already
18	created by the commission?
19	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
20	THE WITNESS: I don't remember. The maps
21	the analysis I remember really focusing on with the
22	draft maps before we had census data was really looking
23	for overlap so that we could define collective
24	interest. We could look at the places where there was
25	the most distance and where there was the most overlap.

		Page 101
1		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
2	Q.	I have an e-mail I'll share in the chat, and I'll
3		screen share it as well.
4		(Exhibit No. 2 marked
5		for identification.)
6		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
7	Q.	All right. Can you see this e-mail?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	So it looks like an e-mail that April Sims had sent to
LO		Paul Graves and then forwarded it to you, and you
L1		forwarded it to Lisa McLean; is that correct?
L2	Α.	Right.
L3	Q.	Okay. Do you recall receiving this e-mail?
L4	Α.	Yes.
L5	Q.	Okay. So here Commissioner Sims made a proposal for a
L6		map to Commissioner Graves. And one of the highlights
L7		that she mentions is that the 15th Legislative District
L8		is now 49.2 percent in ACS 2019 CVAP estimates.
L9		Did you have any discussion with April Sims about
20		the fact that her this legislative district now was
21		lower than 50 percent?
22	Α.	I remember this conversation and trying to ask for the
23		basis of the negotiations that they had done up to this
24		point. What what were the criteria or the main
25		topics they were negotiating around?

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022

Page 102

And so April sent this. And I forwarded it to Lisa so that we could analyze it among the independent staff. And then I drove to Olympia so that we could look at it together.

And so what I remember is my independent staff, that is to say Justin and Lisa and Daniel, and I sat down so that they could brief me so I could come to the negotiation fully informed and I would understand what all the things, the details of what they were talking about.

To this point, I had been excluded from the negotiation. So this was the first time I heard what the -- what was the main substance of their negotiation. And so what I remember is April sent this to me. I went to Olympia, looked at it with Daniel and Lisa and Justin. And then came to the negotiation with April and Paul equipped to talk about what they needed to talk about.

And so what I remember is not -- I don't remember having specific conversation about any of these bullet points. What we were really focused on is the 44th and the 28th. And this was context for me to help them wade through that negotiation.

Q. So in your -- was it your understanding that everything else other than those two districts that you mentioned

	Page 103
1	were pretty much resolved at this stage?
2	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
3	THE WITNESS: I understood that everything was
4	resolved until the governor appointed the secretary of
5	state out of the 44th to a state position. Then
6	everything went up in the air.
7	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
8	Q. Can you give me a brief description of how that
9	appointment affected these negotiations?
10	A. I believe that prior to that appointment, the
11	legislative district map was close to being negotiated.
12	I think it was I don't know, but I think they were
13	close, if not essentially done. I don't know that, but
14	that's an assumption that I make.
15	Part of the agreement they made in the 44th and
16	I don't remember the details of what happened in the
17	44th. But part of the agreement they made was
18	predicated on the idea that, while a democrat was in
19	office in the 44th, he was a moderate democrat who
20	sometimes voted with republicans.
21	So there was assurance that you know, that
22	whatever deals they had made in the 44th were going to
23	be it would be it would I guess my
24	understanding is that the district composition it
25	felt like having a competitive district or making gains

Page 104 for democratic voters in that district would not be terrible for the republican constituents to swallow because there was a moderate democrat in the seat. And so when that appointment was made, when the secretary of state was appointed to that position, the secretary of state, that undermined Commissioner Graves' trust that Commissioner Sims was negotiating in good faith. So he believed that she knew that was coming and made a negotiation. And he felt very foolish. So the main substance of our negotiation was trying to reestablish trust between Paul Graves and April Sims. Q. Did you have any reason to believe that Commissioner Sims knew about this appointment ahead of time? A. I have no opinion about that. I believe, you know, what April Sims said in the negotiation, which is that she did not have any knowledge of that. And I trust her integrity. staff for analysis, do you recall what the -- if any,

- Q. Okay. When you took this proposal to the independent the conclusions were regarding the 15th Legislative District?
- A. Can you ask that again, please? 23
- 24 O. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25 When you took this proposal, this latest proposal

		Page 105
1		here, to your independent staff to look at, right,
2		before the last couple of days of the negotiations, do
3		you recall if there were any conclusions or discussions
4		about the 15th Legislative District?
5		MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.
6		THE WITNESS: So there were certainly
7		discussions. So if I don't recall exactly what we
8		discussed. But my guess is, based on what we had done
9		previously, we would have looked at all of these
10		different we would have looked at each one of these.
11		So we would have looked at the 2019 CVAP data. We
12		would have looked at the ASC 2019 data and compared
13		them all, just so that I would be prepared to talk
14		about this if it came up. So that I would have enough
15		information and knowledge to be a a reasonably
16		equipped, you know, partner in the negotiation.
17		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
18	Q.	Was there any concern about the 15th Legislative
19		District expressed by the independent staff?
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	Okay. And correct me if I'm wrong, but my
22		understanding from what you said, you're well prepared
23		on to discuss between the commissioners about all of
24		these bullet points but the legislative district
25		15th Legislative District didn't come up for the

Page 106
MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I don't remember that it came
up. And I felt very well prepared for that weekend.
And, you know, here we are nearly a year later. I
don't remember any instances really of that
conversation.
I also want to make one additional comment. My
expectations of the independent staff were high of Lisa
and her staff. The independent staff is to be
impartial and to comply with that at all times
regardless of what their personal opinions would be.
It was my ambition that they would not ever know what
each other's personal opinions were, that we would
operate with the highest level of integrity to ensure
that we would supply the best possible advice to the
commissioners.
BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
Q. Did you consider it a duty of the independent staff to
inform the commissioners if they believed that a map is
not compliant with the Voting Rights Act?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you recall a situation where a member of the
independent staff informed you or another commissioner
that a map was not compliant with the Voting Rights
Act?

	Page 107
1	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
2	THE WITNESS: No. While we looked carefully
3	at the Barreto report, no one on the independent staff
4	is an attorney or has specific expertise in the Voting
5	Rights Act. Our job was to supply the commissioners
6	with the best information that they could have so that
7	they could make the decision.
8	And I was very clear with all of my staff and with
9	the public and repeated over and over and over again in
10	open public meetings that I would not have my thumb on
11	the scale, that the commissioners were entrusted by the
12	public to make the best decision for everyone. And
13	that was their job to do.
14	The best way that I could help them to prevent
15	making decisions in violation of the Voting Rights Act
16	was: Number one, to make sure they were trained,
17	number two, to make sure they had regular access to
18	counsel to ask questions and to make sure that they
19	could check in on their decisions, and three was to
20	strongly encourage them to retain expert counsel to
21	make the best decisions possible. Beyond that, it was
22	their job to comply with all of their mandates.
23	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
24	Q. Do you believe that the commissioners were sufficiently
25	trained in the requirements of the Voting Rights Act?

	Page 108
1	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know. Two commissioners
3	are attorneys. The other two commissioners had access
4	to professional staff supplied by the houses of the
5	legislature. I didn't question that. I felt they had
6	access to expertise. That was my job was to equip them
7	with expertise. Not my job to advise them on what
8	decision to make. My job to equip them so they're
9	prepared to make good choices.
10	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
11	Q. If a commissioner wanted to speak to an attorney, a
12	counsel, regarding anything regarding the redistricting
13	maps, would they contact the office themselves or would
14	they contact you or how would that work?
15	MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.
16	THE WITNESS: Only one person was empowered to
17	make expenditures without a majority decision of the
18	commission, and that was Lisa McLean. As the executive
19	director, she was empowered to make decisions up to a
20	\$15,000. There was a \$15,000 cap. No other person,
21	including any commissioner, could spend commission
22	money without going to an open public meeting and
23	voting.
24	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
25	Q. Did the commissioners have access to attorneys from the

	Page 109
1	Attorney General's Office to discuss legal components?
2	A. The Attorney General's Office provided what I would
3	call a detail to us. I'm not saying that's what they
4	call it. I don't know what they call it. But we had
5	two attorneys that we consulted with regularly from the
6	AG's Office. And we consulted with them in open
7	meetings in executive session multiple times.
8	Q. Outside of these open meetings, do you know if any of
9	the commissioners consulted with an attorney, whether
10	from the Attorney General's Office or any other office,
11	regarding the compliance of their maps?
12	MR. MILLSTEIN: I'm just going to object to
13	the extent you are seeking anything that would be
14	covered by the attorney-client privileged, the joint
15	privilege of the commissioners and the discussions they
16	had.
17	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: So just to clarify, I'm
18	not asking about the substance but rather if this
19	communication happened.
20	MR. MILLSTEIN: But you are asking if they
21	were seeking legal advice about specific issues.
22	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Okay. I can rephrase it.
23	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
24	Q. As far as you know, did a commissioner contact an
25	attorney outside of these open public meetings to

Page 110

discuss redistricting?

A. I am going to try and summarize what I know in the hopes that it answers your question. As the chair, I spoke with AG folks regularly, very often. Sometimes weekly or multiple times a week. Any communication I had with the AG, the AG's Office or assistant attorney general, was privileged to the extent that anything I shared with them could be and would be shared with every commissioner on the commission. That was the agreement. Because the assistant AG represented the commission, not individual commissioners.

So I don't know if that helps to answer your question. I assume that all commissioners understood that they had access to the Attorney General's Office, with the understanding that whatever they ask and share could be shared with the rest of the commission.

Q. Okay. Yeah, that's good to know.

And one last question about this: Did -- you mentioned that there was a budget for consulting or anything, and if someone went outside of that budget, they would have to vote on it; is that correct?

MR MILLSTEIN: Objection Misstates prior

MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection. Misstates prior testimony.

THE WITNESS: We had monthly administrative meetings. And every month at the administrative

	Page 111
1	meeting, a budget was shared. At that time, Lisa
2	McLean would explain she would give a budget report
3	and explain what expenditure had been made.
4	It was a complex budget with multiple line items.
5	So as various aspects that were discussed, that was
6	uploaded to the internet so that everyone could have
7	access to it in open public meeting. Expenditures were
8	to be voted on, unless they were made by Lisa McLean
9	and under \$15,000. Anything over \$15,000 would have to
10	be voted on, and a majority of votes would have to
11	carry that decision.
12	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
13	Q. Okay. And last question: When the attorney
14	representatives from the attorney general came and made
15	those presentations in the open meetings, was there any
16	sort of, like, fee arrangement that had to come out of
17	the commission budget to the Attorney General's Office
18	or anything like that?
19	MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.
20	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Sorry.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, we were charged by the
22	Attorney General's Office for the representation that
23	they provided to us.
24	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
25	Q. Okay. Do you recall how much?

	Page 112
1	A. No, but that is a matter of public record and would be
2	easily found on the website.
3	Q. Okay. I keep saying this is the last question, but I
4	guess one last question: Do you know if the attorney
5	general would bill per consult, or was it like a
6	general retainer agreement?
7	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
8	THE WITNESS: I'm so thankful that I had a
9	highly competent executive director who managed details
10	of that nature. I don't recall, but luckily Lisa was
11	tracking all of that.
12	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
13	Q. Okay. So now I want to talk a little bit about the
14	last couple of days of the negotiation, which we've
15	talked on a few times already. I'm going to share a
16	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Oh, Connie, if you aren't
17	already, that e-mail should be marked as No. 2. Thank
18	you.
19	So I'm going to share I'd like this to be marked
20	as Exhibit 3.
21	(Exhibit No. 3 marked
22	for identification.)
23	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
24	Q. And this is a memo from Ali O'Neil to the senate
25	majority leader with kind of a timeline of the

	Page 113
1	redistricting events. Have you seen this memo before?
2	A. I have.
3	Q. Have you read it fully?
4	A. Yes, very many months ago.
5	Q. Uh-huh. We're just going to refer to it because it's
6	as complete a timeline as I've seen from the last
7	couple of days.
8	Did you read a draft of this before Ali O'Neil sent
9	it to the senate majority leader?
10	MR. HUGHES: Object to form, specifically the
11	prolog.
12	THE WITNESS: No.
13	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
14	Q. Sorry. I didn't hear your answer, Sarah.
15	A. No.
16	Q. Okay. And I know you read it a few months ago, but do
17	you feel this memo is relatively accurate?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Do you recall specifically where you disagree with what
20	the memo says?
21	And you can let me know if you want me to scroll.
22	A. Sure. I'll let you know.
23	MR. MILLSTEIN: And, Sarah, this is a
24	relatively small PDF. So you can download the whole
25	thing to review at your leisure.

		Page 114
1		THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
2		So this last bullet point, I don't that's not
3		what I remember. I would not provide a proposal from
4		one party to the other. I was mediating a direct
5		negotiation between two people, and I don't agree with
6		the way that's conveyed here.
7		So you can keep paging down.
8		Okay. You can keep paging down.
9		Many of the details that or that Ali lists here,
10		I was simply not privy to.
11		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
12	Q.	Okay. We can revisit the rest of the document, but I
13		have a few questions.
14	Α.	Sure.
15	Q.	So the commission had a deadline to pass a completed
16		and final map by midnight on the night of
17		November 15th; is that correct?
18	Α.	That's right.
19	Q.	Was it part of your duty as the chair of the commission
20		to ensure that the maps were passed by the deadline?
21	Α.	No, absolutely not.
22	Q.	As far as you know, is there a single person that had
23		the duty to ensure that the maps were passed by this
24		deadline?
25	A.	Maybe I need you to rephrase the question.

1	Q. So you said you didn't or you said that you didn't
2	think that the ensuring the passage of these maps
3	were part of your duties as the chair; is that right?
4	MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.
5	THE WITNESS: When you say that, what I hear
6	in terms of passage of the maps, is that it's my job to
7	ensure that they vote and come to a vote where they
8	agree on the passage of maps. That is not my job.
9	That's their job. My job is to provide them with the
10	best facilitation that I possibly can. It's not my job
11	to produce maps. It's the voting commissioners' job to
12	do that.
13	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
14	Q. Okay. So you don't take any responsibility for the
15	failure of the maps or the failure of the consensus
16	around the maps to be passed by midnight?
17	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
18	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.
19	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
20	Q. Do you take responsibility, any responsibility, for the
21	failure of the maps to be passed before the midnight
22	deadline?
23	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
24	THE WITNESS: I'm reflecting on all the ways I
25	could interpret that question. I can probably think of

		Page 116
1		at least three. So I will say no to all of that. I
2		did not take responsibility.
3		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
4	Q.	What were your three interpretations, if you don't mind
5		me asking?
6	A.	Not at all.
7		One, that it's my job to get a final product, that
8		was not my responsibility. Two, to make sure that they
9		agree, also not my responsibility. Three, to produce
10		the physical objects themselves, no, not my
11		responsibility.
12	Q.	Okay. So you mentioned that you disagreed with the
13		bullet point in the Ali O'Neil memo, the last bullet
14		point on Page 2; is that correct?
15	A.	That's not how I remember that conversation going, and
16		I'm pretty sure Ali O'Neil wasn't in the room when we
17		were having the conversation.
18	Q.	Okay. Did you did you act as a mediator that day
19		between Commissioner Sims and Commissioner Graves?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	Can you explain to me what you did as a mediator on
22		that day?
23	A.	I provided each of them the opportunity to be fully
24		heard by the other. I strongly encouraged them round
25		after round to think about every potential possibility

		Page 117
1		for what could be, to expand the conversation beyond
2		rigid positions of the two parties to try and think
3		creatively about areas of give and to reestablish a
4		relationship of trust between the parties.
5	Q.	Were Commissioner Sims and Commissioner Graves in the
6		same room for most of the day?
7	Α.	What day are you talking about?
8	Q.	On November 15th.
9	Α.	November 15th. This is Monday.
10		What I remember is that on Monday there were
11		intermediate meetings followed by long periods of, you
12		know, breakout space where they would go and talk with
13		their staff. I believe in that breakout space what
14		they were doing was mapping the different scenarios.
15	Q.	Were you going between the rooms that these
16		commissioners were located conveying offers or not?
17	Α.	No. In fact, I stayed in the central space, and they
18		came to me and periodically I would go and do
19		check-ins, not conveying offers but rather just
20		checking in to see if they were getting what they
21		needed and asking to see what additional things they
22		might need.
23	Q.	Can you give me some examples of some additional things
24		that they might need?

A. Probably the thing that was most requested was time,

25

		Page 118
1		just wanting more time. But, you know, this would be
2		the kind of thing where, is there is there someone
3		that that you know, is there could Justin
4		provide a service for you? Could Daniel provide a
5		service for you? So that just to help support your
6		staff as they're trying to map this.
7		Are there you know, are there metrics that we
8		can supply you with? Because when you start trying to
9		thinking outside the box, perhaps those metrics could
10		change. And so those were the kinds of things.
11	Q.	Further down on Page 3 is the sixth sixth bullet
12		point fifth bullet point, sorry. Ali O'Neil writes,
13		"Just before 7 PM Commission staff member Justin
14		Bennett sent a calendar invite for a 'Final Map
15		Verification Meeting.'"
16		Did you request that meeting?
17	Α.	Yes, I did.
18	Q.	Okay. Were you planning on requesting it at that time,
19		or did you come up with that idea at that moment?
20	Α.	That meeting was scheduled in an open public meeting,
21		the meeting just prior to this. So we had created a
22		calendar for how the final day would go, and we agreed
23		at that time that we would have that we would go
24		over the final maps at 7:00 p.m.
25	Q.	And did you go over the final maps at that time?

		SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022
		Page 119
1	Α.	We did not.
2	Q.	Okay. And then later on, this is Bullet Point 7, Ali
3		O'Neil writes: As it was initially explained to me at
4		a meeting on November 12th, commission staff were
5		supposed to join the public meeting every half-hour.
6		Do you recall if the commission staff were joining
7		the public meeting every half-hour?
8	A.	If commission staff were joining at every half-hour?
9	Q.	Yeah. That's what she wrote.
10	A.	I don't recall who was attending those meetings every
11		half-hour. I was attempting to be on those meetings
12		every half-hour. And I think there was a long period
13		where nobody there was just, you know, a like a
14		placard or something. But I was the one who was in
15		that public meeting more than anyone else, is what I
16		remember.
17	Q.	Okay. And then later on in the bullet point, she
18		writes, "Commissioner Augustine was telling staff and
19		the Commissioners that counsel was instructing them to
20		join the public meeting more frequently and give more
21		detailed updates on what they were discussing."
22		Would you agree with that?
23	A.	I would agree that I encouraged the commissioners many

times to give updates during those meetings, yes.

24

25

Q. Okay. And during this time, were you still acting as a

Page 120

mediator between Sims and Graves?

A. From the time the public meeting started, my main job was just running the public meeting. And that was a fairly chaotic meeting because the teams were still negotiating.

So this was what I understood. Prior to this meeting, prior to 7 o'clock, there were two teams. There was the house team, and there was the senate team. And they were forming agreements and generating agreements.

My understanding, as it was conveyed to me, was that they then at that time switched. This was very late in the game. We wanted to have all of this done by Friday. But here we are at the 11th hour trying to negotiate this. And at this time, there would then be a republican team and a democratic team. And at this time, they would be briefing each other on the maps.

Because they hadn't done that in an open public meeting prior to that. You know, had we had the full maps done at 7 o'clock, they would have been doing it there. But now we're in a situation where, you know, the democrats are briefing each other on what their maps are like, and the republicans are briefing each other on what the maps are like so that when they go over it in the open public meeting, they'll be able to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022

Page 121 vote. Because the negotiation went so long that, you know, they were needing to brief each other on what was going on, what they were going to be seeing in these proposals. So there was a lot going on at that time. There were a lot of conversations going on. And what I understood -- and to put that another way, I guess, what I understood is that's what was happening. republican team was meeting, and the democratic team was meeting. O. Sorry. Going back to the last bullet point on Page 2, I meant to ask. Although you disagree with the sentiment of this bullet point, that Commissioner Sims conveyed to the republicans a proposal on partisan metrics through you, do you know if Commissioner Sims conveyed a proposal to the republicans on partisan metrics at all? A. There were three people in the room, as I recall, Paul Graves, April Sims and me. And there were many proposals going back and forth during that time. Q. Would you characterize any of those proposals as being

- on partisan metrics?
- A. They were about the 44th and the 28th. And they were about partisan voter -- based on partisan voter data. So to establish, is this going to be a lean district,

Page 122
will it be a competitive district or what? I mean,
really, at that stage there's only two, lean or
competitive for that final negotiation.
Q. Okay. Sorry. I'm pulling up another exhibit.
So this is a text message produced by April Sims.
And she says it was texted to Sarah Augustine. Do you
remember this text message conversation?
MR. MILLSTEIN: Deylin, can you share this
exhibit?
MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Yeah, sorry. I'm working
on it.
MR. MILLSTEIN: Can we pause on the questions
until we have it?
MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Oh, yeah.
MR. MILLSTEIN: And then are you going to
introduce this as well?
MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Yes.
(Exhibit No. 4 marked
for identification.)
MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Sorry. I can't figure
out how to share screen and open the chat at the same
time. There.
Yeah, I'd like to have this introduced as the next
exhibit.
BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:

	Page 123
1	Q. So in the text message exchange, you texted, "How's it
2	going?"
3	And April Sims texted, "We are at a stale mate."
4	Do you recall this conversation?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Did you attempt to mediate between the two of them
7	after this text message conversation?
8	A. This is at 8:09 p.m.?
9	Q. Uh-huh.
10	A. I think by this time I'm in the open public meeting.
11	So I'm really just checking in with them to see if
12	there's progress. I don't remember mediating at this
13	point. What I remember is, once the public meeting
14	started, I was trying to just give updates and then put
15	pressure on the commissioners and their staff to use
16	the maps.
17	Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to this memo. On the
18	second bullet point on Page 4, Ali O'Neil writes: At
19	around 8:45 I heard Commissioners Walkinshaw and Sims
20	agreed to a deal that was based almost solely on
21	partisanship numbers in a few legislative districts.
22	Do you remember were you privy to that deal?
23	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
24	THE WITNESS: No. My goal at this time was
25	simply to determine whether they were going to carry on

	Page 124
1	or call it. And what I mean by "call it" is just
2	announce to the public they could not come to
3	agreement.
4	So my understanding of what was going on at that
5	time was the republican team was meeting, democratic
6	team was meeting. And they were determining, you know,
7	what they were prepared to do.
8	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
9	Q. I'm going to share one more text message exchange with
10	April Sims.
11	MR. MILLSTEIN: And could you put that in the
12	chat first?
13	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Yeah, I just did.
14	(Exhibit No. 5 marked
15	for identification.)
16	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
17	Q. So at 11:38 p.m., Commissioner Sims text you, "Are you
18	asking us to vote during this meeting?"
19	And you said, "Yes."
20	And she said, "I wasn't aware of that, I haven't
21	talked to Brady about the cd maps."
22	Do you recall this text message exchange?
23	A. I do.
24	Q. Okay. What prompted Commissioner Sims to ask you, "Are
25	you asking us to vote during this meeting?"

	57HdHi 710C0511WE 10, 00, 2022
_	Page 125
A.	I cannot imagine what prompted her to ask that. We had
	laid out very clearly what the process would be that
	evening, and we had we had "we" being the
	independent staff, mainly me as the facilitator but
	also Lisa McLean, ad nauseam what the steps would be
	and that the final maps would need to be voted on to
	become the law.
	So when she asked this message, I was shocked. I
	was floored. And I guess I don't have any more to say
	about it. I don't understand what motivated that
	question in the least.
Q.	So you believe that you had fully informed the
	commission that they were going to vote during this
	meeting; is that correct?
	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
	THE WITNESS: Yes. I had reiterated and
	repeated the law, which was open to everyone to see and
	review from the very first day.
	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Okay. I'd like to have
	this marked as the next exhibit.
	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
Q.	Do you recall when you called for the final vote on the
	maps?
	-

- A. It was, if I recall, slightly before midnight.
- 25 Q. Were all the commissioners in the same room or was it

	Page 126
	on Zoom, the vote?
Α.	It was on Zoom, to the best of my recollection. I was
	sitting in the hallway of the hotel with my laptop. So
	I honestly don't know where the rest of the
	commissioners were. I was in the hall.
Q.	Do you know if strike that. Sorry.
	Do you recall what you told the commissioners you
	were going to be voting on or they were going to be
	voting on?
	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.
	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
Q.	When you did you did you tell the commissioners,
	Now we are going to vote?
	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
	THE WITNESS: The agenda for the meeting was a
	public document a full month before. And in that
	agenda, there was a call for a vote. So at some point,
	I believe, in the public meeting, I said I called
	for a vote, yes.
	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
Q.	And why did you call for a vote at specifically the
	time you called for the vote?
Α.	Because the commissioners indicated to me that they had
	finished their negotiations and they were ready to
	Q. Q.

	Page 127
1	vote.
2	Q. Did the commissioners present maps to vote on?
3	A. They did not.
4	Q. Was it your understanding that or strike that.
5	When you called the final vote, what was your
6	understanding what did you understand would be voted
7	on?
8	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
9	THE WITNESS: I understood that maps were
10	being drawn all the way along the negotiation process.
11	Certainly staff were communicating with each other and
12	mapping side by side. While I was not privy to it, I
13	assumed that was going on for the months leading up to
14	this final weekend. But in my view, for at least two
15	days, the staff were mapping side by side.
16	So I assumed that there was an impasse about the
17	final two districts in the congressional district map.
18	I understood that the I'm sorry, that the
19	congressional district maps excuse me.
20	I understood that the congressional district maps
21	were completed and that the legislative district maps
22	were nearly completed, with the exception of the final
23	two districts. So what I imagined was that the maps
24	were nearly complete and only had to have adjustments
25	made in the two, the two districts that were being

Page 128

negotiated.

Understanding that that's still a complex thing, because whatever you decide there is going to impact the populations in all of the surrounding areas and it has a cascading effect. So I knew that there would have to be some adjustment, but I assumed that these maps were primarily mapped.

So as they were voting, I assumed they were voting on maps that had been described, that they had been viewed, that when the republican team and democratic team were meeting, that they were discussing this.

Their staff were briefing them, they were sharing it with each other and that they were ready to vote on completed maps. That's what I understood we were voting on.

I also understood that we did not have physical maps that we were sharing with the public. I understood that. But I believe they were nearing completion and that they would be -- that we would post them on the website within an hour or two of the final vote.

BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:

- Q. Did anyone tell you that the maps were completed before the final vote?
- 25 A. No.

Page 129

- Q. And when did the maps end up getting posted for the public?
- A. I think the congressional map was posted at 6:00 a.m.

 And I think the legislative map was posted at 4:00 p.m.

 on the 16th of November. Sometime around 6:00 p.m.

 6:00, 6:15, something like that.
 - Q. So were you surprised that the maps were not in a state to be posted within an hour?
- 9 | A. Yes.

1

2

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 10 | Q. Did you convey that feeling to anyone else?
- 11 A. Yes. I conveyed frustration throughout the evening
 12 that they were not sending my staff, the independent
 13 staff, maps. Because I believed that they were close,
 14 and I believed they were ready to send those.

And I will also make it clear, I believed that we lost jurisdiction at midnight. I understood that. I was aware of it, as were all the commissioners. The commissioners were all aware that we lost jurisdiction at midnight.

Q. So just to understand a little bit about the process that you had already scheduled in advance, was the procedure to be once the maps were agreed on and drawn, it would be sent to the independent staff so the independent staff can post online? Is that how the process went?

		Page 130
1		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
2		THE WITNESS: The process was that the
3		independent staff would be mapping together, and they
4		would send that to the independent staff who would post
5		it.
6		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
7	Q.	Sorry. The nonindependent staff would be mapping
8		together and then send it to the independent staff to
9		post, okay?
LO	Α.	Yes.
L1		So Justin sent that e-mail, that invitation, at
L2		7:00 p.m. believing that the congressional staff would
L3		then together review the maps that they had mutually
L4		drawn and make any adjustments they needed to make
L5		before they sent it to Justin. And that is, in fact,
L6		the process that happened, but it happened many hours
L7		later.
L8	Q.	So further down in this memo on Page 7, Bullet Point 2,
L9		Ali O'Neil writes, "The Commissioners agreed to send
20		the congressional map file to commission staff."
21		Do you recall that meeting?
22		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
23		THE WITNESS: I had very little to do with
24		what happened in the congressional map.
25		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Page 131 Q. Okay. Further down on Page 7, Bullet Point, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, Ali O'Neil writes, "I then heard the Commissioners discuss how they would portray what they They discussed saying that they did not make had done. the deadline and did not adopt final maps, but that they drew maps later that fit the agreement they voted on." Were you part of that conversation? A. Yes. Q. Can you elaborate a little bit more about that conversation of how to portray the events of the night of November 15th? So at this point, the public meeting had ended. And I conveyed -- this is the first time that we had ever all been in a room together. And I conveyed to the commissioners what they knew, which is that we had lost our jurisdiction. So at that point, we were discussing how we would proceed in communicating, given that we acknowledged that we had lost our jurisdiction. So we were discussing how -- what we would convey and how and to whom, acknowledging that there weren't maps presented in the open public meeting. And the next step was to

cede our jurisdiction to the Supreme Court.

Page 132 1 1, 2, 3 -- Bullet Point 6: At approximately 8:50 p.m. 2 on Tuesday, November 16th, I saw an e-mail from Lisa 3 McLean transmitting the legislative map and 4 congressional map files to the Washington Supreme 5 Court. 6 Did you -- do you know who made the decision to 7 send the map files to the Washington Supreme Court? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Was that you or someone else? 10 A. That was me. 11 O. Okay. Did you review the maps before sending them to 12 the Washington Supreme Court? 13 A. No. 14 O. Did you draft the letter to the Washington Supreme 15 Court that was conveyed with the maps? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. Did you consult with any of the commissioners 18 prior to sending the files to the Washington Supreme 19 Court? 20 A. What I remember was that we discussed the transmission 21 of the maps to the Supreme Court, basically the steps 22 of relinquishing our jurisdiction. And this was 23 between midnight and 4:00 in the morning, between the 24 14th and 15th. So midnight the 16th through 4:00 in 25 the morning.

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022

Page 133

There was a discussion and then map -- and then staff were mapping side by side. So there was this sense of, Well, we didn't do it, but at least we can convey to the Supreme Court we can give them the work that we did. Because we did actually come to consensus, and we can share with them the work that we completed.

At about 4:30 in the morning, I left. And my understanding was that staff and commissioners were going to sleep and complete their tasks. I went to the office in Olympia and worked with the independent staff to generate -- you know, to get ready to generate the memo and to receive the maps, that we assumed were coming pretty quickly, so that we could post those to the internet, we could post those to our website, and then convey that full package to the Supreme Court with our best wishes.

- Q. Were you under the impression that all of the voting commissioners had seen the final maps before they were conveyed to you?
- A. Can you -- can you restate that, please?
- Q. I guess who -- who sent you or a member of the independent staff the final legislative district map?

MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: The final legislative district

	Page 134
1	map was reviewed by the legislative districts or by
2	the by the legislative map team staff, with Justin
3	Bennett just as we planned. It didn't happen at
4	7:00 p.m. on the 15th. It happened in the afternoon on
5	the 16th. But it was exactly the same.
6	Those staff who had created the map were in a
7	meeting with Justin, and I was in the building when
8	that happened. They were conveying the final map. And
9	that bipartisan staff team reviewing it to ensure that,
LO	yes, this is indeed what we agreed to that we would do.
L1	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
L2	Q. Do you know if Justin Bennett or any of the other
L3	members of the legislative district team conveyed those
L4	final maps to the other two commissioners before
L5	sending them to you as the final maps?
L6	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
L7	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
L8	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
L9	Q. Okay. And after you drafted the letter that would be
20	conveyed with the maps to the Supreme Court, did anyone
21	else review it before you sent it to the Supreme Court?
22	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
23	THE WITNESS: So I composed that letter with
24	Lisa McLean. That was a joint effort. She certainly
25	reviewed it. And I remember receiving a text from Joe

	Page 135
1	Fain asking to see it. And I remember sending that to
2	him as an attachment, because he requested it.
3	Please understand that all of the commissioners had
4	agreed what process we were going to follow. And Joe
5	happened to ask me, Hey, I could I see that letter?
6	And I said sure. I took a picture of it with my
7	phone and texted it to him.
8	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
9	Q. Did he make any changes to the letter or request any
10	changes?
11	A. I I don't remember that.
12	Q. Okay. Did any commissioners after strike that.
13	After you posted the maps to the website and
14	conveyed them to the Supreme Court, did any
15	commissioners reach out to you expressing concern that
16	they hadn't seen these final maps before they were
17	conveyed?
18	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
21	Q. Who reached out to you?
22	A. Brady Walkinshaw.
23	Q. And what did he say?
24	A. He left a voicemail, as I recall, saying that he was
25	disappointed because he had not seen the legislative

		SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/00/2022
		Page 136
1		map.
2	Q.	Did he express any reason why he felt disappointed
3		other than, I hadn't seen it?
4	A.	That's what I remember.
5	Q.	Okay. Did you call him back?
6	Α.	I had a conversation with Brady on Thursday morning.
7		Wednesday Thursday morning, I think.
8	Q.	And do you recall what happened in that conversation on
9		Thursday?
10	Α.	Yes. Brady apologized to me for being difficult
11		throughout the redistricting process and asked for my
12		forgiveness. He also told me that he affirmed the maps
13		and he was looking forward to our press unveil, which
14		we had later on Thursday.
15	Q.	Sorry. Can you repeat that last thing you said? It
16		was breaking up a little bit.
17	A.	He was looking forward to our press unveil, which we
18		had later on that Thursday.
19	Q.	Okay. And when he apologized for being difficult
20		throughout the redistricting process, what does that
21		mean to you?
22	Α.	I hesitate to speculate.
23	Q.	Well, do you believe that he was difficult to you
24		throughout the redistricting process?

A. I believe that every person, every voting commissioner,

25

	Page 137
1	had interests that they were bringing to the table.
2	And Brady had interests he was bringing to the table.
3	And I believe he did the best that he could given the
4	interests that he held.
5	Q. Can you elaborate any further on that?
6	A. Any elaboration I would make would be speculation.
7	I my experience of Brady was that it was hard to get
8	ahold of him. He was nonresponsive typically when I
9	reached out to him. And when I offered resources and
LO	opportunity, he declined those things, and at times,
L1	let me know that he that he didn't value my position
L2	or anything that I had to offer.
L3	Q. When you mention that he had some interests that he
L4	brought to the table, what do you mean by that?
L5	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection. Asked and
L6	answered.
L7	THE WITNESS: He was appointed to a public
L8	office in a very important process and was accountable
L9	to those people, perhaps, who appointed him as well as
20	his constituents. What his specific interests were, I
21	don't know, because he did not share them with me. But
22	I assume that everybody in the process was coming with
23	many interests.
24	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
25	Q. Okay. The voicemail that Commissioner Walkinshaw left

1	Page 138 you, did he leave that voicemail on your personal phone
2	or on the commission issued phone?
3	A. Remember, I used the commission phone to the extent
4	possible. And in the early days of the commission,
5	none of us had commission phones. So sometimes when we
6	called each other before we had commission phones, the
7	number could be captured as that person's phone number.
8	And I didn't talk to Brady very often throughout
9	the process, less than any other commissioner. So it's
10	possible that when he captured those early calls, he
11	you know, he called me on my personal phone. It could
12	be. I don't remember, honestly. I'm sure those
13	records are available. All of those records have been
14	provided, I think.
15	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: I have another exhibit.
16	And we are wrapping up, or at least I'm wrapping up my
17	questioning. Thank you for hanging in there.
18	(Exhibit No. 6 marked)
19	for identification.)
20	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
21	Q. So these are notes from your journal regarding the
22	redistricting. Do you recognize these notes?
23	A. I do.
24	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Okay. I'd like to get
25	this marked as the next exhibit.

	Page 139
1	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
2	Q. So on Page 2 or, I guess, on the second scanned page
3	here, you wrote, "Sims, agreement on VRA, CVAP hisp R
4	performing."
5	Does CVAP hisp mean Hispanic?
6	A. Hisp certainly means Hispanic. I don't remember what
7	CVAP means.
8	Q. Okay. Generally CVAP would mean Citizen Voting Age
9	Population?
10	A. Right.
11	Q. Do you believe that's what it is?
12	A. Yes, that's right.
13	Q. Do you recall what this means, agreement on VRA?
14	A. So to me, that says that they had agreed that it was
15	VRA compliant.
16	Q. They agreed that the map was VRA compliant?
17	A. Or whatever their agreement was. I don't know that
18	there was the map at this time. But whatever their
19	agreement was, they had you know, there wasn't a
20	dispute over it, is what it looks like to me.
21	Q. And as far as you recall, when you were taking these
22	notes, did each of them express to you that they
23	believed that the agreement they had reached was VRA
24	compliant?
25	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.

	Page 140
1	THE WITNESS: Can you ask that question again,
2	please?
3	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
4	Q. I'll ask a slightly different question. Do you
5	remember where in the negotiations you wrote these
6	notes in the timeline?
7	A. Yes. So this would have been on Saturday so the
8	first page there was certainly from Saturday. So I'm
9	guessing these two pages are from Saturday. And
10	they're using treasurer data, I see. That was the data
11	they agreed on.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. Yeah.
14	Q. And when you wrote down "Agreement on VRA," did
15	Commissioner Sims affirmatively tell you that she
16	believed that the agreement they had reached was
17	compliant with the Voting Rights Act?
18	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
19	THE WITNESS: Honestly, I don't know. Now
20	that I'm looking at this, I'm wondering if really what
21	these notes mean is they had agreed on what VRA
22	compliance meant. That they had agreed that they had
23	some metric and were in agreement on what VRA meant and
24	looked like.
25	I wonder that because there's no district listed

		Page 141
1	he	ere. So they're not talking about a specific
2	di	istrict. So that's why it makes me think that it's
3	re	eally more like a metric, that they had agreed on what
4	tł	nis meant.
5	I	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
6	Q. Di	irectly underneath "Agreement on VRA" where it says
7	"(CVAP hisp R performing"
8	A. Ri	ight.
9	Q	- do you believe that would indicate a specific
10	di	istrict?
11	A. I	mean, that's what it made me think at first, that
12	WC	ould be indicating a certain district. Because, well,
13	tł	nat just makes sense. But I don't think that pertains
14	to	the 44th, which is the first district you see listed
15	tł	nere.
16		So that's what makes me think that they had
17	ag	greement on the VRA as the metric. Because I don't
18	tł	nink that was a conversation that was in play
19	re	egarding the 44th.
20	Q. Co	ould your notes right here about agreement on VRA be
21	re	eferring to the 15th Legislative District?
22	A. I	'm sorry; what?
23		MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.
24	В	Y MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
25	Q. Th	ne notes that you took here where you wrote,

Page 142
"Agreement on VRA, CVAP hisp R performing," when you
wrote those notes, could you have been referring to
Legislative District 15?
MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: Honestly, I don't remember. And
the fact that there isn't a district listed is the
thing that makes me question that they were talking
about a specific district. And it makes me think,
Gosh, this really looks like they're talking about,
Hey, we already have agreement on this. We have
agreement on what VRA means.
BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
Q. Okay. Do you recall any further conversations about
the VRA after you wrote these notes down?
A. Yeah, thank you for paging down. I'm trying to
remember.
Oh, here's our lunch menu, okay.
Q. Oh, you should see my notes. They're all over the
place.
A. So you notice they're talking about the 44th, the 38th.
And a lot about the 44th, the 28th. And then you see
they're talking boundaries here in the Tulalip area,
and that these are kind of this where it's got
Columns G and S, they're kind of saying this is what
we're striving for.

	Page 143
1	Oh, and maybe this is their maps, actually. Now
2	that I think about it.
3	So we're just trying to see the advantage by party
4	here. I mean, you see the main districts they're
5	talking about, 44th, 28th, 38th, 47th.
6	Q. So going back up to this where is that?
7	Do you know what this right here sorry, where it
8	says "15 Safe R," is that referring to the 15th
9	Legislative District?
LO	A. I think so. So this looks like it looks like it
11	says here, there was an agreement in the 44th that was
12	contingent upon Hobbs being in the 44th.
13	And then what I remember is Paul was saying or
14	Sims was saying that this whole hub bub about the 44th
15	was just an excuse to back away from their previous
16	agreement.
L7	And so then what data they're using, they're using
18	the data from the treasury race. And so it looks like
19	they're saying they want somebody wants the 23rd to
20	be a safe D, the 15th to be a safe R and 11 I'm
21	sorry, 23 safe I'm sorry, this is the number of
22	districts, 23 safe dems, 15 safe R and 11 competitive.
23	So maybe this was their original agreement.
24	Q. Okay. Yeah, that makes sense.
25	And then on the left-hand side of the page, you

		Page 144
1		wrote 15th here. I believe that would refer to the
2		15th District; is that right?
3	Α.	That's what I think too. That's what it looks like.
4		And there's no comment about that, and then the 5th is
5		a lean R.
6		So maybe somebody said the 15th, and I wrote it
7		down, but then I didn't have any follow-up of what they
8		were wanting to negotiate about that in that
9		negotiation.
10	Q.	So it appears that at the time you were taking these
11		notes, you did discuss the 15th District; is that
12		correct?
13	Α.	Or somebody mentioned it and I was just writing down
14		everything I heard.
15	Q.	Okay. So I'm going to close these notes.
16		So District 15 allegedly, District 15 is the
17		district with the highest Latino citizen voting age
18		population. Do you agree with that?
19		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
20		THE WITNESS: I don't know.
21		BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
22	Q.	Okay.
23	Α.	It's certainly I don't remember. It's one of the
24		one of the districts in the state with the most Latinx
25		residents, but I don't remember that it is the most. I

	Page 145
1	don't remember.
2	Q. Okay. Do you recall any negotiations around the
3	numbering of districts, particularly District 15?
4	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
5	THE WITNESS: No.
6	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
7	Q. Okay. I'm going to I have one last exhibit. Let me
8	put this in the chat.
9	(Exhibit No. 7 marked
LO	for identification.)
11	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
12	Q. So here are minutes from November 24th meeting. Do you
13	remember this meeting?
L4	A. I don't off the top of my head. But once reviewing the
15	minutes, I'm sure it will come to mind.
L6	Q. Okay. I'll scroll down so we can take a look.
L7	This was a week or two or a little bit less than
18	two weeks after the maps were sent to the Supreme
L9	Court.
20	So in Section 2, it says, "Chair SA opened
21	discussion about the redistricting report titled '2021
22	Report to the Legislature.'"
23	Do you know who prepared that report?
24	A. So this is the population and percentage deviation of
25	all the districts from the target number, a map of all

	Page 146
1	the districts' demographic information about each
2	district and a report from the executive director. So
3	this would be the independent staff who created this
4	report. So this would be Lisa McLean and especially
5	Justin doing the mapping.
6	Q. Okay. On the first bullet point under heading 2, it
7	says, "BW asked to strike the paragraph regarding the
8	Voting Rights Act at the bottom of page six of the
9	draft stand-alone ED report/page 11 of the full
10	to-be-published report."
11	Do you recall a discussion around that paragraph?
12	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
13	THE WITNESS: I do not.
14	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
15	Q. Okay. And BW means Brady Walkinshaw; is that right?
16	A. Right.
17	Q. Do you recall what that paragraph regarding the Voting
18	Rights Act said?
19	A. I don't.
20	Q. Do you have a draft of this report prior to that
21	paragraph being stricken?
22	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
23	THE WITNESS: Probably not, but it probably
24	exists.
25	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:

	Page 147
1	Q. Do you know if anyone else would have a draft of this
2	report with that paragraph regarding the Voting Rights
3	Act?
4	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
5	THE WITNESS: I think you're asking if anyone
6	else has a copy of that report. And what I believe is
7	that it would be in our archived e-mail. Certainly it
8	would be in my archived e-mail. I no longer have
9	access to that, but all of that e-mail has been
10	archived. And the draft minutes would have been sent
11	to me for review. And it probably exists on a server
12	somewhere.
13	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Okay. I don't have
14	well, can we take a five-minute break? Is that okay?
15	THE WITNESS: Sure.
16	(Recess 2:52-2:59.)
17	
18	EXAMINATION (Continuing)
19	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
20	Q. I just have one or two more questions around the notes,
21	just for my own clarification. So you're not entirely
22	sure when you wrote "Agreement on VRA," what that
23	means; is that correct?
24	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.

	Page 148
1	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
2	Q. Okay. And then underneath that, you wrote, "CVAP hisp
3	R performing"; is that correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Do you believe when you wrote these notes you were
6	referring to a specific district?
7	A. I don't remember.
8	Q. Were there from what you remember at this stage in
9	the negotiations, were there any majority CVAP Hispanic
10	districts in the map?
11	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
13	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
14	Q. Do you know if there were any other any sorry.
15	Strike that.
16	The line below, you wrote, "Paul/April Agreement in
17	44th."
18	I believe you mentioned earlier that the 44th was
19	one of the districts that was being negotiated until
20	nearly the very end; is that correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Do you know what that agreement that you wrote in your
23	notes was?
24	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
25	THE WITNESS: It appears to me that what my

	Page 149
1	notes indicate here is that the agreement in the 44th
2	was predicated upon Hobbs remaining in the 44th.
3	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
4	Q. Okay. Because Hobbs was appointed and as secretary
5	of state, you testified earlier that that caused an
6	agreement a disagreement between the two; is that
7	correct?
8	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
9	THE WITNESS: It threatened the agreement they
10	had to that point.
11	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
12	Q. Specifically for that district; is that correct?
13	A. No, for every district.
14	Q. Okay. Do you know what each commissioner was seeking
15	for the 44th District?
16	A. No.
17	Q. And then finally, do you know if either commissioner
18	was seeking a CVAP Hispanic majority district?
19	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
20	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
21	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Okay. I don't have any
22	more questions. Thank you for your time and for
23	bearing with me.
24	And I think I hit the two-hour mark that I
25	expected, so we have time for other questions.

	Page 150
1	THE WITNESS: Thank you. It was a pleasure to
2	get to talk with you.
3	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Thank you. Likewise.
4	MR. HUGHES: Good afternoon, Sarah.
5	Deylin, could you stop sharing your screen?
6	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Yeah, sorry.
7	MR. HUGHES: Of course.
8	
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. HUGHES:
11	Q. Sarah, I'm Andrew Hughes. I'm an assistant attorney
12	general with the State of Washington. I represent the
13	state in this case. Just a few hopefully quick
14	questions.
15	First, were you involved in the decision-making
16	process for the maps that were ultimately adopted?
17	A. No.
18	Q. You were asked some questions previously by
19	Mr. Thrift-Viveros about the commissioners' priorities.
20	Do you remember that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Was it your impression that any commissioner did not
23	prioritize compliance with the Voting Rights Act or did
24	not otherwise think complying with the VRA was an
25	important consideration?

1	Page 151
1	MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.
2	THE WITNESS: No.
3	BY MR. HUGHES:
4	Q. So in other words, is it fair to say that your
5	impression was that all the commissioners wanted to
6	comply with all applicable laws, including the VRA?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. Was keeping the Yakama Nation together an
9	important consideration in drafting maps in the Yakima
10	Valley?
11	A. I cannot say what the priorities were of the
12	commissioners as they were negotiating the 14th and the
13	15th Districts. What I can say is that we engaged
14	the commission engaged in tribal consultation with
15	eight American Indian tribes in the state of
16	Washington, and that tribal consultation was taken very
17	seriously because it was a nation-to-nation
18	consultation in compliance with the law.
19	And because of the law in Washington State, tribal
20	governments have the right and the consideration to be
21	consulted whenever decisions are being made that
22	directly relate to their lands. And so that tribal
23	consultation was taken very seriously.
24	Q. And you attended a tribal consultation with the Yakama
25	Nation, correct?

A. I did.
Q. And did the Yakama Nation, do you recall, express the
belief that they wanted the Yakama Nation to be
preserved in one strike that.
Did the Yakama Nation express the belief that they
wanted their nation to be joined in one legislative
district?
A. Yes.
Q. Previously it had been split, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the Yakama Nation goes beyond the borders of the
Yakama Reservation, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. What does it include beyond the borders of the
reservation?
A. I don't have my notes directly in front of me, but they
have some territory along the Columbia River and asked
expressly for that territory to be included.
Q. Would that territory be referred to as let me start
over again.
Would that territory be referred to as ceded land?
A. I apologize. I don't remember the details of the
specific communities they were talking about along the
Columbia, but they didn't designate those lands. It is
possible, in consultation, they referred to ceded

	Page 153
1	territories, because there are many members of the
2	Yakama Nation that live in ceded territories.
3	However, what I remember they expressly wanted was
4	lands that are considered to be collectively owned
5	territory along the Columbia that are not contiguous
6	with the reservation.
7	Q. Understood. And that's part of the Yakama Nation?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And just so we're all situated, that's along the
10	Columbia River in Klickitat County, correct?
11	A. That's right.
12	Q. As you can see, I'm trying to draw a map with my hands
13	in mid air.
14	Does the LD14 that the commission created and
15	approved keep the Yakama Nation together in a single
16	district?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And that includes the territory along the Columbia
19	River?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. Okay. I'm going to share my screen with you. And I'm
22	going to show you a document that I'm going to find any
23	minute now.
24	Right here. Can you see my screen?
25	A. Yes.

	Page 154
1	Q. Are you looking at what looks like a court pleading in
2	this case?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Perfect.
5	And as you can see here, this is Document 54-1 in
6	the case of Soto Palmer versus Hobbs, et al.?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And can you tell me the title of this document?
9	A. Exhibit 1: Plaintiffs' Proposed Plan.
10	Q. And I'm going to scroll down and show you the document.
11	There's a bigger version, and here is a part that is
12	zoomed in on Plaintiffs' District 14.
13	Do you see that?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Does this District 14 appear to keep together the
16	Yakama Nation in a single district?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Why not?
19	A. I don't see the cities on this map, but I believe it
20	takes Toppenish and Wapato out of out of those two
21	towns that are on the Yakama Reservation and places
22	them separate from the reservation.
23	Q. Does this map let me show you the larger version.
24	A. Okay.
25	Q. I'll zoom in a bit.

	Page 155
1	Does this Plaintiffs' Proposed Plan extend down to
2	the Columbia River?
3	A. No.
4	Q. So it does not include those fishing villages you
5	mentioned earlier along the Columbia River that are
6	part of the Yakama Nation?
7	A. That's right.
8	Q. Okay. I'm going to show you another document. Do you
9	see what it should say "Expert Report of Dr. Loren
10	Collingwood."
11	Do you see that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And this is dated, in European fashion, August 3rd,
14	2022. Do you see that?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And I'm going to scroll down to Page 22 of this. And
17	you see here is a Figure 8. Can you read me the title
18	of Figure 8?
19	A. "Washington House Legislative District 14, Plaintiffs'
20	Demonstrative 1."
21	Q. And if you look in the what I'll call well, if
22	you look at the southwest corner of this image, does
23	this appear to include the entirety of the Yakama
24	Nation in one district?
25	I know it's hard without very much geographic

	Page 156
1	identification, no cities or anything.
2	A. I'm sorry; I can't tell.
3	Q. Fair.
4	Okay. Let me move on to the next one.
5	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Sorry to interrupt. Can
6	you share this in the chat, please?
7	MR. HUGHES: I suppose I could. I'm not
8	intending to list this as an exhibit, but I can share
9	it for your benefit.
10	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Thank you.
11	MR. HUGHES: This is already in the record, so
12	give me just a moment.
13	I've navigated away from it for a moment. Can we
14	briefly go off the record?
15	(Discussion off the record.)
16	BY MR. HUGHES:
17	Q. All right. I'm going to reshare my screen.
18	Sarah, are you looking what should say Figure 9
19	right now?
20	A. Not yet.
21	Oh, there, yes.
22	Q. And can you read me the title of Figure 9?
23	A. "Washington House Legislative District 14, Plaintiffs'
24	Demonstrative 2.
25	Q. And does this district extend down to the Columbia

	Page 157
1	River?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Does this district contain the entire Yakama Nation
4	together, as the nation requested?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Okay. Moving down to Figure 10, can you read me the
7	title of this figure?
8	A. "Washington House Legislative District 14, Plaintiffs'
9	Demonstrative 3."
10	Q. And does this district contain the entire Yakama Nation
11	in it?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Okay. I'm going to do something I shouldn't and try
14	again with No. 1.
15	Okay. Back to Figure 8, Washington House
16	Legislative District 14, Plaintiffs' Demonstrative 1.
17	Having seen the last two demonstratives, do you know
18	whether this can you tell whether this district
19	includes the entire Yakama Nation?
20	A. It does not.
21	Q. Okay. I'm going to show you now what is well, do
22	you recognize this document?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, this is the revised
25	legislative district map from Commissioner April Sims

Page 158 from October 25th, 2021; is that right? 1 2 A. I can't recall it on site, but I take your word for it. Q. Okay. Well, do you see that title right here at the 3 4 bottom? 5 A. Oh, I don't, but let's see. 6 Q. Let me try again. 7 A. That's okay. Q. Now do you see it? 8 9 A. No. Now it's just a blank map. 10 Yes, now I see it. Yes. 11 O. Okay. So just to be sure the record is clear, it 12 appears that this is Commissioner April Sims' revised 13 legislative district map from October 25th, 2021; is 14 that correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. Looking at exhibit -- sorry, looking at District 17 14, does it extend down to the Columbia River? 18 A. No. 19 Q. Does it contain the entire Yakama Nation, a single 20 district? 21 A. No. O. And finally, do you recognize -- let me zoom in. 22 23 you recognize this document? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. What is this document?

	Page 159
1	A. Oh, so this is Commissioner Brady Walkinshaw, revised
2	legislative district, also October 25th, 2021.
3	Q. And does this district contain the entire sorry.
4	Does this version of Legislative District 14 contain
5	the entire Yakama Nation in a single district?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Why not?
8	A. It does not extend down to the Columbia either.
9	Q. Sarah, do you think it's possible to create a
10	district strike that.
11	MR. HUGHES: No further questions. Thank you.
12	MR. BOWEN: Can we go off the record really
13	quick?
14	(Discussion off the record.)
15	
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. BOWEN:
18	Q. Sarah, I appreciate you bearing with us here on this
19	long day.
20	You mentioned earlier that you reviewed the
21	complaint when it was initially filed in this case,
22	correct?
23	A. I did.
24	Q. Okay. Were you surprised to see that a lawsuit had
25	been filed on the legislative district map?

Page 160

1 A. No.

- 2 Q. Why not?
- A. Because the maps had been contested in a variety -within a variety of different tools.
 - Q. What were some of those tools?
 - A. The OPMA lawsuit was based on the assumption that a secret meeting had been held out of the public eye and relief would be -- the relief that was requested was to expunge the maps or to get rid of the maps.

And from my point of view, the basis of that lawsuit was kind of silly. Because if there had been attempt to hold meetings in private, it would have been seamless and no one would have been the wiser. There was chaos because we were doing all of that work in public and in the public eye.

And we had a huge audience because we had engaged so many members of the public. More than a million communications. And so the idea that we were somehow doing some kind of behind closed doors, secret negotiating was a little bit silly, because if we had -- if that had been my intention as chair, or even the commissioners, we would have done the whole thing in quite a different way.

We would have had much fewer public meetings. We would have had fewer opportunities to engage. We would

		Page 161
1		not have had simultaneous translation and multiple
2		languages in every single meeting. We would not have
3		gone to the lengths to engage as many people as we did.
4		And, therefore, there were a lot of eyes on us.
5		And when the OPMA lawsuit was called, from my point of
6		view, whether I think it's silly or not, that's the
7		process. People have a chance to be heard. And so
8		that that lawsuit was was brought. The idea that
9		relief would be to do away with the maps seemed a
10		little like a pretty severe form of relief.
11	Q.	So to your knowledge, there was no such secret meeting,
12		as you said?
13	Α.	No. No. There was no secret meeting.
14		And I recognize that that lawsuit was settled. But
15		from my point of view, everything that the commission
16		had done was done in the big, grand wide open, warts
17		and all. And, you know, otherwise there wouldn't have
18		been embarrassing things that happened if we hadn't
19		tried to do it all in the open.
20		So it was clear that there were attempts to prevent
21		a negotiated political compromise from being the last
22		result.
23	Q.	On that note, you've talked a lot about Commissioner
24		Sims and Graves and negotiations happening in the last
25		three days leading up to finalizing the map today; is

	Page 162
1	that correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Is it fair to say that in that time, those final three
4	days, they took the partisan nature of the elections
5	into account more than, say, race or ethnicity?
6	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
7	THE WITNESS: In the districts that I was
8	where I was privy to the negotiation in those last
9	three days, partisan voting records were the primary
10	metrics they were looking at and negotiating about.
11	BY MR. BOWEN:
12	Q. Okay. To your knowledge of the commission as a whole,
13	would you say that considerations of partisan voting
14	predominated more so than considerations of race or
15	ethnicity in drawing the lines?
16	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
17	MR. HUGHES: Lack of foundation.
18	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I was only privy
19	to the negotiation of the last in the last three
20	days.
21	And the commissioners were very clear in the
22	statement of their priorities in open public meetings,
23	expressing those to the public and then also publishing
24	those. So and I want to be clear about that. They
25	expressed what those were. They created maps based on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defines Hispanic?

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022

Page 163 And then there was quite a lot of public interaction with them in response to that. So those -- those priorities were pretty well chewed over. And that is to say, the commissioners received a lot of comment, not all of it positive, in response to that. And so it was pretty well -- you know, it was pretty well established, because they had to defend those things. Two of them revised their priorities based on public input. And I trusted the integrity of what they said, and I believe their behavior bore that out. They stated what their priorities were. And so far as I saw, I didn't see any divergence from those priorities that they stated. BY MR. BOWEN: O. Okay. Let's shift gears a little bit more to the data that was being used by the commission. You mentioned earlier that the census data came in later than expected; is that right? A. Yes. Q. But the commission did use census data in generating the maps, correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. Are you aware of how the U.S. Census Bureau

		Page 164
1	Α.	Not today. I probably would have known that a year
2		ago, but today I don't I don't remember.
3	Q.	I guess to the best of your recollection, do you recall
4		if the Bureau defined Hispanic as a race or ethnicity?
5	Α.	Ethnicity, to the best of my recollection.
6	Q.	Okay. So a voter could be, say, white Hispanic?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	A voter could also be Native American Hispanic?
9	Α.	That's right.
10	Q.	Or sorry to be redundant here, but also black Hispanic?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	Or Asian Hispanic?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	To the best of your knowledge, do Hispanics tend to
15		vote more for democrats or republicans in the state of
16		Washington?
17	Α.	I don't know.
18	Q.	Okay. Would you strike that.
19		To the best of your knowledge, do Hispanics tend to
20		vote more for republicans or democrats in Yakima Valley
21		specifically?
22	Α.	I remember looking at the data. And I remember
23		Hispanics in the Yakima Valley voting more often for
24		democrats. And I'm also aware that there are
25		republican Hispanic politicians in the Yakima Valley

		Page 165
1		that are successful. So, yeah, I guess that's I'll
2		leave it there.
3	Q.	I'm going to change gears again here, switching over to
4		a little bit more of the legal requirements. You said
5		earlier that you had familiarized yourself with some of
6		the law and you were trying strike that.
7		Earlier you mentioned familiarizing yourself with
8		the law so that you could do your best to make sure the
9		commission complied with the law; is that correct?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Okay. And that included things like the Voting Rights
12		Act, the 14th Amendment and Washington State law,
13		correct?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	To your knowledge, does the Washington Constitution
16		require any sort of partisan competitiveness when
17		drawing districts?
18		MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection. Calls for a legal
19		conclusion.
20		THE WITNESS: The statute that establishes the
21		process of redistricting does mention competitiveness,
22		to the best of my recollection.
23		BY MR. BOWEN:
24	Q.	When you were reminding strike that.
25		You said earlier that during the redistricting

		Page 100
1		process, you would remind the commissioners of their
2		duty to follow the law, correct?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	To your recollection, do you ever remember specifically
5		reminding them of their duty to follow the provision we
6		discussed earlier about partisanship?
7	Α.	So in all of the open public meetings, and they were
8		numerous, we reiterated the specifics of that statute
9		again and again. At every single one.
10		That's what I remember. And there was a summary. And
11		the commissioners were present for that. It was
12		important to me and as the facilitator for the
13		meetings, I had the opportunity to remind everyone what
14		we were doing and why.
15	Q.	As someone who said the law was important to you and
16		that was reminding the commission to follow it, what
17		did that provision mean to you?
18		MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.
19		THE WITNESS: I can speak to what it means to
20		me, and I can't speak for what it means to the voting
21		commissioners or yeah, I could just speak for
22		myself.
23		That provision, to me, meant that maps should not
24		be drawn exclusively by one party or another with their
25		own interest being prioritized above everything else.

	7. 165
1	Page 167 But that in order to represent all the residents of
2	Washington, that there would have to be a compromise so
3	that the no one party would be empowered to be the
4	decision-maker for everyone.
5	BY MR. BOWEN:
6	Q. Okay. Thank you.
7	I'm going to change gears again here and talk a
8	little bit about your working relationship with
9	Commissioner Walkinshaw. You mentioned earlier that he
10	had apologized for you, I think how you phrased it, for
11	being difficult throughout the process and asked for
12	your forgiveness; is that right?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Did you feel that apology was warranted?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Why is that?
17	A. Commissioner Walkinshaw was, from my point of view, not
18	always collaborative in terms of working through the
19	process. Commissioner Walkinshaw came to the meetings
20	and voiced his opinion and he voted. Those were his
21	responsibilities.
22	He was not willing to participate in commission
23	activities outside of those scheduled or designated
24	meetings. And this is what I mean by that,
25	collaborating with me, with the independent staff. And

	Page 168
1	I guess those are the things I could know and comment
2	about. And that was, at times, stressful.
3	It was also infusing to see comments in the press
4	that were not shared ahead of time. That's not an
5	obligation, but it is a good thing to do if you want to
6	collaborate with your team. And sometimes, in ways,
7	that seemed to try to impede the process.
8	Q. So is it your belief that Commissioner Walkinshaw made
9	the process of collaboration more difficult?
10	A. I I can't speak to the commission. I don't know
11	that. I can say that I appreciated it when the other
12	commissioners received my calls and would talk through
13	the business of the commission. That was really
14	helpful.
15	Q. Did any of the staff, either partisan or independent,
16	have difficulty working with Commissioner Walkinshaw?
17	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
18	THE WITNESS: I don't remember that
19	specifically.
20	BY MR. BOWEN:
21	Q. Was he always strike that.
22	As far as you remember, was Commissioner Walkinshaw
23	always respectful towards you?
24	A. I don't recall Commissioner Walkinshaw ever being
25	verbally abusive, and I would not ever say that. And I

		Page 169
1		think it's respectful, if you call someone, to call
2		them back. I think that's respectful. So in that way,
3		I would have appreciated that respect.
4		And as I said before, I can't I don't want to
5		guess at intent. And all of the commissioners were
6		under a great deal of pressure managing full-time jobs
7		and trying to do this work well. And, you know, on
8		balance, if you're trying to prioritize how you're
9		going to use your time, I can understand, you know, not
LO		being able to get to everything.
L1	Q.	You mentioned earlier that Commissioner Walkinshaw, I
L2		believe the phrase you said was, did not value your
L3		position or what you had to offer; is that right?
L4	Α.	Yes.
L5	Q.	What did you mean by that?
L6	Α.	My ambition in the commission was to provide the best
L7		possible information to the commissioners, to engage
L8		the public to the highest degree possible, to
L9		demonstrate the effectiveness of democratic
20		institutions through engagement and to negotiate in a
21		very difficult time period in a succinct and organized
22		way. And I did not experience any interest in those
23		things that I had to offer.

Thursday by the sale of the sa

Q. Okay. Thank you for sharing.

24

25

I'm going to change gears one last time here, and

	Page 170
1	I'm actually going to attempt to share with counsel
2	what I would like to mark as Intervenor-Defendants
3	Exhibit 1. Forgive me while I work through this.
4	(Intervenor-Defendants Exhibit No. 1
5	marked for identification.)
6	BY MR. BOWEN:
7	Q. I am going to attempt to screen share here. And as my
8	co-counsel said earlier, I'm not as adept as I should
9	be at this here. So I appreciate your forbearance
10	here.
11	Can you see the PDF document that is titled at the
12	top "Why I Resigned As Chair of the Redistricting
13	Commission"?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. And this is a PDF version of the online article
16	from the Seattle Times. Can you verify that?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. This looks like an article that you wrote then in March
19	of 2022; is that correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. And I'm going to scroll down this and just read
22	through a couple of quotes together. I'll try to
23	highlight this. So the highlighted quote here, and
24	correct me if I'm wrong, you were speaking about the
25	affect of the State's refusing initially to defend the

		Page 171
1		proposed map.
2		And you said, and I'm quoting, "Worse, it
3		undermines the values that drive the redistricting
4		process in Washington State: Independence from
5		political influence, collaboration and bipartisan
6		compromise."
7		Is that quote correct?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Do you believe that the commission engaged in that
10		bipartisan compromise?
11	Α.	I do.
12	Q.	The maps that were drawn, in your opinion, then were a
13		result of partisan compromise; is that correct?
14	Α.	Yeah, it was a result of bipartisan compromise.
15	Q.	And the maps then, in your opinion, that were drawn
16		were not a result of racial discrimination?
17	Α.	I want to be very careful not to interpret the maps,
18		because I didn't draw the maps, and I'm also not an
19		attorney with expertise on this matter. But I can say
20		that I believe with confidence that there was no intent
21		to create racial discrimination among the
22		commissioners, that all four of them acted with a high
23		degree of integrity in terms of remaining true to the
24		interests that they voiced in their priorities for
25		their position as commissioners.

		Page 172
1	Q.	I'm going to scroll down and read another section here
2		on what is the third of four pages. I'm going to
3		highlight the end of the second paragraph into the
4		third paragraph.
5		You wrote, "For a redistricting plan to become the
6		law, it must be affirmed by at least three voting
7		commissioners, requiring bipartisan agreement. This
8		intentionally bipartisan process is meant to ensure a
9		spirit of collaboration over bipartisanship, and
10		compromise over 'winner takes all.' It is Washington
11		State's attempt to avoid gerrymandering, which occurs
12		in states where the legislature draws the maps and the
13		party that holds the majority in the legislature can
14		draw boundaries to its political advantage."
15		Is that a correct quote?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Is it your opinion that the proposed map here avoided
18		partisan gerrymandering?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	Is it your opinion here that the proposed map avoided
21		racial gerrymandering?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	I'm going to scroll down further still. This will be
24		at the bottom of Page 3, top of Page 4, the highlighted
25		section.

	Page 173
1	"It has been suggested that the argument over the
2	15th and 14th Districts is a justice issue for
3	vulnerable communities of color; a coalition of Latino
4	voters believes the current district boundaries will
5	not allow Latino voters the chance to elect candidates
6	of their choice. However, their suit directly demands
7	splitting the Yakama Reservation by bringing towns on
8	the Yakama Reservation into the 15th District, in
9	direct opposition to the interests of the Yakama Nation
10	reflected in the current plan. In
11	government-to-government consultation, the Yakama
12	Nation required that Yakima territories be contained in
13	one district. By refusing to defend the law, aren't
14	Washington leaders hanging out to dry communities of
15	color, like the Yakama Nation, whose interests are
16	expressed in the final map?"
17	Is that a correct quote?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Is it your opinion that the proposed map reflects the
20	interests of communities of color like the Yakama
21	Nation?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. It sounds like then, reading this opinion you wrote,
24	that you view the dispute as one between different
25	communities of color, that is the Yakama Nation and the

	Page 174
1	coalition of Latino voters challenging the map.
2	Is that a fair characterization?
3	MR. HUGHES: Object to form.
4	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question,
5	please?
6	BY MR. BOWEN:
7	Q. Yeah, let me rephrase.
8	You said in here that the map was challenged by a
9	coalition of Latino voters; is that correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And those Latino voters would be a community of color;
12	is that correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And you said that the map which has the Yakama Nation
15	as one district represents the interests of that
16	community of color; is that correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. So is it fair to say then that there's a tension
19	between dividing that district or keeping it whole
20	strike that.
21	Is it fair to say then that there may be competing
22	interests between two communities of color on how these
23	districts are drawn?
24	MR. HUGHES: Object to form.
25	MR. MILLSTEIN: Object to form.

	Page 175
1	THE WITNESS: The intention of my op ed was to
2	challenge the leaders of our state to keep their
3	commitments, that is to defend maps that were affirmed
4	by the legislature according to Washington State law.
5	In my op ed, I was pointing out that by hanging it
6	on a racial justice issue, that was that is an
7	unfair characterization of a very complex process.
8	Trying to boil it down to a justice issue for one
9	community is an unfair characterization.
10	So I want to be clear, the Latino coalition
11	bringing suit has the right to do that under the law.
12	I don't dispute that or fault that coalition. That is
13	our process. If the outcome doesn't work for them,
14	they have the right to bring suit.
15	What I was writing about here was that regardless
16	of who challenges the law, it's the obligation of the
17	leaders to defend the law out of respect for all the
18	people who participated in the process. So I want to
19	be really clear that I would like to not characterize
20	this dispute, from my point of view, as simply a
21	tension between two communities of color, because I
22	think that's an unfair characterization.
23	I would characterize this as a powerful political
24	party working towards its best advantage and calling
25	that a racial tension. And that is why I wrote this op

	Page 176
1	ed.
2	BY MR. BOWEN:
3	Q. Thank you for sharing.
4	Last question for me: Are you proud of the maps
5	that were passed as a result of the commission's work?
6	A. Yes, absolutely proud.
7	MR. BOWEN: Okay. Thank you and thank you for
8	your service. That's all the questions I have.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: I have just a few
11	questions on redirect on topics that were raised since
12	my line of questioning.
13	
14	FURTHER EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
16	Q. Sorry, just a few more.
17	A. That's okay.
18	Q. But, yeah, thank you so much for sticking with us.
19	Are you aware that the Yakama Nation includes a
20	tribal or tribal trust lands in Skamania County?
21	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
22	THE WITNESS: I would have to look at a map,
23	and I would be happy to do that.
24	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
25	Q. Okay. Do you know the location of the tribal and

	Page 177
1	tribal trust lands of the Yakama Nation?
2	A. I am not an expert on that, and I would want to refer
3	to the map that was provided to me by the Yakama
4	Nation.
5	Q. Okay. I'm going to share screen on the enacted maps.
6	So this is the Washington State Redistricting
7	Commission website. And I'm going to open up the
8	Washington State legislative district map. And I'm
9	going to zoom in.
10	Do you recognize this as the final and acting map?
11	A. I do.
12	Q. Okay. And would you agree that District 14 includes
13	some of the land some Yakima County land; is that
14	correct?
15	A. Did you mean the Yakama Nation land?
16	Q. Yeah.
17	A. Or did you mean Yakima County?
18	Q. Yakima County land.
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. The land in Yakima County, okay.
21	A. Yeah.
22	Q. And would you agree that the District 14 of the enacted
23	plan does not include land in Skamania County?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. Since some Yakama trust lands are in Skamania

	Page 178
1	County, is it possible to draw a district with all of
2	the Yakama Nation and trust lands into one district?
3	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection. Lacks foundation.
4	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
5	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
6	Q. I am also going to look at the report that Mr. Hughes
7	presented. I'll scroll back up to the top.
8	It's the expert report of Dr. Loren Collingwood.
9	And within the report it contains demonstrative map
10	from plaintiffs of a proposed District 14.
11	I'm going to represent that I have in Dave's
12	Redistricting, the same exact map, same exact boundary
13	lines as the map contained in Dr. Loren Collingwood.
14	And we can flip back and forth to look at the shape.
15	The shape is about the same, would you agree?
16	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection to form.
17	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't understand
18	your question.
19	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
20	Q. So I'm just for purposes of being able to identify
21	cities, I have here and I'm demonstrating that this is
22	an exact, same replica of the map contained in Loren
23	Collingwood's report, demonstrative plaintiffs'
24	demonstrative map 2 that was shared to you by
25	Mr. Hughes.

	Page 179
1	A. Okay.
2	Q. And do the shapes look substantially similar to you?
3	A. I haven't had a chance to study these reports, but they
4	appear to be similar.
5	Q. Just looking at the areas. Okay.
6	And I'm going to zoom in, and hopefully you can see
7	the city labels contained within District 14.
8	Do you see that Wapato is contained within
9	plaintiffs' demonstrative District 14?
10	A. Yes.
11	MR. MILLSTEIN: Form.
12	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
13	Q. And do you see that Toppenish is located within
14	plaintiffs' demonstrative plan for District 14?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. Did you understand the commission to have a
17	legal obligation to draw a district that contained all
18	of the Yakama Nation and trust lands into the same
19	district?
20	MR. MILLSTEIN: Objection. Calls for a legal
21	conclusion.
22	
0.0	THE WITNESS: From my point of view, the
23	commission did not have a legal obligation to do more
23 24	

	Page 180
1	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
2	Q. Did any of the commissioners express a priority of
3	keeping all the Yakama Nation and trust lands together?
4	MR. HUGHES: Sorry. Object to form.
5	THE WITNESS: I was not part of the
6	negotiation process of the 14th or the 15th, so
7	BY MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS:
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. Honestly, I can't speak to that. I can tell you that
10	at the tribal consultation, April Sims and Paul Graves
11	attended that consultation, and they made a commitment
12	to the Yakama Nation to take very seriously their
13	request. That's what I heard in the public meeting.
14	MR. THRIFT-VIVEROS: Okay. I don't have any
15	more questions. Thank you.
16	MR. HUGHES: I just have maybe one or two
17	quick follow-ups.
18	
19	FURTHER EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. HUGHES:
21	Q. Sarah, I just want to be very clear on an exchange that
22	you had with Mr. Bowen towards the end. You don't
23	believe the interests of Native American Washingtonians
24	and Hispanic Washingtonians are opposed, do you?
25	MR. BOWEN: Objection. Form.

	Page 181
1	THE WITNESS: That is a really big question to
2	ask me.
3	BY MR. HUGHES:
4	Q. I can ask a different question or slightly different
5	question if you'd like?
6	A. I can tell you that trying to characterize tension
7	between these two racial groups is interesting. That
8	is certainly the case. And, you know, these are large
9	complex diverse communities within Washington State who
10	don't they're not monolithic with one set of
11	interests.
12	So, you know you know, I feel that it's
13	difficult to characterize whether or not they have
14	I'm sure there are many interests that are in tension,
15	and I would not say, in general, that their interests
16	are in opposition to each other.
17	MR. HUGHES: Thank you. That's all I got.
18	MR. BOWEN: Nothing further.
19	MR. MILLSTEIN: I'm not going to be asking any
20	questions. So it sounds like we are done; is that
21	correct?
22	We can go off the record.
23	(Signature reserved.)
24	(Deposition concluded at 4:00 p.m.)
25	

1	Page 182 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, CONNIE A. RECOB, the undersigned Certified Court
4	Reporter, pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 authorized to
5	administer oaths and affirmations in and for the State
6	of Washington, do hereby certify that the sworn
7	testimony and/or proceedings, a transcript of which is
8	attached, was given before me at the time and place
9	stated therein; that any and/or all witness(es) were
10	duly sworn to testify to the truth; that the sworn
11	testimony and/or proceedings were by me
12	stenographically recorded and transcribed under my
13	supervision, to the best of my ability; that the
14	foregoing transcript contains a full, true, and
15	accurate record of all the sworn testimony and/or
16	proceedings given and occurring at the time and place
17	stated in the transcript; that a review of which was
18	requested; that I am in no way related to any party to
19	the matter, nor to any counsel, nor do I have any
20	financial interest in the event of the cause.
21	WITNESS MY HAND and SIGNATURE this 18th day of
22	October, 2022.
23	WWW C IVW
24	CONNIE A. RECOB, RMR, CRR Washington Certified Court Reporter, CCR 2631

connie@lakesidereporting.com

25

Case 3:22-cv-05035-RSL Document 191-1 Filed 05/24/23 Page 183 of 213

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022

	Page 183
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
2	Our Assignment No. 1195
3	Case Caption: SOTO PALMER vs. HOBBS
4	
5	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
6	
7	I declare under penalty of perjury
8	that I have read the entire transcript of
9	my Deposition taken in the captioned matter
10	or the same has been read to me, and
11	the same is true and accurate, save and
12	except for changes and/or corrections, if
13	any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION
14	ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding
15	that I offer these changes as if still under oath.
16	
17	Signed on the, 2022.
18	
19	
20	SARAH AUGUSTINE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 3:22-cv-05035-RSL Document 191-1 Filed 05/24/23 Page 184 of 213

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022

		Page 184
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	
2		
3	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
4		
5	Reason for change:	
6	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
7		
8	Reason for change:	
9	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
10		
11	Reason for change:	
12	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
13		
14	Reason for change:	
15	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
16		
17	Reason for change:	
18	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
19		
20	Reason for change:	
21	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
22		
23	Reason for change:	
24	SIGNATURE:DATE:	
25	SARAH AUGUSTINE	

Index: \$..a

	117:8,9 131:12	24th 145:12	181:24	9:00 5:2
\$	132:24 134:4	25th 158:1,13	4:30 133:8	
\$15,000 , 100,20	141:21 143:8,20	159:2		A
\$15,000 108:20 111:9	144:1,2,6,11	28th 85:6 86:14,	5	5.2.120.2
111.9	151:13 173:2,8	17,19 90:22	5 104 14 101 0	a.m. 5:2 129:3
	180:6	93:3 102:22	5 124:14 131:2	ability 41:16
1	16th 129:5	121:23 142:21	50 34:7 63:3	93:14 96:13
1 54:2 63:24	132:2,24 134:5	143:5	101:21	absolutely 94:22
64:1 131:1	1998 29:11	2:52-2:59	54-1 154:5	114:21 176:6
132:1 154:9	1st 31:17 46:23	147:16	5th 144:4	abusive 168:25
155:20 157:14,				accept 23:25
16 170:3,4	2	3	6	acceptable
10 157:6	2 101 4 112 17	2 112 20 21		52:14
100 63:3	2 101:4 112:17	3 112:20,21	6 5:1 131:2	access 36:19
101 63:9	116:14 121:11	118:11 131:1	132:1 138:18	41:23 51:3
10:32-10:43	130:18 131:1	132:1 157:9 172:24	6:00 129:3,5,6	73:12 107:17
52:18	132:1 139:2 145:20 146:6		6:15 129:6	108:3,6,25
	156:24 178:24	30 27:9		110:14 111:7
11 143:20,22		3500 5:24	7	147:9
146:9	20 27:9	38th 142:20		accompanied
11:38 124:17	2001 40:7	143:5	7 118:13 119:2	62:6
11:54-1:01	2006 29:17,18	3rd 155:13	120:7,20 130:18	account 162:5
87:12	2010 99:22		131:1,2 145:9	accountable
11th 120:14	100:4	4	70 36:3	23:20 38:16
12th 119:4	2019 101:18		70-some 54:17	137:18
14 154:12,15	105:11,12	4 122:18 123:18	76,000 53:23	accrued 40:13
155:19 156:23	2020 33:6 99:22	131:2 172:24	7:00 118:24	accurate 48:13
157:8,16 158:17	2021 12:4,7	44th 85:6 86:14,	130:12 134:4	113:17
159:4 177:12,22	14:24 26:15	17,19 90:21		achieve 55:24,25
178:10 179:7,9,	32:18 74:1 79:1	91:6 93:2	8	acknowledged
14	145:21 158:1,13	102:21 103:5,		131:19
14th 79:25 91:3	159:2	15,17,19,22	8 131:25 155:17,	acknowledging
93:7 132:24	2022 5:1 10:8	121:23 141:14,	18 157:15	131:22
151:12 165:12	31:17 43:7	19 142:20,21	8:09 123:8	ACS 99:17
173:2 180:6	155:14 170:19	143:5,11,12,14 148:17,18	8:45 123:19	101:18
15 97:25 142:3	21st 12:4,7	148:17,18	8:50 132:1	act 12:11,12,15
143:8,22 144:16	20:25 63:18			19:16 24:24
145:3	79:1 86:15,18	45 52:14	9	33:7,9 37:1,9
15th 46:23 91:3	22 155:16	47th 143:5		63:18 65:20,21
93:7 101:17	23 143:21,22	49.2 101:18	9 156:18,22	66:1,3,6 67:1,5,
104:21 105:4,	23rd 143:19	4:00 129:4	98952 5:25	8,11,14,22,25
18,25 114:17		132:23,24		

Index: a..a

		111 7 117 0		1 11110
71:11 72:9 79:4,	admirable 24:2	114:5 115:8	alternative 7:22	appears 144:10
7,23 80:3,5,8,	adopt 131:5	116:9 119:22,23	ambition 106:12	148:25 158:12
10,14,15,17,19	adopted 150:16	144:18 177:12,	169:16	applicable 151:6
82:9 83:3,22	advance 129:21	22 178:15	amendment	application
84:3 87:17 89:1,	advantage 143:3	agreed 46:5	46:22 79:25	27:25 28:2
5,17,18,22 95:1	172:14 175:24	59:19 118:22	165:12	applied 31:3
98:4 106:20,25	advice 67:21	123:20 129:22	American 5:17	apply 27:25
107:5,15,25	68:20 92:13	130:19 134:10	151:15 164:8	100:17
116:18 140:17	106:15 109:21	135:4 139:14,16	179:24 180:23	appointed 24:10
146:8,18 147:3	advise 78:22	140:11,21,22	Americans	27:24 28:18
150:23 165:12	108:7	141:3	34:19	39:14,16 47:20
acted 41:7	advised 19:10	agreeing 54:8	amount 27:13	55:22 62:18,19
171:22	advisement	agreement 9:24	74:10 88:2	75:17 78:18,19
acting 28:8,10	81:16	22:17 49:19	amounts 71:5	103:4 104:5
39:9 119:25	affect 8:13	60:4 103:15,17	analyses 99:10	137:17,19 149:4
177:10	170:25	110:10 112:6	analysis 59:8,12,	appointment
action 23:14		124:3 131:6	23 83:22 84:2	26:10 27:14
active 23:4	affected 103:9	139:3,13,17,19,	87:21 97:5	103:9,10 104:4,
activities 14:18	affiliation 85:9,	23 140:14,16,23	100:21 104:20	14
15:2,6,20,23	11,14	141:6,17,20	analyze 82:8	appreciated
16:12 167:23	affirmation	142:1,10,11	100:15 102:2	168:11 169:3
ad 125:5	22:19	143:11,16,23	ancient 44:13	approval 7:23
add 9:6	affirmatively	147:22 148:16,		approved 71:2,6
additional 106:7	140:15	22 149:1,6,9	Andrew 150:11	153:15
117:21,23	affirmed 23:11	172:7	announce 124:2	approximately
address 5:22,24	136:12 172:6	agreements	answering 6:10	132:1
13:20,23 14:6,9,	175:3	90:3,15 120:9,	70:16	
10,11,13,17,18,	African 34:19	10	answers 8:6	April 80:23 84:21 88:9
20,22 51:13	afternoon 134:4	agricultural	110:3	
adept 170:8	150:4	34:17	Antioch 29:23,	91:25 92:2 101:9,19 102:1,
adequately	AG 110:4,6,10	ahead 104:14	24 30:14 31:3	14,17 104:12,16
96:25	AG's 109:6	168:4	antiquated 39:4	121:19 122:5
adjust 97:21	110:6	ahold 137:8	anxiety 91:2	123:3 124:10
adjustment	age 98:5,6,13,14	air 103:6 153:13	anytime 89:15	157:25 158:12
97:23 128:6	139:8 144:17	Ali 112:24 113:8	apologize 14:4	180:10
	agencies 41:1,5	114:9 116:13,16	152:22	archived 19:18,
adjustments	agency 39:12,21	118:12 119:2	apologized	19,20,25 20:5
127:24 130:14	66:12,13,15	123:18 130:19	136:10,19	98:24,25 147:7,
administrative	agenda 126:16,	131:2	167:10	8,10
39:8 110:24,25	18	allegedly 144:16	apology 167:14	archives 20:3
administrators	agree 8:1 53:15	allowed 42:11	apparatus 43:5,	area 64:17 96:13
15:14 66:9	54:4 75:2,6,8,12		9	
	JT.T /J.2,U,O,12			142:22

Index: a..b

areas 60:3,4	attempting	18 163:24	beings 36:12	board 35:14
117:3 128:4	119:11	164:24 176:19	belief 23:21	40:24
179:5	attempts 161:20		152:3,5 168:8	body 39:9,21
arena 45:6	attend 50:19	B	believed 104:8	boil 175:8
arguably 34:6	61:24		106:19 129:13,	booklet 8:7
argument 173:1	attended 151:24	back 20:23 24:6	14,15 139:23	borders 152:11,
arm 79:10	180:11	38:1 41:6 48:2	140:16	14
arranged 30:25	attending	57:8 58:17	believes 173:4	bore 163:11
arrangement	119:10	61:14 71:9	believing 130:12	born 39:10
111:16	attentive 80:16	121:11,20	benefit 19:8	bothered 58:25
arrangements	attorney 5:16	123:17 136:5 143:6,15 157:15	41:25 100:9	bottom 146:8
11:18	6:15 12:9 65:10,	169:2 178:7,14	156:9	158:4 172:24
article 82:20,22	15,22 79:9	balance 169:8	Bennett 42:9	bound 46:20
83:6 170:15,18	107:4 108:11		53:4,5,9 59:22	boundaries
ASC 105:12	109:1,2,9,10,25	bands 34:11,12	83:21 97:4 98:5,	54:24 142:22
Asian 164:12	110:6,14	Barreto 73:5 76:10,13,16	19 99:9,16	172:14 173:4
aspects 111:5	111:13,14,17,22	77:1 80:12,20,	100:8,15 118:14	boundary 10:21
assessment 7:22	112:4 150:11	25 81:5,6,7	134:3,12	178:12
79:10	171:19	82:19 107:3	Bernard 66:9,	Bowen 9:21 34:4
assigned 52:23	attorney-client	Barreto's 74:4	11,18	51:23 72:10
assistant 65:10	109:14	barrier 54:15	bet 51:23	159:12,17
110:6,10 150:11	attorneys 21:9	barriers 47:9	big 48:19 54:13	162:11 163:15
association	108:3,25 109:5	based 53:18	161:16 181:1	165:23 167:5
40:13	audience 160:16	54:5 79:25	bigger 154:11	168:20 170:6
associations	August 155:13	105:8 121:24	bilingual 36:1,4	174:6 176:2,7
23:6	Augustine 5:5,	123:20 160:6	bill 33:6,13,15,	180:22,25
assume 7:1 55:8	12,13,24 119:18	162:25 163:9	16,18 112:5	181:18
57:5 72:21 82:5	122:6	basically 50:14	bipartisan 134:9	box 118:9
110:13 137:22	authority 49:7	132:21	171:5,10,14	Brad 66:9,11,17
assumed 127:13,	67:24 69:16,18,	basis 101:23	172:7,8	Brady 77:9
16 128:6,8	20,22	160:10	bipartisanship	82:18 93:18
133:13	authorize 68:5	battery 15:25	172:9	124:21 135:22
assumption	Autocad 44:12,	bearing 149:23	bit 12:19 29:5	136:6,10 137:2,
103:14 160:6	16	159:18	45:16 86:17	7 138:8 146:15
assurance	avoid 172:11	began 38:5	112:13 129:20	159:1
103:21	avoided 172:17,	beginning 26:7	131:10 136:16	brainstorming
attachment	20	43:4	145:17 154:25 160:20 163:16	99:2
135:2	aware 36:25	behalf 22:14	165:4 167:8	break 7:6,7 39:7
attempt 123:6	37:3,4,8 55:10	28:12	black 164:10	51:22 52:2,8,9
160:12 170:1,7	84:4 88:24	behavior 163:11	blank 158:9	84:7 87:11 147:14
172:11	124:20 129:17,	70111	DIAIIK 136.9	14/.14

Index: b..c

breaking 86:16	168:13	cared 94:13	cetera 50:23	check-ins
136:16	busy 77:21	careful 89:16	chair 20:18	117:19
breakout	BW 146:7,15	99:4,6 171:17	22:7,16 26:2,18	checking 117:20
117:12,13		carefully 107:2	27:14 28:1,18,	123:11
Brian 65:9,10,		carry 111:11	25 38:3,12	chewed 163:4
22 79:2		123:25	39:16,22 60:21	chief 15:12
briefing 120:17,	calendar	carrying 59:21	61:11 62:1	child 11:15
22,23 128:12	118:14,22	Cascade 54:13	66:20 79:10	choice 93:15
briefly 30:16	call 5:13,14,16	cascading 128:5	81:1 110:3	95:23 173:6
156:14	27:20 47:23	case 7:14 20:8,	114:19 115:3	choices 108:9
bring 175:14	77:18 79:12	13 24:24 80:2	145:20 160:21 170:12	chose 25:4 83:16
bringing 51:14	109:3,4 124:1	150:13 154:2,6		church 47:14
137:1,2 173:7	126:18,22 136:5	159:21 181:8	challenge 46:25	cities 154:19
175:11	155:21 169:1	cases 19:12		156:1 178:21
broad 30:22	called 27:19 28:4,7,9,24 33:7	casual 94:16	challenged 174:8	citizen 98:6
broadest 36:16	40:4 44:10,15	cataloging 99:7	challenges	139:8 144:17
brought 10:6	47:24 125:22	catch 54:17	175:16	city 34:20 37:4,
137:14 161:8	126:19,23 127:5	categorical 70:2	challenging	5,7,13,21,23,25
Brunell 78:7	138:6,11 161:5	categories 69:9	174:1	40:21 179:7
bub 143:14	179:24	category 79:15,	chambers 23:6	claims 7:15
budget 70:6,7,	calling 28:12	19	chance 161:7	clarification 9:4
19,20,21 83:15,	81:1 90:5	caused 90:9	173:5 179:3	73:22 147:21
19 110:19,20	175:24	149:5	change 56:7	clarify 6:25
111:1,2,4,17	calls 15:9 138:10	cd 124:21	118:10 165:3	18:25 32:3 75:5
budget allowed	165:18 168:12	cede 131:24	167:7 169:25	109:17
70:13	179:20	ceded 34:12	chaos 160:14	clear 6:6 7:14
budgets 70:25	campaigns	152:21,25 153:2	chaotic 120:4	19:7,15 37:24
building 53:18	32:22,25	cell 16:11	characterization	42:17 69:5,8
134:7	candidate 95:22	census 43:14,18,	174:2 175:7,9,	73:17 107:8
bullet 102:20	candidates	19 46:21 53:20	22	129:15 158:11
105:24 114:2	32:23 93:15	99:17,22 100:22	characterize	161:20 162:21,
116:13 118:11,	173:5	163:18,21,24	121:21 175:19,	24 175:10,19
12 119:2,17	cap 108:20	center 13:9,17	23 181:6,13	180:21
121:11,13 123:18 130:18	capacity 28:8	23:3 27:6 31:19,	charge 49:15	clerical 8:10
131:1,25 132:1	32:20 60:21	22 34:24 35:4,5,	charged 111:21	close 53:22
146:6	61:11 81:1	20,25 36:1,2,3	chat 63:22 101:2	103:11,13 129:13 144:15
Bureau 163:24	captured 138:7,	40:8,10,11	122:21 124:12	closed 160:19
164:4	10	central 117:17	145:8 156:6	
business 12:22	care 11:14 54:12	certification	check 94:3	clubs 23:6
14:7 38:8	58:24	40:3	107:19	co-counsel 170:8
				170.0

Index: c..c

coaching 66:12	comfort 48:9	111:17 114:15,	17,19 168:8,16,	129:17,18
coalition 31:15	comment 19:20	19 118:13	22,24 169:11	130:19 131:3,16
173:3 174:1,9	42:11 49:12	119:4,6,8	commissioners	132:17 133:9,19
175:10,12	54:21 106:7	125:13 130:20	7:16 12:3,11	134:14 135:3,
cold 27:20 28:9,	144:4 163:5	138:2,3,4,5,6	21:18,19,25	12,15 151:5,12
24	168:1	151:14 153:14	22:13,17 23:19,	160:22 162:21
collaborate	commented	161:15 162:12	22 24:5,10,11,	163:4 166:1,11,
168:6	82:18	163:17,21 165:9	18 25:9,20 26:2,	21 168:12
collaborated	commenting	166:16 167:22	3 27:23 42:21	169:5,17
33:13	73:11	168:10,13	43:22 44:23	171:22,25 172:7
collaborating	comments 8:12	169:16 170:13	45:2,3,6,7,17,	180:2
167:25	50:12 82:15	171:9 177:7	18,19,23 47:14,	commissioners'
collaboration	84:18 168:3	179:16,23	16,18,20 49:3,5,	74:3 115:11
168:9 171:5	commerce 23:7	commission's	8 51:2 55:3,22	150:19
172:9	commission	176:5	57:1,6 58:23	commissions
collaborative	7:12,16,22 10:6	commissioner	59:13 60:15	47:17
167:18	12:24 13:19	17:19 26:12,22	62:6,11,14,17	commitment
	14:11 17:3,20	28:11,23 38:2,	66:24,25 67:4,	95:4 180:11
collecting 50:12	18:14 19:8,9	19 41:21 57:10	11 68:4,13,23	commitments
collective 22:19	21:19 22:9	59:2,7 62:18,19	69:14,20 71:19	175:3
30:19 100:23	23:24 24:23,25	67:7,12 75:21	73:9,12,18,19,	common 38:21
collectively	25:3,13 26:10,	76:2,20 77:5,24	21 75:1,6,17,18,	51:9 59:17
153:4	17 27:8,15 28:1,	78:3 81:8 83:12,	20 77:12,23	communicate
college 29:21	10,13,15,17,23,	15,19 84:9,11	78:18,19 80:9,	77:13 94:20
32:1,4	25 32:9,18	86:5,9,21,24	15,18 81:1,4,10,	communicated
Collingwood	37:11 38:4,16	88:13,23 90:24,	21,23 82:7,12,	77:12
155:10 178:8,13	39:17,23 40:1	25 92:17,24	13,24 83:2,23	communicating
Collingwood's	42:21 43:1 51:4	94:17,20,21,23	84:1,4 85:20	15:11 127:11
178:23	52:23 56:10,14	95:7,15,19 96:9,	87:4,19 88:1,25	131:19
color 36:2,3	60:12,21 61:12	11,23 99:6	89:16,20 91:13,	communication
96:25 173:3,15,	65:19 66:2,5,19	101:15,16	17 92:10,13	12:22 60:17
20,25 174:11,	67:19,20 68:14,	104:6,7,13	93:13 94:2	109:19 110:5
16,22 175:21	15 69:1,5,17	106:23 108:11,	97:13,19 98:2,	communications
Colorado 29:9	70:10,19,21	21 109:24 110:9	18 100:10	17:21 18:2,6,16
Columbia	71:13,20,22	116:19 117:5	105:23 106:16,	19:1 22:11 42:6,
152:17,24	72:8,18 74:24	119:18 121:13,	19 107:5,11,24	7 160:18
153:5,10,18	75:7,8 78:22	15 124:17,24	108:2,3,25	communities
155:2,5 156:25	79:3 83:3,18	136:25 137:25	109:9,15 110:11,13	54:23,24 152:23
158:17 159:8	87:17 91:21	138:9 140:15	110:11,13	173:3,14,20,25
Columns 142:24	93:12 99:5	149:14,17	23 123:15,19	173.3,14,20,23
comb 50:19	100:18 108:18,	150:22 157:25 158:12 159:1	125:25 126:5,7,	181:9
	21 110:9,11,16	161:23 167:9,	13,24 127:2	101.7
		101.43 107.9,	13,27121.2	

Index: c..c

community 23:5	compliant	12:23	constitutes	contained
34:19 35:11,14	106:20,24	confederated	89:21	173:12 178:13,
36:6 40:19,20,	139:15,16,24	34:11,12	Constitution	22 179:7,8,17
22 41:2,3 54:22	140:17	confidence	165:15	contemporary
60:11 91:25	complied 80:9	73:15 171:20	constitutional	39:3
174:11,16 175:9	165:9	confident 74:19	46:22 79:24	content 63:7
compared	complies 84:3	configurations	80:1	93:2
105:12	comply 17:14	7:23	constraints	contested 160:3
competent	39:11 66:3,15	conflict 39:10	46:20	context 89:1
112:9	80:17 83:4	40:23 41:2,3	consult 83:2	95:1 102:22
competing	106:10 107:22	conflicts 35:13	112:5 132:17	contexts 100:15
174:21	151:6		179:24	contiguous
competitive	complying	congressional 46:9 47:21	consultant	153:5
103:25 122:1,3	150:24		67:19,25 68:9	
143:22	components	53:24 127:17,	69:4 70:12	contingent 143:12
competitiveness	109:1	19,20 129:3 130:12,20,24	71:11,13,21	
165:16,21	composed	130:12,20,24	72:9,14,18,19	Continuing
complaint 20:8,	134:23		73:10,25 74:13,	52:20 87:14
10,15,17 21:7	composition	connected 66:8	22,23,24 75:2,6,	147:18
159:21	103:24	connecting 38:9	8,12,22 76:3,6,	continuously
complete 6:12		connection 10:4,	7,13,21,24 77:3,	26:21
30:13 46:22	compromise 161:21 167:2	5,20,21	7 78:6,7,9,17,19	contract 23:16
113:6 127:24	171:6,10,13,14	Connie 112:16	80:8 83:8,14,16	68:17
133:10	171.0,10,13,14	consecutive	consultants	conversation
completed 30:13		54:18	70:22 71:14,16,	21:25 27:22
114:15 127:21,	computer 13:9, 17 21:1	consensus	18 72:15,23	29:1 67:7,15
22 128:14,23		72:12,14,17	74:8,12 75:13,	73:16 80:11
133:7	concept 96:4	115:15 133:6	15,16	88:17 89:6
completely 9:2	concern 77:22	consideration	consultation	90:20 92:2,5
completes 6:13	105:18 135:15	28:25 67:23	91:18 151:14,	93:18,23,25
1 -	concluded	71:15 78:17,21	16,18,23,24	94:8,20 95:20 96:9 97:15
completion 128:19	181:24	150:25 151:9,20	152:25 173:11	101:22 102:20
	conclusion	considerations	179:25 180:10,	101:22 102:20
complex 46:15	165:19 179:21	162:13,14	11	117:1 122:7
111:4 128:2	conclusions	considered 7:21	consulted 109:5,	123:4,7 131:8,
175:7 181:9	104:21 105:3	153:4	6,9 151:21	11 136:6,8
compliance	conduct 14:6	consistent 93:20	consulting	141:18
12:14 33:18	16:5 17:6 59:7,	constituency	110:19	conversations
80:19 82:9 89:5,	23 71:10 83:21	63:10 95:4,5	contact 51:11	18:7 25:19 59:2
17 95:1 109:11	84:2	constituents	108:13,14	66:25 67:3,10,
140:22 150:23 151:18	conducted 87:20	104:2 137:20	109:24	17,22 73:21,24
131:18	conducting			76:20 77:24
				10.20 11.24

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: c..d

80:8 81:15 88:1,	181:21	71:5 97:15	58:2,8 85:9,10,	26:4 46:17,18
23 90:9,13	correctly 80:13	98:25 99:5	11,12,13 97:20	72:21 74:12,22
95:15 96:19	council 37:7,21,	100:18 118:21	98:2,16 99:12,	79:12,13 107:7,
121:6 142:13	23,25	134:6 146:3	15,17,20,22,23,	12 108:8,17
convey 98:21	counsel 10:25	153:14 162:25	24 100:4,5,6,22	111:11 132:6
129:10 131:21	11:2,8,11 18:6	creating 7:18	105:11,12	decision-maker
133:4,16	64:5 68:20 72:2,	38:18,21 56:10	121:24 140:10	167:4
conveyed 114:6	3,7 107:18,20	57:11 66:12	143:17,18	decision-making
120:11 121:14,	108:12 119:19	97:18 98:11	163:16,18,21	26:5 150:15
16 129:11	170:1	creation 35:10	164:22	decisions 7:12
131:14,15	count 22:21	56:15 95:16	date 10:8 15:3	22:14 48:3,17
132:15 133:20	Counties 27:6	96:10	26:11	68:2 71:3 90:14
134:13,20	county 36:1	creatively 117:3	dated 155:13	98:3 107:15,19,
135:14,17	37:11 153:10	credibility 8:13	Dave's 178:11	21 108:19
conveying	176:20 177:13,	criteria 100:1	Davis 28:5	151:21
117:16,19 134:8	17,18,20,23	101:24	Davis's 28:14	declined 137:10
coordinator	178:1	cross 54:12	day 11:13,15	deem 8:8
49:21	couple 19:6	crunching 43:17	116:18,22	deep 95:3
copy 147:6	105:2 112:14	47:11	117:6,7 118:22	defend 24:11,19
corner 47:7	113:7 170:22	culture 34:9	125:18 159:19	163:8 170:25
155:22	coursework	curious 29:3	days 43:11 85:8	173:13 175:3,17
correct 17:3	40:6	current 10:22	86:12 88:8	defendant 7:14
29:13 42:19	court 6:18 7:24	173:4,10	96:20 105:2	defending
47:23 52:23	8:18,22 131:24	cursory 21:23	112:14 113:7	23:13,24 24:8
63:19 71:11	132:5,7,12,15,	81:15 90:13	127:15 138:4	Defense 5:17
78:24 86:21	19,21 133:4,16	CVAP 101:18	161:25 162:4,9,	define 100:23
89:22 100:11	134:20,21	105:11 139:3,5,	20	defined 164:4
101:11 105:21	135:14 145:19	7,8 141:7 142:1	dead 15:25	defines 163:25
110:21 114:17	154:1	148:2,9 149:18	deadline 114:15,	
116:14 125:14	courtesy 42:11	CVS 42:10	20,24 115:22	degree 30:7,10, 14 169:18
144:12 147:23	covered 109:14	cycles 32:19	131:5	171:23
148:3,20 149:7,	COVID 22:25	cycles 32.19	deal 123:20,22	
12 151:25	43:6 50:1		169:6	demanded
152:9,12,13	cracking 79:17	D	dealing 47:4	46:22
153:10,20	create 38:10	Daniel 49:17,20,	deals 103:22	demands 173:6
157:24 158:14	50:25 54:7 55:7	23 102:6,15	decade 58:15	democrat 58:14
159:22 162:1	83:9 96:14	118:4	decedents 36:22	103:18,19 104:3
163:22 165:9,13	98:19 159:9	data 43:13,18,19	decide 72:18	democratic
166:2 170:19,24	171:21	46:21 53:20	128:3	24:10,13 37:19,
171:7,13 172:15 173:17 174:9,	created 7:17	54:10 55:18	decided 48:7	25 62:19 75:16
12,16 177:14	23:1,16,24	56:2,3,4,5	decision 25:1,5	78:18 104:1
12,101//.14	53:13 59:6,8	57:21,22,25		120:16 121:9
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	-	•	•	•

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: d..d

121712212				1 24.44
124:5 128:10	design 30:14,15	dilute 79:21	Discovery 31:15	31:14
169:19	46:25	dim 76:14	discrimination	dispute 10:21
democrats	designate	dimensions	36:6,17,21	13:9,17 27:5
120:22 164:15,	152:24	48:24	171:16,21	31:19,21 34:24
20,24	designated	direct 41:25	discuss 7:11	35:3,5,9,20,25
demographic	167:23	53:8 59:2,25	11:7,10,20	40:9,10 139:20
146:1	designed 31:1	63:4 90:19 92:3	12:19 42:25	173:24 175:12,
demographics	detail 81:7,8	95:3 97:3,4	48:12 56:17	20
33:24 34:3	96:5 97:1 109:3	114:4 173:9	61:15 62:23	disputes 40:16
56:25 92:11,17	detailed 119:21	directed 70:10	63:15 88:6,25	dissolution
demonstrate	details 45:9	directing 49:16	93:17 95:20	40:18
169:19	60:17 75:23,25	directly 42:11	96:4,11 105:23	distance 100:25
demonstrating	77:10 84:24	43:3 73:13,14	109:1 110:1	distinguishes
178:21	88:19 90:5,20	84:21 92:3	131:3 144:11	68:8 69:2
demonstrative	102:9 103:16	94:18 96:18	discussed 11:12,	district 35:15
155:20 156:24	112:9 114:9	97:4 141:6	14 21:8,10	37:5,6,24 50:9
157:9,16 178:9,	152:22	151:22 152:16	56:16 61:4 76:9	53:23,24 62:7
23,24 179:9,14	determine 54:3	173:6	77:8 78:3 84:15	79:16,17,21
demonstratives	55:4 57:22	director 17:2	85:2,7 89:3 96:6	88:15 89:12,16
157:17	123:25	22:24 27:5,10	105:8 111:5	91:11 92:22
dems 143:22	determined 70:6	31:14,19 34:22	131:4 132:20	93:15 94:10
Depending 27:9	determining	38:6,25 40:9	166:6	95:21 96:15
depends 47:7	24:23 124:6	41:14 42:6,7	discussing 43:3	101:17,20
100:1	develop 66:4	49:16 66:19	52:11 119:21	103:11,24,25
depo 12:20	97:12	108:19 112:9	128:11 131:18,	104:1,22 105:4,
deposed 6:1,3	deviation	146:2	21	19,24,25 121:25
10:2,7,11	145:24	director@	discussion 21:23	122:1 127:17,
deposited 60:19	devices 12:22,25	drcyakima.org.	70:8 71:23 72:1	19,20,21
_	Deylin 5:15,16	14:15	75:3 76:5 80:16	133:23,25
deposition 6:5	9:21 122:8	disagree 9:23	81:17,21 85:5	134:13 140:25
8:7 10:3,5,23 11:3,7,10,18,20,	150:5	113:19 121:12	86:15,22 89:8,	141:2,10,12,14,
24 12:5 20:7	diad 48:1	disagreed	11 90:1 91:7	21 142:3,6,8
73:17 181:24	diads 47:23,24	116:12	101:19 133:1 145:21 146:11	143:9 144:2,11,
depositions 7:15	,	disagreement	156:15 159:14	16,17 145:3
_	difference 69:21	60:5 149:6		146:2 148:6
describe 17:16 38:2 46:16	difficult 22:25	disappointed	discussions	149:12,13,15,18
	39:5 46:19	135:25 136:2	72:7,8 74:21	152:7 153:16
description 8:1	136:10,19,23	discipline 30:24	75:20 76:23 77:6 80:18,24	154:12,15,16 155:19,24
103:8	167:11 168:9	disclosures 7:20	81:5 90:24	156:23,25
descriptions	169:21 181:13	discomfort	105:3,7 109:15	150:25,25
41:6	difficulty 168:16	48:10	· ·	18,25 158:13,
		10.10	Dismantling	10,23 130.13,
	•	•	,	•

Index: d..e

16,20 159:2,3,4,	11 20:4,7,12,20	duties 13:19	educator 65:19	148:20 172:3
5,10,25 173:4,8,	21:1 66:18	38:3 39:14,17	effect 8:21 128:5	180:22
13 174:15,19	dollar 71:5	115:3	effective 59:21	ended 86:18
177:8,12,22	dominant 34:9	duty 38:5	effectiveness	131:13
178:1,2,10	door 41:19	106:18 114:19,	169:19	ends 46:20,24
179:7,9,14,17,	doors 160:19	23 166:2,5	effort 24:3 49:10	engage 22:7
19	double 30:6	dynamic 55:21	134:24	23:7 160:25
districts 35:17	download 64:16,	dynamics 57:9	elaborate 45:16	161:3 169:17
44:4 46:8,9	24 113:24		131:10 137:5	engaged 22:9,21
61:17 63:15	draft 7:23 59:14		elaboration	94:18 151:13,14
85:1,8 86:13	74:3 82:12,14		137:6	160:16 171:9
88:2,6,15 89:1,	84:12,13,15	e-mail 13:20,23	elect 93:15	engagement
13 90:6 91:1	100:22 113:8	14:6,9,10,17,18,	95:22 173:5	169:20
93:7,12 94:25	132:14 146:9,20	20,22 15:17	election 100:5	engaging 23:2
95:9,10,17	147:1,10	16:15 98:20		ensure 39:1 49:7
96:11 102:25	drafted 19:22	101:2,7,9,13	elections 85:15, 19 162:4	82:8 106:14
123:21 127:17,	134:19	112:17 130:11		114:20,23 115:7
23,25 134:1		132:2 147:7,8,9	embarrassed	134:9 172:8
143:4,22 144:24	drafting 12:14 151:9	e-mails 50:22	13:24 44:9	ensuring 12:14
145:3,25		77:16	embarrassing	96:24 115:2
148:10,19	drafts 80:13	earlier 9:7 20:25	161:18	entire 27:1
151:13 162:7	99:8	48:11 52:22	embedded 82:20	41:23 78:22
165:17 173:2	draw 53:6,9	71:9 84:7	employee 92:1	157:3,10,19
174:23	58:23 153:12	148:18 149:5	employees 68:16	158:19 159:3,5
districts' 146:1	171:18 172:14 178:1 179:17	155:5 159:20	70:2	entirety 155:23
divergence		163:18 165:5,7,	employer 11:12	entitled 9:12
163:13	drawing 92:14,	25 166:6 167:9	13:10	
diverse 181:9	18 100:10 162:15 165:17	169:11 170:8	empower 69:15	entrusted 107:11
divide 79:18,21		early 43:11	empowered	
dividing 174:19	drawn 127:10	138:4,10	41:13 68:14	environment
division 69:8	129:22 130:14	ease 32:2	69:1,7,12,19	8:21
divorce 40:18	166:24 171:12,	easier 32:7	70:1 108:16,19	equip 108:6,8
Doctrine 31:15	15 174:23	easiest 8:14	167:3	equipped
document 19:21	draws 172:12	easily 112:2	enacted 177:5,	102:17 105:16
114:12 126:17	drew 131:6	easy 55:25	22	eroded 37:22
153:22 154:5,8,	drive 51:3	economic 36:13,	encourage	essentially
10 155:8 157:22	60:16,20 171:3	18	107:20	103:13
158:23,25	driven 35:12	ed 21:8 22:2	encouraged	establish 53:16
170:11	drop-off 11:16	146:9 175:1,5	116:24 119:23	121:25
documents	drove 102:3	176:1	end 26:7 38:18	established
11:23 12:1,2,5	dry 173:14	educational	49:17 56:19,22	163:7
16:5,8,23 17:6,		5:17 63:13	64:9 85:1 129:1	
		0.17 00.10		
	I	I	I	I

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: e..f

	I		1	1
establishes	21:6 24:5 27:3	explain 53:12	facilitation	181:12
165:20	28:16 30:7	111:2,3 116:21	115:10	feeling 129:10
establishing	47:17 50:7	explained 119:3	facilitator 26:6	felt 12:10 23:14,
85:20	95:14 127:19	explaining 60:3	35:21 38:14	25 46:14 80:13
estimate 9:13,16	143:15	express 51:5	39:9 125:4	92:4 94:6
13:25 14:2 63:2	executive 17:2	77:3 81:4,10	166:12	103:25 104:9
74:10,17,18	22:24 27:5,10	84:16 88:14	fact 101:20	106:3 108:5
estimates	31:14,18 34:22	136:2 139:22	117:17 130:15	136:2
101:18	38:6,25 40:9	152:2,5 180:2	142:6	fewer 63:4
et al 154:6	41:14 49:16	expressed 49:8	failed 78:23 80:7	160:24,25
ethics 59:5	66:19 72:5	51:8,16 67:13	failure 43:10	figure 43:24
ethnic 30:22	108:18 109:7	73:19 82:22	115:15,21	44:20 46:19,25
ethnicity 162:5,	112:9 146:2	83:8 88:17	Fain 77:25 78:4	47:12 54:8,23
15 164:4,5	exhibit 63:24	93:13 105:19	80:23 86:24	55:2 64:21
European	64:1 101:4	162:25 173:16	94:7 135:1	122:20 155:17,
155:13	112:20,21	expressing 59:3	fair 151:4 156:3	18 156:18,22
evening 94:4	122:4,9,18,24	135:15 162:23	162:3 174:2,18,	157:6,7,15
125:3 129:11	124:14 125:20	expressly	21	figured 44:3
event 50:17	138:15,18,25	152:18 153:3	fairly 67:8 120:4	file 20:24 64:6,
events 22:4	145:7,9 154:9	expunge 160:9	faith 94:17	16 65:1 130:20
49:24,25 50:4,6,	156:8 158:16	extend 155:1	104:8	filed 19:3 20:8,
14,19 113:1	170:3,4	156:25 158:17	familiar 5:20	12,16 159:21,25
131:11	exists 146:24	159:8	7:20 33:24	files 132:4,7,18
eviction 35:22	147:11	extension 14:4	36:15 91:20	Filipino 34:14
exact 15:3 74:6	expand 117:1	extensive 71:25	familiarized	final 7:24 23:18
97:1 178:12,22	expectations	extensively	165:5	84:22 86:12
examples	106:8	21:14	familiarizing	88:8,10 89:12,
117:23	expected 149:25	extent 18:5	165:7	13 90:1,6
	163:19	22:15 45:3 59:1	families 36:18	114:16 116:7
exception 127:22	expedient 64:17	109:13 110:7	family 10:22	118:14,22,24,25
	expenditure	138:3	40:18	122:3 125:6,22
exchange 123:1	111:3	extremely 99:4	fashion 155:13	127:5,14,17,22
124:9,22 180:21	expenditures	extremes 23:2	fault 175:12	128:20,24 131:5
excluded 102:11	108:17 111:7	eye 160:7,15	favor 24:8 25:20	133:19,23,25
excludes 36:11	experience 31:8	eyes 161:4	73:9	134:8,14,15
exclusion 36:17	32:8 92:8 137:7	cycs 101.4		135:16 162:3
exclusively	169:22		federal 33:7	173:16 177:10
166:24	expert 12:10	F	fee 111:16	finalizing
excuse 11:19	107:20 155:9	face 36:6	feel 9:5,12 45:22	161:25
12:13 13:12	177:2 178:8	facilitating	48:5 49:3 51:21	finally 85:22
16:17,20 17:9,	expertise 107:4	38:17	74:19 92:16	149:17 158:22
24 20:11,23	108:6,7 171:19	30.17	113:17 167:14	

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: f..G

find 14:5 65:19,	36:7 37:15 41:9	forwarded	169:25	77:20 79:2,10
25 71:13 153:22	46:2 49:11 50:4	101:10,11 102:1	general 33:16	84:9 86:5,9,24
fine 51:24	51:7 57:13 59:9	found 112:2	34:2 40:16 48:9	87:7 103:8
finished 126:25	60:6 61:18 66:7	foundation	49:15 52:24	111:2 117:3,23
fishing 155:4	68:1,10 69:10	162:17 178:3	61:8 62:21	119:20,24
fit 31:6 131:6	70:4,14,23	fourth 45:10	65:10 95:12	123:14 133:4
five-minute	72:10 73:6	frame 27:9 74:6	96:3 110:7	156:12
147:14	74:14,25 75:10	free 9:5,12	111:14 112:5,6	giving 18:2
fixing 8:11	79:8 81:13 82:3,	frequently	150:12 181:15	glean 90:8
flip 178:14	10 83:5 85:17,	15:22 119:20	General's 12:10	global 46:5
floored 125:9	21 87:22 88:3,	fresh 9:8	65:16,22 109:1,	goal 98:1 123:24
focused 90:6	16 89:2 90:12 91:15,23 92:25	Friday 120:14	2,10 110:14	good 5:12 9:15
102:21	93:8 95:24	front 8:22 38:18	111:17,22	38:22 87:11
focusing 43:12	96:16 97:7	76:24 152:16	generally 34:25	104:8 108:9
100:21	99:19 100:12,19	frustration	42:24 44:25	110:17 150:4
folder 20:24	103:2 105:5	129:11	46:4 53:12	168:5
folks 50:12 61:8	106:1 107:1	full 5:22 33:8	57:12 62:13,18	gosh 43:15
110:4	108:1,15 111:19	51:2 62:7 68:12	63:7 75:23 76:6	53:23 142:9
follow 66:6 84:5	112:7 113:10	77:23 120:19	87:17 94:5,6 139:8	government
135:4 166:2,5,	115:4,17,23	126:17 133:16		35:19
16	123:23 125:15	146:9	generate 133:12	government-to-
follow-up 144:7	126:10,15 127:8	full-time 27:10	generated 19:18	government
_	130:1,22 133:24	169:6	50:20 97:13,14	173:11
follow-ups 180:17	134:16,22	fully 17:14 36:4	generating	governments
foolish 104:10	135:18 139:25	102:8 113:3	48:22 120:9	151:20
	140:18 141:23	116:23 125:12	163:21	governor 103:4
forbearance	142:4 144:19	function 37:19	geographic 155:25	graduate 29:22,
	145:4 146:12,22	Fund 5:17		25
force 8:21	147:4,24	future 46:17	geographical	graduated 32:4
forecasting	148:11,24	144416 40.17	47:1,9,13 48:18	grand 161:16
43:18	149:8,19 151:1		54:15	granted 69:17,
Forgive 30:15	161:10 162:6,16	G	geographies 47:4 53:18 54:5	18
170:3	166:18 168:17	gain 40:14 59:20	47:4 53:18 54:5 56:6	Graves 76:20
forgiveness	174:3,24,25 176:21 178:16	gains 103:25		78:10 80:23
136:12 167:12	176:21 178:16	game 43:14	gerrymandering 172:11,18,21	84:22 86:9 88:9,
forgot 15:24		120:13		24 90:25 91:10
form 10:18	forming 120:9	gathering 50:15	Gingles 79:12,	92:24 101:10,16
12:17 16:24	forms 36:20	gave 18:19		104:11 116:19
17:13 18:4,20	forward 51:14	77:18	give 8:14 9:2 13:25 17:10	117:5 120:1
19:4 24:12,21	52:8 60:14	gears 163:16	58:4 63:2 64:11	121:19 161:24
25:17 28:19 32:15 33:2 34:4	136:13,17	165:3 167:7	65:25 71:16	180:10
32.13 33.2 34.4			05.25 / 1.10	
	l			1

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: G..i

Graves' 104:7 great 7:5 8:18 9:1,11,20 20:6 36:5 48:5 50:11 65:6 169:6 grew 29:9 ground 6:4 38:21 group 72:14 groups 30:22,23 82:1,2 181:7 grow 29:5,8 growers 34:9 guess 9:14 17:20 22:2 25:22 29:4 46:12 53:12 68:25 69:25 75:5 79:12,18 103:23 105:8 112:4 121:7 125:9 133:22 139:2 164:3 165:1 168:1 169:5	45:14 54:14 92:21 99:15 134:3 happened 90:17 91:6 103:16 109:19 130:16, 24 134:4,8 135:5 136:8 161:18 happening 121:8 161:24 happy 176:23 hard 46:18 53:10 96:21 137:7 155:25 hats 39:13 hazy 77:8 head 6:20,21 43:16 50:8 95:13 145:14 heading 146:6 hear 93:5 113:14 115:5 heard 84:13	Hey 56:2 100:3 135:5 142:10 high 31:4 106:8 171:22 higher 40:25 highest 106:14 144:17 169:18 highlight 170:23 172:3 highlighted 170:23 172:24 highlights 101:16 highly 112:9 hire 22:24 41:13 42:5 67:24 68:5, 15,19 69:1,3,6, 7,12,16 70:1,2, 7,10,20 71:20 72:9,15,19 74:12,23,24 75:2,7,9,21 80:7 82:25 83:16,19 hired 49:17	8,10,12,25 180:24 Hispanics 164:14,19,23 historical 34:8 historically 34:15 55:19,21 history 22:10 hit 51:20 149:24 Hobbs 5:19 143:12 149:2,4 154:6 hold 26:24 27:1 160:12 holds 172:13 homeland 34:10 homes 35:24 honestly 78:8 96:18 126:4 138:12 140:19 142:5 180:9 hopes 38:21 44:21 110:3	How's 123:1 HR 38:9 hub 143:14 huge 160:16 Hughes 113:10 150:4,7,10,11 151:3 156:7,11, 16 159:11 162:17 174:3,24 178:6,25 180:4, 16,20 181:3,17 huh-uh 25:23 human 30:20,21 31:4 33:14 36:12 hundreds 60:20 hungry 52:8 husband 11:14 I idea 24:14 47:25 48:1,9 78:23 88:13,14 95:21
guessing 140:9 guidance 17:10, 21 18:2,8,19	89:3 102:12 116:24 123:19 131:2 144:14	68:7,8,11,13 69:13 70:12 83:8,14	hoping 55:24 host 43:5 hotel 126:3	103:18 118:19 160:18 161:8 identification
19:1 H	161:7 180:13 hearing 49:12 90:22 91:2	hires 42:12 hiring 22:23 26:10 38:6,10	hour 51:21 52:16 120:14 128:20 129:8 hours 27:7,9	64:2 101:5 112:22 122:19 124:15 138:19
half 31:23 51:21 half-hour 61:8 119:5,7,8,11,12 hall 126:5 hallway 126:3 handful 15:24 hands 153:12 hanging 138:17 173:14 175:5 happen 9:1	95:11,25 hearsay 73:14 heavily 58:21 held 20:25 59:17 60:16 61:22 137:4 160:7 helpful 52:5 168:14 helps 64:22 110:12 hesitate 136:22	42:3,9 67:18,19 68:9 70:21 73:25 74:21 76:3,18,21 77:6 78:10,17,21,23 hisp 139:3,5,6 141:7 142:1 148:2 Hispanic 98:12, 13 139:5,6 148:9 149:18 163:25 164:4,6,	40:13,14,17 64:16 98:23 130:16 house 15:14 46:7 47:18 51:12 61:2,3 66:10 120:8 155:19 156:23 157:8,15 houses 108:4	145:10 156:1 170:5 identified 7:20 identify 33:20 178:20 identifying 59:16 ignorance 30:15 illegal 79:23 image 155:22 imagine 45:11

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: i..i

	1	1		1
72:20 125:1	165:11	influenced	instructs 6:15	interrupt 156:5
imagined	includes 153:18	57:25	integrity 57:5	intervene 25:14,
127:23	157:19 176:19	inform 106:19	87:4 92:6	25 35:13
immediately	177:12	informal 8:20	104:18 106:14	intervening
31:18	including 11:15	94:16	163:10 171:23	25:21
impact 20:17	35:10,22 38:8	information	intending 156:8	intervenor
79:16 128:3	108:21 151:6	7:21 9:4 26:3	intent 80:2,3	24:24 25:4
impacting 41:3	inconsistent	43:21 50:16	169:5 171:20	intervenor-
impacts 46:17	89:7,25 90:10	51:6 54:7 55:2	intention 19:7,9,	defendants
impartial 26:6	Independence	72:6 105:15	14,16 23:19	170:2,4
106:10	171:4	107:6 146:1	45:4 59:16	interventions
impasse 127:16	independent	169:17	160:21 175:1	35:6,10
impede 168:7	13:2 19:19	informed 9:13	intentionally	interviews 49:20
imperfect 100:5	41:22 42:4,13,	22:14 25:8 98:3	172:8	introduce
implement 48:1	18 45:1,12	102:8 106:23	interaction 23:1	122:16
imply 97:18	47:11,15 48:20,	125:12	163:2	introduced
import 55:4	22 51:10 53:2	informing 38:15	interest 45:15,	122:23
_	54:6 55:6,10,11,	infusing 168:3	17,19 46:11,14	investigating
importance 53:19	12 57:11,15	initial 7:19	54:22,23,24	38:6
	58:5 59:15 60:2,	initially 119:3	91:4 100:24	invitation
important 6:19 39:4 54:25	18 68:7 97:16	159:21 170:25	166:25 169:22	130:11
55:21 63:10	102:2,5 104:19	injunction 20:9	interested 45:3	invitations 63:4
94:9 137:18	105:1,19 106:8,	input 22:7,9	78:10	invite 65:12
150:25 151:9	9,18,23 107:3 125:4 129:12,	48:20,23 49:1	interesting 31:7	118:14
166:12,15	23,24 130:3,4,8	50:22 51:1	72:20 181:7	invited 65:13,14
impression 90:7,	133:11,23 146:3	58:22,25 59:1	interests 59:16	involved 42:3,5,
8 133:18 150:22	167:25 168:15	163:9	137:1,2,4,13,20,	9,12 84:21
151:5	independently	insert 33:14	23 171:24	90:24 150:15
impressive	66:4	instances 106:5	173:9,15,20	Island 5:24
27:13	Indian 34:6	institution	174:15,22	issue 10:12
in-depth 21:25	151:15	37:22,25 38:5,	180:23 181:11,	90:21 173:2
in-person 49:24,	indicating	12	14,15	175:6,8
25	141:12	institutions 31:5	intermediate	issued 12:24
inaccurate 14:1	indicator 58:19	35:19 37:19	99:23 117:11	13:5 14:10,25
		40:23,25 169:20	internet 6:9	20:10 87:1
inappropriate 92:4	individual 30:18 57:10 83:1,12,	instructed 24:5,	111:6 133:15	138:2
	15,18 85:15	14 26:7	interpret 31:2	issues 51:13
include 16:10	110:11	instructing	115:25 171:17	109:21
152:14 155:4,23 177:23	industry 34:18	119:19	interpretations	item 71:1
	•	instructions	89:7,21,25	items 71:1 111:4
included 23:18	influence 79:22	24:15	90:11 116:4	/1.1 111.7
38:18 152:18	171:5			

Index: J..1

	98:5,19 99:3,4,	Lacks 178:3	laws 38:15 39:11	24:4,7,9 46:8
J	9,16 100:8,15	lag 6:8	66:15 151:6	47:19 50:9
Jamie 42:8	102:6,16 118:3,	laid 125:2	lawsuit 5:20	53:23 82:1 88:15 89:11
January 13:14	13 130:11,15 134:2,7,12	land 152:21	10:3,5,12,14	90:25 91:11
14:24 26:15	134.2,7,12	177:13,15,18,	19:2,3 21:9,12,	95:17 101:17,20
46:23	140.3	20,23	20 25:14,21	103:11 104:21
Japanese 34:16		landed 85:23	26:1 159:24	105:4,18,24,25
I -	K	landmark 79:11	160:6,11 161:5, 8,14	123:21 127:21
job 27:1,3,4 31:18 38:11	K-12 40:20	lands 151:22	·	129:4 132:3
107:5,13,22	keeping 151:8	152:24 153:4	lawyer 6:11,13, 16 8:12	133:23,25
107.5,15,22	174:19 180:3	176:20 177:1,25	LD14 153:14	134:1,2,13
8,9,10,11 116:7	kind 16:4 18:25	178:2 179:18		135:25 141:21
120:2	35:3 40:16 43:1	180:3	leader 61:2	142:3 143:9
jobs 26:24 36:19	50:11 57:8,22,	language 33:14	68:15 112:25 113:9	152:6 155:19
169:6	25 58:7,19	languages 161:2		156:23 157:8,
Joe 80:23 94:7	59:12 61:7	laptop 12:24	leaders 23:9,23 24:5,7,9,14 25:5	16,25 158:13
134:25 135:4	68:20 75:23,25	13:5,8,16 14:23	173:14 175:2,17	159:2,4,25
jog 21:17	112:25 118:2	15:4 126:3	· ·	177:8
join 23:23	142:23,24	large 65:1 77:22	leading 88:8 89:12 127:13	legislators 61:15
119:5,20	160:11,19	181:8	161:25	legislature 7:25
<u>'</u>	kinds 47:10	larger 154:23		23:10,11 25:6
joined 152:6	48:24 98:16	largest 34:6	leads 16:4 36:13	60:22 61:11
joining 119:6,8	118:10	lastly 9:11 78:16	lean 121:25 122:2 144:5	108:5 172:12,13
joint 109:14	Kittitas 27:6	late 43:14,16		175:4
134:24	36:1	46:21 120:13	learned 57:3	Legislature.'
journal 138:21	Klickitat 153:10	latest 104:25	83:9 84:23,25	145:22
judge 8:14,23	knew 53:20	latina 33:20	learning 41:1	legitimate
July 31:17	104:8,14 128:5	Latino 36:6 96:7	45:15	36:13,18
June 12:4,7	131:16	144:17 173:3,5	leave 25:22	leisure 113:25
20:25 63:18	knowledge 22:8	174:1,9,11	138:1 165:2	lengths 161:3
79:1	25:2 82:16	175:10	led 22:4 27:14	lengthy 38:22
jurisdiction	104:17 105:15	Latinx 34:8	left 47:5 54:16	39:5 81:17
129:16,18	161:11 162:12	144:24	133:8 135:24	letter 132:14
131:17,20,24	164:14,19	law 8:22 23:12,	137:25	134:19,23
132:22	165:15	13 33:8 84:5	left-hand 143:25	135:5,9
jury 8:14,23		125:7,17	legal 5:17 109:1,	level 30:22 31:4
justice 173:2	\mathbf{L}	151:18,19	21 165:4,18	35:8,9,14 37:11
175:6,8		165:6,8,9,12	179:17,20,23	41:2 94:14 96:5
Justin 42:9 53:4,	labels 179:7	166:2,15 172:6	legislation 33:1,	106:14
5,8 59:22 83:21	lack 45:14,16,19	173:13 175:4,	5,7 79:11	libraries 23:5
97:4,10,13,21	46:11,14 162:17	11,16,17	legislative 7:17	

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: L..m

Likewise 150:3	logistical 47:3	101:15 103:15,	99:7 103:25	maps 7:17,18,24
limit 32:1	logistics 21:24	17,22 104:4,9	107:15	12:14 23:11
lines 92:14 93:6	44:6,7	111:3,8,14	MALDEF 5:18	24:8,11,20 44:2
162:15 178:13	Lombard 40:8	127:25 132:6	managed 112:9	47:19,21 53:6,9
Lisa 16:25 17:2,	long 21:22	141:11 151:21	managing 169:6	56:11,15 58:23
5,10 18:15	29:10,15 31:10,	168:8 180:11	mandates	59:8,14 60:11,
19:22 29:1,2	16,21 52:11	main 101:24	107:22	14,16,20 61:16
41:13,16,23,24	57:16 117:11	102:13 104:10		74:3,4 80:9,13
42:2,5,18 49:16	119:12 121:1	120:2 143:4	manner 23:6	82:8,13,14,16,
59:25 65:13,14,	159:19	major 30:5,6,9	map 7:23 32:9	17 83:4,23 84:2,
19,21,24 68:7,8,	longer 147:8	91:4,8	44:16,18 45:8	15,23 92:18
11,13,17 69:1,7,	looked 13:6	majority 68:3,4,	51:6 53:1,13,18	97:5,14,18,20
11,15,18,21	85:20 98:17	18 69:13,21	57:8,11 59:6	98:7,15,19,24
70:1,7,8,12,20	99:13 102:15	88:2,6,14,25	60:19 84:12,13	99:10 100:9,10,
71:10 72:24	105:9,10,11,12	89:15 92:22	88:15 89:12 91:11 94:18	17,20,22 108:13
74:8,11 97:3,11	107:2 140:24	93:11 94:9,25	95:17 101:16	109:11 114:20,
98:20 101:11	Loren 155:9	95:8,16 96:10,	103:11 106:19,	23 115:2,6,8,11,
102:1,6,16	178:8,13,22	14 108:17	24 114:16	15,16,21
106:8 108:18		111:10 112:25	118:6,14 127:17	118:24,25
111:1,8 112:10	losing 35:24	113:9 148:9	129:3,4 130:20,	120:17,20,23,24
125:5 132:2	lost 129:16,18	149:18 172:13	24 132:3,4,7	123:16 124:21
134:24 146:4	131:17,20	make 6:11,22	133:1,23 134:1,	125:6,23 127:2,
list 36:14 156:8	lot 24:3 43:10,11	8:7,10,12 9:4,15	2,6,8 136:1	9,19,20,21,23
listed 57:2 72:23	44:5,6,19 49:24	22:14 26:4	139:16,18	128:7,9,14,17,
93:21,22 140:25	54:12 76:1	30:17 32:7	145:25 148:10	23 129:1,7,13,
141:14 142:6	97:20 100:8	48:17 63:5	153:12 154:19,	22 130:13
lists 114:9	121:5,6 142:21	97:22 98:3	23 157:25	131:5,6,22
litigation 5:19	161:4,23 163:1, 5	103:14 106:7	158:9,13 159:25	132:11,15,21
36:25 37:3,8,11,		107:7,12,16,17,	161:25 171:1	133:13,19
13	loud 6:19	18,21 108:8,9,	172:17,20	134:14,15,20
live 10:22 29:12,	lower 45:10	17,19 116:8	173:16,19	135:13,16
17,18 34:15	101:21	129:15 130:14	174:1,8,14	136:12 143:1 145:18 150:16
35:15 64:16,17	luckily 112:10	131:4 135:9	176:22 177:3,8,	151:9 160:3,9
153:2	lunch 52:12	137:6 165:8	10 178:9,12,13,	161:9 162:25
lived 29:10	64:15 142:17	maker 53:1	22,24	163:22 166:23
34:15 92:7		makes 89:13	mapped 128:7	171:12,15,17,18
living 29:15 92:1	\mathbf{M}	141:2,13,16	mapping 53:11	171.12,13,17,18
local 56:4		142:7,8 143:24	55:1 117:14	176:4 177:5
located 117:16	machines 38:9	making 11:17,	127:12,15	March 170:18
179:13	made 7:13 25:1	18 32:10 44:16,	130:3,7 133:2	mark 51:21
location 176:25	34:8 46:17 48:3	18 45:8 51:6	146:5	149:24 170:2
10Cau0H 1/0:23	58:5 62:12 68:2	57:8 89:4 98:7		177.24 170.2
	71:4 90:3,15			

Index: m..M

1 1 10 0 1	1 ,, ,,,,,		10.11.52.22	3.5
marked 63:24	media 50:22	Meeting.'	48:11 52:22	Mexico 29:9
64:1 101:4	77:22	118:15	61:22 63:6,17	30:3
112:17,19,21	mediate 40:16	meetings 19:17	71:9 83:14 86:6,	micro 35:8
122:18 124:14	123:6	38:17 42:22,24	10 92:20 100:17	mid 153:13
125:20 138:18,	mediated 40:17	48:4,12 50:22	102:25 110:19	midnight 114:16
25 145:9 170:5	mediating 88:24	51:10,11 61:4,6,	116:12 144:13	115:16,21
massive 35:10	114:4 123:12	7,14,23,24,25	148:18 155:5	125:24 129:16,
43:5 50:20	mediation 40:3,	62:5,9,12,22,25	159:20 163:17	19 132:23,24
master's 30:13	14,18,19,21	63:11,14 84:14,	165:7 167:9	million 22:10
mate 123:3	mediations 35:7	17 86:11 97:10	169:11	160:17
materials 45:5	40:22,25 41:4	99:1 107:10	mentions 66:23	MILLSTEIN
mathematical	mediator 38:20	109:7,8,25	101:17	10:18 12:17
57:23	40:1,4,7,15	110:25 111:15	menu 57:16,19	16:24 17:13
Matt 73:5 74:4	59:18 116:18,21	117:11 119:10,	142:17	18:4,20 19:4
76:10,13 77:1	120:1	11,24 160:12,24	mess 44:20	24:12,21 25:17
matter 47:2	meet 10:23 11:2,	162:22 166:7,13	message 58:24	28:19 32:15
112:1 171:19	5 25:13 60:22	167:19,24	122:5,7 123:1,7	33:2 36:7 37:15
mattered 22:15	61:10	member 27:19	124:9,22 125:8	41:9 46:2 49:11
Mclean 16:25	meeting 12:4	28:4 99:6	messages 15:9	51:7,24 52:10
17:5,10 18:15	22:18 24:17,23	106:22 118:13	16:1,10,15	57:13 59:9 60:6
19:22 29:1	25:2 27:24	133:22	50:23	61:18 64:5,9,22
41:13,16,23	38:15 42:15,17	members 23:5	messaging 16:14	65:1 66:7 68:1,
42:18 59:25	46:6 48:8 50:18	36:5 41:20 53:8	messed 56:7	10 69:10 70:4,
68:7,8,11,13,17	62:2,3,4,8,11,17	56:10,13 60:11,	met 25:13 41:24	14,23 73:6
69:1,7,11,15	64:4,23 65:7,12	22,24 61:10	42:13 60:24	74:14,25 75:10
70:1,7,12,20	68:3,19,22	79:15,18 134:13	81:2	79:8 81:13 82:3,
71:10 72:24	69:15 70:9 71:3	153:1 160:17	metric 85:7	10 83:5 85:17,
74:8,11 97:3	84:14 108:22	memo 112:24	97:22 99:21	21 87:22 88:3,
98:20 101:11	111:1,7 118:16,	113:1,17,20	140:23 141:3,17	16 89:2 90:12
108:18 111:2,8	20,21 119:4,5,7,	116:13 123:17	metrics 7:18	91:15,23 92:25
125:5 132:3	15,20 120:2,3,4,	130:18 133:13	43:22 48:12	93:8 95:24
134:24 146:4	7,19,25 121:9,	memory 21:17	51:1 53:15,17,	96:16 97:7
means 8:19	10 123:10,13	Mennonite 40:8	18 54:4,6,8,9,25	99:19 100:12,19
30:18 139:6,7,	124:5,6,18,25	mention 19:14	55:4,8,12,14	103:2 105:5
13 142:11	125:14 126:16,	21:16 137:13	56:7,9,14,20,24	106:1 107:1
146:15 147:23	19 128:11	165:21	85:20 97:12,14	108:1,15
166:19,20	130:21 131:13,	mentioned 10:2	98:7,11 99:10,	109:12,20
meant 121:12	23 134:7	11:21 14:25	16 100:9,16,17	110:22 111:19
140:22,23 141:4	145:12,13 160:7	19:24,25 21:7	118:7,9 121:15,	112:7 113:23
166:23 172:8	161:2,11,13	24:7 25:13	17,22 162:10	115:4,17,23
mechanisms	180:13	37:14 40:1 41:7	Mexican 5:16	122:8,12,15
23:6		42:13 47:16	1.10.110.110	123:23 124:11
23.0				125:15 126:10,

Index: m..o

15 127.0 120.1			151.12.160.20	127.0
15 127:8 130:1,	moderate	nation 34:11,13	151:12 160:20	137:8
22 133:24	103:19 104:3	91:18 151:8,25	162:10	nonvoting 26:17
134:16,22 135:18 137:15	moment 9:3	152:2,3,5,6,11	negotiation	noon 52:2,8,9
139:25 140:18	64:5 118:19	153:2,7,15 154:16 155:6,24	38:23 45:20,21,	note 77:23
141:23 142:4	156:12,13		24 46:12 47:1,	161:23
141.23 142.4	Monday 117:9,	157:3,4,10,19 158:19 159:5	13 53:14,15,16	notes 21:4 90:4
146:12,22	10	173:9,12,15,21,	59:21 84:21,24,	138:21,22
140:12,22	money 108:22	25 174:14	25 85:1,9 86:13	139:22 140:6,21
147.4,24	monolithic	176:19 177:1,4,	88:9,11,18	141:20,25
149:8,19 151:1	181:10	15 178:2 179:18	89:10,13 92:21	142:2,14,18
162:6,16 165:18	month 26:14	180:3,12	93:2 94:19	144:11,15
166:18 168:17	74:17,18 110:25	, ,	102:8,12,14,16,	147:20 148:5,23
174:25 176:21	126:17	nation-to-nation 151:17	23 104:9,10,16 105:16 112:14	149:1 152:16
178:3,16	monthly 110:24			notice 60:18
179:11,20	months 21:11,22	Native 164:8	114:5 121:1 122:3 127:10	142:20
181:19	29:2 43:16	179:24 180:23		notified 24:18
mind 9:8 21:21	46:21 50:7	nature 39:8 47:3	144:9 162:8,19 180:6	November
22:21 43:13	74:18 113:4,16	63:13 67:15,17		46:23 114:17
44:14 76:25	127:13	112:10 162:4	negotiations	117:8,9 119:4
116:4 145:15	morning 5:12	nauseam 125:5	45:12,13 88:20	129:5 131:12
	132:23,25 133:8	navigated	91:9 101:23	132:2 145:12
mindful 6:7	136:6,7	156:13	103:9 105:2 126:25 140:5	number 32:6
minimum 52:13	motion 20:9	nearing 128:18	145:2 148:9	43:5 55:17
minority 34:16	motivated	necessarily 9:14	161:24	107:16,17 138:7
61:2 88:2,6,14,	125:10	73:18		143:21 145:25
25 89:15 92:22	move 156:4	needed 26:4	neutral 57:24	numbering
93:11,14 94:10,	moved 29:11,17	39:2 102:17	NICA 33:7,9	145:3
25 95:8,17,22	,	117:21 130:14	Nicaragua	numbers 38:9
96:10,12,15	Moving 157:6	needing 121:2	33:19	43:17 123:21
99:11	multiple 10:16	negotiate 38:22	Nicaraguan	numerous 166:8
minute 64:12	41:4 57:15 94:2	46:8,9 47:15,18,	33:22	numerous 100.0
153:23	109:7 110:5	19,20 94:18	night 114:16	
minutes 19:17	111:4 161:1	120:15 144:8	131:11	O
52:14 63:21	musical 43:1	169:20	Nixon 42:8	O'NEIL 112:24
64:23 66:23	mutually 130:13	negotiated	nodding 6:20	113:8 116:13,16
71:25 145:12,15		90:15 103:11	nods 95:13	118:12 119:3
147:10	N	128:1 148:19	nondecision	123:18 130:19
missed 62:2		161:21	72:21	131:2
Misstates	named 27:19	negotiating 54:5	nonindependent	oath 5:6 8:18,21
110:22	28:5	55:8 56:19 90:6	87:7 130:7	object 6:17
mistaken 82:21	names 73:3	94:7 101:25	nonresponsive	10:18 12:17
	narrow 56:23	104:7 120:5	45:7 77:15,20	18:4 28:19 46:2
			13.7 77.13,20	10.120.17 70.2
	l	1	<u> </u>	I

Index: o..p

97:7 105:5	149:8,19 162:6,	ongoing 37:10	opposed 69:3	132:1 134:4
106:1 108:15	16 165:18	online 129:24	180:24	181:24
109:12 111:19	168:17 176:21	170:15	opposition	package 15:3,4,
113:10 115:4	178:3,16 179:20	op 21:8 22:2	173:9 181:16	7 133:16
141:23 151:1	180:25	175:1,5,25	option 58:8 72:9	packing 79:14
166:18 174:3,	objections 6:11		-	
24,25 180:4	objects 116:10	open 21:1 37:6,	options 45:13	pages 140:9 172:2
objecting 6:13		20,21 46:6	57:16,19 58:2	
objection 6:14	obligated 66:3,5	50:17,21 52:2	order 37:4,19	paging 114:7,8
9:22 16:24	obligation 8:19	62:4 64:11,14,	54:18 167:1	142:15
17:13 18:20	168:5 175:16	15 68:3,18,22	ordinary 35:6	Pailthorp 49:23
19:4 24:12,21	179:17,23	69:14 70:9 71:3,	organization	Palmer 5:19
25:17 32:15	obligations	4 76:6,7,8,9,11,	30:20,21,24	154:6
33:2 34:4 36:7	65:20 81:2,11	12,16,17 84:13,	31:24	paragraph
37:15 41:9	84:5	14 107:10	organizational	146:7,11,17,21
49:11 51:7	obtuse 18:9	108:22 109:6,8, 25 111:7,15	70:25	147:2 172:3,4
57:13 59:9 60:6	occur 53:16 92:5	·	organizations	parameters
61:18 66:7 68:1,	occurred 73:25	118:20 120:18, 25 122:21	30:12,25 31:5	53:25
10 69:10 70:4,	occurs 172:11		62:22 63:14	paraphrased
14,23 72:10	October 5:1	123:10 125:17	organized	50:24
73:6 74:14,25	43:7 158:1,13	131:23 161:16, 19 162:22 166:7	169:21	parenting 40:19
75:10 79:8	159:2	177:7	organizer 22:24	parents 35:15
81:13 82:3,10	offer 137:12		original 143:23	part 13:19 26:5
83:5 85:17,21	169:13,23	opened 145:20	Osta 27:19,20	55:19 90:1 93:7
87:22 88:3,16	offered 57:15	operate 106:14	28:5,14	95:14 103:15,17
89:2 90:12	59:4 137:9	opinion 20:15,	other's 106:13	114:19 115:3
91:15,23 92:25	offers 57:17	17,18 37:13,18		131:8 153:7
93:8 95:24	117:16,19	56:18 59:3,18	outcome 175:13	154:11 155:6
96:16 99:19	office 12:10	69:14 104:15	outreach 24:2	180:5
100:12,19 103:2		167:20 171:12,	49:10,15,17,20,	
107:1 108:1	13:1,7 38:7	15 172:17,20	24,25 50:4,6,14,	participate 36:12 167:22
110:22 112:7	65:16,22 103:19	173:19,23	17 51:6 61:23	
115:17,23	108:13 109:1,2,	opinions 106:11,	62:3,5,21	participated
123:23 125:15	6,10 110:6,14	13	overlap 59:16,	23:17 34:17
126:10,15 127:8	111:17,22	OPMA 10:5,12	24 100:23,25	175:18
130:1,22 133:24	133:11 137:18	18:22 19:2	owned 153:4	participation
134:16,22	official 14:16,22	160:6 161:5	ownership 48:5	68:12
135:18 137:15	15:18 35:18	opportunities		parties 23:4
139:25 140:18	71:24	160:25	P	53:14 117:2,4
142:4 144:19	officials 61:10	opportunity 8:6		partisan 121:14,
145:4 146:12,22	Olympia 13:1,3,	41:25 95:21	p.m. 118:24	16,22,24 162:4,
147:4,24	6 98:22 102:3,	116:23 137:10	123:8 124:17	9,13 165:16
148:11,24	15 133:11	166:13	129:4,5 130:12	168:15 171:13
110.11,27				

Index: p..p

			1	1
172:18	43:6 50:13,21	pertained 43:3	planned 134:3	politicians
partisanship	54:20 81:24,25	pertaining	planning 23:12	164:25
123:21 166:6	96:24 114:5	18:22 19:12	24:19 25:9	poll 58:11
partner 105:16	121:18 137:19	32:9,12,14	43:11 118:18	pooled 58:8,21
party 9:22 10:14	161:3,7 175:18	65:21 86:13	plans 40:19	pooling 57:24
57:25 58:21	percent 34:7	pertains 20:18	platforms 16:14	population
61:10 85:9,11,	36:3 54:2	86:12 141:13	play 141:18	23:20 34:7,8,14
13 114:4 143:3	101:18,21	Ph.d 30:11	pleading 154:1	48:18 95:22
166:24 167:3	percentage	phase 43:3	pleasure 150:1	139:9 144:18
172:13 175:24	145:24	phases 43:2	PM 118:13	145:24
pass 114:15	Perfect 154:4	phone 10:24	point 7:5 17:20	populations
passage 115:2,6,	perform 97:5	12:25 14:25	18:13 27:16,17	47:7,8 96:12
8	performed 99:9	15:1,4,5,16,19,	43:20 57:14	98:6 128:4
passed 33:6,10	performing	23,25 16:1,11	82:21 91:4,8,9	populus 37:20
114:20,23	139:4 141:7	27:22 135:7	92:2 100:1	portray 131:3,
115:16,21 176:5	142:1 148:3	138:1,2,3,7,11	101:24 102:11	11
passing 93:5	period 15:11	phones 138:5,6	114:2 116:13,14	position 23:3,25
passionate	57:16 76:1	phrase 169:12	118:12 119:2,17	31:13 41:17
96:24	93:19 94:3	phrased 167:10	121:11,13	93:20 103:5
past 43:19 56:6	119:12 169:21	phrasing 72:20	123:13,18	104:5 137:11
patterns 96:6,13	periodically	physical 8:7	126:18 130:18	169:13 171:25
Paul 80:23	117:18	116:10 128:16	131:1,13,18,25	positions 71:5
84:22 88:9,18	periods 117:11	pick-up 11:15	132:1 146:6	117:2
101:10 102:17	perjury 8:9	picture 135:6	149:10 160:10	positive 163:5
104:11 121:18	person 10:24	piece 99:12	161:5,15 167:17	possession 20:2
143:13 180:10	12:9 39:21 92:3	placard 119:14	175:20 179:22	possibility
Paul/april	108:16,20	place 29:17	pointing 175:5	116:25
148:16	114:22 136:25	39:1,4 142:19	points 102:21	possibly 94:12,
pause 21:10,21	person's 138:7	placement 48:18	105:24	24 115:10
122:12	personal 14:6,17	places 32:5	polarized 87:20	post 32:1 128:19
PDF 64:23	15:5,19,22 16:1,	59:19 100:24	police 41:4	129:24 130:4,9
113:24 170:11,	11 29:5 106:11,	154:21	policies 39:3,11	133:14,15
15	13 138:1,11	plaintiffs 5:18	policing 35:11	posted 129:1,3,
Peace 40:8	personally	178:10	40:22	4,8 135:13
penalty 8:9	19:15 51:9,16	plaintiffs' 154:9,	policy 36:11	posterity 19:22
pending 7:8	53:6	12 155:1,19	political 23:3	postgraduate
people 11:21	perspective	156:23 157:8,16	32:22,25 39:9	30:7,10 31:8
15:10 21:11	55:23	178:23 179:9,14	82:2 161:21	potential 76:21
22:15,22 23:4,7,	persuade 25:20,	plan 154:9 155:1	171:5 172:14	77:6 95:8,16
15,17 34:10,14	25	172:5 173:10	175:23	116:25
35:6,23 36:21	pertain 39:12	177:23 179:14	politically 23:4	
			<u> </u>	l

Index: p..p

potentially 10:9	pressure 123:15	priority 22:6	172:8 175:7,13,	prove 80:2,3
power 79:20	169:6	49:1,6 96:24	18 180:6	provide 17:16
powerful 175:23	pretty 103:1	180:2	processes 7:18	19:7,14 26:2
practices 35:18,	116:16 133:14	private 160:12	processing	35:7,9,16 37:5
19	161:10 163:3,6,	privately 21:14	17:15	43:21 45:4 55:3
precedent 48:6	7	privilege 109:15	procurement	58:22 59:1
*	prevent 79:16	privileged 72:6	38:8	67:20 73:14
preceding 84:22	107:14 161:20	73:16 109:14	produce 16:8,23	98:1 114:3
predicated	previous 9:5	110:7	17:16 60:2	115:9 118:4
103:18 149:2	32:19 36:25		115:11 116:9	169:16
predominated	46:23 85:15,19	privy 88:21 114:10 123:22	produced 16:17	provided 40:21
162:14	88:20 90:3,14	127:12 162:8,18	63:12 100:8	69:21 109:2
prefer 5:12	143:15	problem 64:13	122:5	111:23 116:23
preference 78:5	previously	*	producing 99:16	138:14 177:3
preliminary	63:17 105:9	problems 35:7 36:14	product 23:18	providing 37:23
20:9	150:18 152:9		98:21 116:7	68:20
preparation	primarily 15:17	procedure		provision 166:5,
11:23 12:5 45:5	128:7	129:22	production	17,23
prepare 10:23,	primary 15:10	proceed 131:19	16:19,21 17:12	PST 5:2
25 11:2 12:10	53:1 66:14	process 7:12,13	professional	psychology 30:6
15:15 20:6	162:9	12:13,21 17:20	38:20 59:18	public 16:6,22
prepared 72:24	printed 20:19	18:1,15 19:21	108:4	19:17,20 20:5
105:13,22 106:3	prior 10:12 13:8	21:10,15 22:6	program 30:12	22:7,9,13,18
108:9 124:7	15:6 24:17 25:8	23:8,17 26:6	35:11,23	23:1,5 43:6 46:6
145:23	29:18 31:18,24	27:14,18 28:2 36:24 38:10,14,	programs 35:22	48:3,20,23 49:1,
presence 89:15	32:8 103:10	18,23 41:17	progress 123:12	9,15,24,25 50:3,
present 42:22	110:22 118:21	44:25 45:20,21,	prolog 113:11	6,12,14,17,21
127:2 166:11	120:6,7,19	24 46:4 47:2,3,	prompted	51:6 54:21 57:2,
presentation	132:18 146:20	13 50:12,15	124:24 125:1	3,7 59:14 61:22
12:9 63:5,6,8	priorities 57:1,	53:13,14 55:11	properly 37:20	62:3,4,5,21
64:4 65:25 67:1,	4,7 84:8,9,11,	57:8,12 62:23	proposal 93:6	63:5,6,10 68:3,
4,9,12 79:1,3	13,16,25 85:4	74:24 84:8,10	101:15 104:19,	19,22 69:14
presentations	86:5,9,20,25	85:9 86:6,10,25	25 114:3	70:9 71:3,4
45:1 111:15	87:5,6,8 150:19	87:8 98:1 125:2	121:14,16	82:12,16 83:8
presented 66:16	151:11 162:22	127:10 129:20,	proposals 121:4,	84:14,17 86:7,
78:13 131:22	163:3,9,12,13	25 130:2,16	20,21	10,11 87:1
178:7	171:24	135:4 136:11,	proposed 60:11	107:9,10,12
preserved 152:4	prioritize	20,24 137:18,22	154:9 155:1	108:22 109:25
preserves 9:23	150:23 169:8	138:9 150:16	171:1 172:17,20	111:7 112:1
preserving 6:17	prioritized	161:7 165:21	173:19 178:10	118:20 119:5,7,
press 136:13,17	166:25	166:1 167:11,19	proud 176:4,6	15,20 120:2,3,
168:3		168:7,9 171:4		18,25 123:10,13
	I	I	I	l

Index: p..r

	1	l	1	_
124:2 126:17,19	114:25 115:18,	171:16,21	26:11,14 27:13,	recognize
128:17 129:2	25 125:11	172:21 175:6,25	16 37:3 49:12	138:22 157:22
131:13,23	126:11 140:1,4	181:7	51:19 60:24	158:22,23
137:17 160:7,	142:7 174:4	racially 87:20	61:4,5,6 62:25	161:14 177:10
15,17,24	176:4 178:18	radar 27:21	65:7 66:8 67:6,	recollection
162:22,23	181:1,4,5	raised 90:22	10,12 72:23,25	14:20 21:13
163:1,9 166:7	questioning	176:11	73:9,24 74:15	42:16 52:25
169:18 180:13	138:17 176:12	ran 58:2	75:2,20 76:2,6,	70:5,24 71:6
public's 37:22	questions 18:24	ranch 10:22	7,17,20,25	74:2 75:11
publicly 21:14	26:9 29:5 66:24	range 23:7	77:24 78:3 79:5	76:14 126:2
59:7 84:17 87:5	84:6 87:16	54:13	80:13,18,24	164:3,5 165:22
published 74:5	92:10 107:18		85:3,22 87:9	166:4
82:14 84:11	114:13 122:12	rates 99:11	88:1,19 94:11	recommend
93:20	147:20 149:22,	RCWS 39:1	95:18 96:6,21	45:21 55:13
publishing	25 150:14,18	re-create 90:17	99:9,12,13	74:23 84:1
162:23	159:11 176:8,11	reach 135:15	101:13 104:20	recommendatio
pull 56:6	180:15 181:20	reached 61:5	105:3,7 106:22	n 58:3 68:12
pulling 122:4	quick 47:5 52:10	65:21 77:11	111:25 112:10	recommendatio
1 2	150:13 159:13	135:21 137:9	113:19 119:6,10	ns 58:4
purpose 26:2 65:23 92:18	180:17	139:23 140:16	121:18 123:4	recommended
	quicker 64:24	read 20:12 22:3,	124:22 125:22,	28:16,17 45:25
purposes 178:20	quickly 133:14	18 66:18,20	24 126:7 130:21	47:3 65:23
push 63:11,12	quote 170:23	83:7 113:3,8,16	134:17 135:24	71:14 74:8
put 8:18 50:24	171:7 172:15	155:17 156:22	136:8 139:13,21	recommending
63:21 79:15	171.7 172.13	157:6 170:21	142:13 145:2	46:13 55:14
121:7 123:14		172:1	146:11,17 152:2	record 5:23 6:5,
124:11 145:8	quote-unquote 14:16	reading 173:23	158:2 164:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
putting 22:12		ready 126:25	168:24	18 19:7,15 48:3
puzzle 46:16,19	quoted 50:7	128:13 129:14	receipt 14:23	52:17 87:11
	quotes 170:22	133:12	receive 133:13	112:1 156:11,
Q	quoting 171:2	Real 52:10	received 7:21	14,15 158:11
———		realtime 82:19	12:3,7 14:16,21	159:12,14
qualified 44:22	R		15:1,4,18 19:20	181:22
quarter 45:11		reason 6:25	66:14 163:5	recorded 8:4
question 6:10,	race 32:14 57:23	65:24 75:7	168:12	50:18
12,14,16,17,24	58:10 85:24	77:20 104:13	receiving 13:8	records 16:6,22
7:1,7 9:12,17,22	86:1 98:12,14	136:2	15:6 101:13	17:11,17 19:11
16:4 17:23	100:16 143:18	reasons 19:6	134:25	138:13 162:9
18:10 19:6	162:5,14 164:4	76:17	recent 56:5	recruit 43:5
68:25 70:16	races 56:4,24	recall 10:7	100:4	redirect 176:11
81:9 108:5	58:9	13:23,24 14:21		redistrict 43:25
110:3,13,18	racial 56:25	15:1,3,17 17:7	recess 52:18	redistricting
111:13 112:3,4	79:15,19 97:5	18:21 21:12,24	87:12 147:16	7:12,22 12:21,
111.13 112.3, 1				,,
	I	I	I	1

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: r..r

			1	1
23 13:16,20	reflective 39:2	remember 9:3	reminded 81:11	111:14
14:7,11,17,18,	reflects 173:19	10:8 14:4 15:8,	reminding	represented
22 15:1,6,19,20,	refrain 73:11	9,10,13 19:10,	165:24 166:5,16	96:25 110:10
23,24 16:2,11,	refusing 170:25	11 44:9,15,16	remove 41:16	representing
15,20,22 17:3,	173:13	45:9 48:2,8	removed 39:22	5:18 81:24,25
22 18:1,3,17	regard 55:25	49:18 50:8	repeat 17:23	represents
19:2 21:11,15	56:1 92:6	53:21,24 58:6,7	86:16 136:15	174:15
22:6 32:8,18,19	region 29:16	60:7,17 62:2	174:4	republican
36:24 41:17	37:1,9 48:24	64:4 66:17 71:7,	repeated 58:24	58:13 62:18
43:1 46:16	91:1,14,22	23 72:11 73:3,	107:9 125:17	75:17 78:19
55:21 61:12	92:11,14,18,23	14 76:8,11,15	rephrase 81:9	104:2 120:16
62:23 63:9	95:10 96:7	77:9,10 78:8,9,	109:22 114:25	121:9 124:5
66:19 84:8,10	regular 39:1	11,15 79:10	174:7	128:10 164:25
86:6,25 87:8	62:4 107:17	80:5,11,12,16,		republicans
108:12 110:1	regularly 41:24	21 81:6,14	replica 178:22	103:20 120:23
113:1 136:11,	42:14 109:5	82:15,18 85:19,	report 39:19	121:14,16
20,24 138:22	110:4	24 86:1 89:4	51:10 60:2	164:15,20
145:21 165:21,		90:18 91:2,7	71:19 74:5	request 17:8,15,
25 170:12 171:3	regulations 33:19 38:16	95:2,3,18,25	80:12,20,25	16 52:1 118:16
172:5 177:6		96:5,17,19,23	81:5,6,7 82:19, 20 83:9 92:3	135:9 180:13
178:12	reiterated	97:1 99:20,25	107:3 111:2	requested 67:18
redundant	125:16 166:8	100:20,21		117:25 135:2
164:10	relate 151:22	101:22 102:5,	145:21,22,23 146:2,4,10,20	157:4 160:8
reestablish	related 12:2	14,19 103:16	146:2,4,10,20	requesting
104:11 117:3	16:11,22 17:21,	106:2,5 114:3	178:6,8,9,23	67:22 118:18
refer 89:17	22 18:2 19:1	116:15 117:10		
113:5 144:1	21:24 40:21	119:16 122:7	report/page	requests 16:6,22
177:2	66:18 85:13	123:12,13,22	146:9	19:11
reference 88:20	98:4	132:20 134:25	reported 13:2	require 40:6
89:4 90:14 91:2,	relating 16:2,15	135:1,11 136:4	41:22 92:3	165:16
7 93:5,6 95:2,3	relationship	138:3,12 139:6	reporter 6:18	required 79:6
referred 90:19	117:4 167:8	140:5 142:5,16	8:18	173:12
152:19,21,25	release 74:2	143:13 144:23,	reports 19:18	requirements
referring 24:22	released 59:7	25 145:1,13	60:7,9 179:3	18:16 79:14,25
44:8 46:11	84:17	148:7,8 150:20	represent	80:1 89:21
56:23 72:4 90:3	relief 160:8	152:22 153:3	150:12 167:1	107:25 165:4
95:8,9 141:21	161:9,10	164:2,22 166:4,	178:11	requiring 172:7
142:2 143:8	relinquishing	10 168:18,22	representation	reservation 34:6
148:6	132:22	remembered	37:6,23 111:22	152:12,15 153:6
reflected 173:10	remaining 149:2	43:15	representative	154:21,22
reflecting	171:23	remind 81:1	28:10 65:15	173:7,8
115:24		166:1,13	representatives	reserved 181:23

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: r..s

reshare 156:17 126:4 98:4 106:20,24 150:4,11 156:18 Seattle 5:1 20:19 residents 22:20 rested 69:20 140:17 146:8,18 159:9,18 180:21 21:8 22:3 29:19, 20:83:7 170:16 75:10 restorative 35:18,19 140:17 146:8,18 sarah. 20:83:7 170:16 secondary 36:20 75:10 resignation 22:5 77:13,14,16 176:5 153:10,19 save 64:10 secret 160:7,19 76:gingned 24:17 resigned 24:17 residited 74:22 retainer 112:6 resigning 24:19 retainer 112:6 retaining 17:21 158:17 save 64:10 save 99:2,3 section 145:20 resigning 24:19 resioution 13:9, 17 27:6 al.19, 21:32:5 125:18 retaining 17:21 158:17 save 64:10 save 99:2,3 section 145:20 resolved 56:22 resize 112:5 19:17:310 resize 12:19 resize 12:11 resize 12:11 resize 12:11 resize 12:11 respect 16:13 respect 16:13 respect 16:13 respect 16:13 respect 16:13 respect 16:13 reviewing 134:9 reviewing 134:9 reviewing 134:9 revisit 114:12 revisit 114:12 responsibility				1	1
58:25 95:5 rested 69:20 140:17 146:8,18 sarah. 20 83:7 170:16 resign 23:15 35:18,19 result 161:22 144:2: 150:23 sarah. 20 83:7 170:16 secondary 36:20 resignation 22:5 25:8 26:21 171:13,14,16 176:5 153:10,19 sau 102:6 secret 160:7,19 resignad 24:17 resignad 24:17 resignad 24:17 resignal 8:16 19:10 107:20 Road 5:25 save 64:10 save 64:10 secretary 85:24 resigning 24:19 retain 18:16 19:10 107:20 retainer 112:6 retaining 17:21 158:17 save 64:10 section 149:4 section 149:40 resigning 24:19 retainer 112:6 retaining 17:21 158:17 saving 64:6 scale 107:11 section 149:20 resigning 24:19 retainer 112:6 retaining 17:21 18:2 19:173:10 retainer 112:6 retainer 12:6 resignation 22:5 sace 11:2 secale 107:11 secketion 14:3 secketion 14:3 <	reshare 156:17	126:4	,	/	Seattle 5:1 20:19
144:25 167:1	residents 22:20	restate 133:21		159:9,18 180:21	·
resign 23:15 25:1,10 resignation 22:5 171:13,14,16 176:22 171:13,14,16 176:23 176:31:19, 170:12 resigning 24:19 resolution 13:9, 17 27:6 31:19, 21 34:24 35:3,5, 9,20,22,25 40:9, 11,12 resoluted 56:22 103:1,4 resources 35:17 98:17 137:9 respect 169:3 175:17 175:17 175:17 175:17 175:17 175:17 175:17 175:17 175:17 175:10 175:18 18:2 134:21 175:14 18:2 134:21 175:15 134:21 147:11 175:20 175:16 3:19, 20:7,10 21:6,7 175:17 1	58:25 95:5	rested 69:20		sarah.	20 83:7 170:16
Tesign 23:15 25:1,10 result 161:22 17i:13,14,16 17i:25:8 26:21 17i:55 17i:13,14,16 17i:25:8 17i:13,14,16 17i:25:8 17i:13,14,16 17i:25:8 17i:13,14,16 17i:14 1	144:25 167:1	restorative		washington 14:3	secondary 36:20
resignation 22:5 25:8 26:21 171:13,14,16 176:5 1	resign 23:15		165:11	sat 102:6	secret 160:7,19
resignation 22:5 171:13,14,16 River 152:17 140:7,8,9 secretary 85:24 25:8 26:21 resulted 74:22 153:10,19 save 64:10 103:4 104:5,6 resigning 24:19 retain 18:16 155:2,5 157:1 158:17 saved 99:2,3 saved 99:2,3 saved 99:2,3 saved 99:2,3 saved 99:2,3 saved 99:2,3 saved 99:2,3 saving 64:6 section 145:20 149:4 section 145:20 172:1,25 secking 109:1,3 172:1,25 secking 109:1,3 21:14:14 13:16 saving 64:6 secking 109:1,3 21:14:14 13:16 13:25:12 158:17 Road 5:25 role 20:2,11,41 33:1 role 39:25 41:7 sechuled 11:13 117:14 sechuled 11:13 118:20:129:21 167:23 school 29:22,2.25 55:14,15,17 167:23 55:14,15,17 17:15 sechuled 11:31 18:20:129:21 167:23 55:14,15,17 167:23 17:15	25:1,10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rigid 117:2	Saturday 94:5	161:11,13
25:8 26:21 176:5 resigned 24:17 170:12 retain 18:16 155:2,5 157:1 158:17 retaining 24:19 retaining 17:21 21 34:24 35:3,5, 9,20,22,25 40:9, 91.1,12 resources 35:17 resources 35:17 798:17 137:9 respect 169:3 13:21 147:11 reviewed 12:2 20:7,10 21:6,7 respectful 168:23 169:1,2 responsed 6:6, 21 57:17 163:2, 6 reviewed 82:13,14 response 6:19 responsibilities responsibilities 16:12.1	resignation 22:5		River 152:17	140:7,8,9	secretary 85:24
170:12	25:8 26:21		153:10,19	save 64:10	103:4 104:5,6
170:12	resigned 24:17	resulted 74:22	155:2,5 157:1	saved 99:2.3	149:4
resigning 24:19 19:10 107:20 Road 5:25 scale 107:11 scale 107:11 recale 107:11 scale 107:11	~		158:17	,	section 145:20
resolution 13:9, 17 27:6 31:19, 21 34:24 35:3.5, 9.20,22,25 40:9, 11,12 retaining 17:21 18:2 19:1 73:10 28:1,14 31:16 38:1 scanned 139:2 scenarios seeking 109:13, 21 149:14,18 selected 26:21,14,13,16 16:21 16:23 13:25 125:18 116:16:117:6 116:16:117:6 116:16:117:6 116:16:117:6 116:24,25 35:14,15,17 school 29:22,25 35:14,15,17 school 29:22,25 35:14,15,17 school 29:22,25 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 school 35:17 school 35:17 school 35:17 school 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 35:14,15,17 <td>resigning 24:19</td> <td></td> <td>Road 5:25</td> <td></td> <td>172:1,25</td>	resigning 24:19		Road 5:25		172:1,25
17 27:6 31:19,			role 20:18 22:12		seeking 109:13,
21 34:24 35:3,5, 18:2 19:1 73:10 28:1,14 31:16 38:1 17:14 scheduled 11:13 16:21 senate 15:13 18:20 129:21 167:23 school 29:22,25 35:14,15,17 schools 35:17 seree 169:3 20:7,10 21:6,7 respectful 168:23 169:1,2 respectful 168:23 169:1,2 responded 63:4 21 57:17 163:2, 6 52:10 133:14 responses 6:19 revisit 114:12 responsibilities 155:14,20 reponsibilities 115:14,20 16:20,21 66:1,3, 116:28,9,11 responsible 23:22 7:11 72:9 79:3, responsive 23:22 responsive 23:22 rest 57:3 83:7 rest 57:3 83:7 rest 57:3 83:7 responsive 23:22 responsive 23:23 responsive 23:24 r	·		26:5,7 27:10,11		
38:1 review 8:6 11:23 59:15 65:20 113:25 125:18 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 130:13 132:11 16:16 117:6 121:18 125:25 35:14,15,17 schools 35:17 schools	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		28:1,14 31:16		selected 26:11,
Til. Tesolved 56:22 113:25 125:18 116:16 117:6 17:15 17:17 17:17 168:23 169:1.2 168:24 177:5 177:5 132:11,18 177:5 132:11,18 177:5 179:1.3 179	1		38:1		· ·
resolved 56:22 113:25 125:18 room 98:23 167:23 46:7,8 47:19 103:1,4 130:13 132:11 134:21 147:11 resources 35:17 34:21 147:11 121:18 125:25 35:14,15,17 school 29:22,25 35:14,15,17 83:8,14 112:24 113:9 120:8 schools 35:17 schools 35:14 13:9 120:8 schools 35:17 schools 35:13			roles 39:25 41:7		senate 15:13
103:1,4	resolved 56:22		room 98:23		46:7,8 47:19
resources 35:17 134:21 147:11 121:18 125:25 35:14,15,17 83:8,14 112:24 98:17 137:9 reviewed 12:2 20:7,10 21:6,7 rooms 117:15 schools 35:17 83:8,14 112:24 respect 169:3 175:17 42:10 134:1,25 rooms 117:15 schools 35:17 senator 33:13 senator 33:13 respectful 168:23 169:1,2 159:20 reviewing 134:9 116:24,25 122:21 150:5 129:14 130:4,8, 19:12:14 130:4,8, 19:132:7 responded 63:4 revised 82:13,14 revised 82:13,14 revised 82:13,14 rules 6:4 177:5 sending 129:12 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 134:15 135:1 132:11,18 134:15 135:1 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 134:15 135:1 134:15 135:1 134:15 135:1 132:11,18 134:15 135:1 134:15 135:1 134:15 135:1 134:15 135:1 134:15 135:1 134:15 135:1 134:15 135:1 13	103:1,4		116:16 117:6		51:12 66:10
98:17 137:9 reviewed 12:2 131:15 schools 35:17 senator 33:13 175:17 42:10 134:1,25 rooms 117:15 schools 35:17 senator 33:13 respectful 159:20 62:9,11,13,16 12:2:21 150:5 129:14 130:4,8, 19 132:7 responded 63:4 145:14 reviewing 134:9 row 97:20 153:21,24 156:17 170:7 sending 129:12 response 16:6, 21 57:17 163:2, 6 157:24 158:12 159:1 163:8 runner 76:24 running 77:22 running 77:22 145:16 154:10 senior 40:4,15 senior 40:4,15 66:9 responses 6:19 revisit 114:12 12:0:3 runal 64:17 responsibility 15 32:12 33:14 search 16:19,21 30:17 33:17 34:2 36:16 48:5 57:9 89:14,23, 24 95:12 133:3 115:14,20 65:20,21 66:1,3, 11, 29,14 safe 91:20 143:8,20,21,22 search 16:19,21 17:6 71:10 searchable 50:25 searchable 50:25 searching 17:11 search 16:5 searching 17:11 search 49:4, 6,9 12:13 separate 45:24 70:19,21 75:16 154:22 responsible 23:22 71:11 72:9 79:3, 7,23 80:3,5,8, 23:22 72:3 80:3,5,8, 10,14,15,17,19 24 10:2 51:25 52:11 64:10,	resources 35:17		121:18 125:25	1	83:8,14 112:24
respect 169:3 20:7,10 21:6,7 rooms 117:15 screen 64:18 senator 33:13 175:17 42:10 134:1,25 round 59:14 62:9,11,13,16 73:23 101:3 send 16:1 27:25 168:23 169:1,2 reviewing 134:9 145:14 row 97:20 153:21,24 122:21 150:5 129:14 130:4,8, response 16:6, revised 82:13,14 rules 6:4 156:17 170:7 sending 129:12 157:24 158:12 runner 76:24 running 77:22 145:16 154:10 132:11,18 responses 6:19 revisit 114:12 120:3 rural 64:17 155:16 170:21 senior 40:4,15 responsibilities rid 160:9 rural 64:17 172:1,23 178:7 sense 6:22 7:17 responsibility 37:1,9 63:18 SA 145:20 search 16:19,21 34:2 36:16 48:5 116:2,8,9,11 66:71,5,8,11, safe 91:20 50:25 searchable 23:22 71:11 72:9 79:3, Sanction 33:18 search 16:5 search 16:5 responsive 7.23 80:3,5,8, Sarah 5:5,13,14, 24 10:2 51:25 seating 37:7,21 seating 37:7,21 rest	98:17 137:9		131:15		113:9 120:8
175:17 42:10 134:1,25 round 59:14 62:9,11,13,16 73:23 101:3 send 16:1 27:25 129:14 130:4,8, 19 132:7 respectful 168:23 169:1,2 reviewing 134:9 reviewing 134:9 reviewing 134:9 116:24,25 153:21,24 129:14 130:4,8, 19 132:7 19 132:7 sending 129:12 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 132:11,18 134:15 135:1 senior 40:4,15 66:9 66:9 senior 40:4,15 66:9 90:17 30:17 33:17 34:2 36:16 48:5 57:9 89:14,23, 24 57:9 89:14,23, 24 57:9 89:14,23, 24 57:9 89:14,23, 24 57:9 89:14,23, 24 57:9 89:14,23, 24 141:13 143:24 57:9 89:14,23, 24 141:13 143:24 141:13 143:24 141:13 143:24 141:13 143:24 141:13 143:24 141:13 143:24 141:13 143:24	respect 169:3		rooms 117:15		senator 33:13
respectful 159:20 62:9,11,13,16 122:21 150:5 129:14 130:4,8, 19 132:7 responded 63:4 reviewing 134:9 row 97:20 153:21,24 153:21,24 19 132:7 response 16:6, 21 57:17 163:2, 6 revised 82:13,14 157:24 158:12 runner rules 6:4 running 77:25 runner 76:24 running scroll 113:21 senior 40:4,15 des responses 6:19 rid revisit 114:12 runner 76:24 running 77:22 runal 145:16 154:10 senior 40:4,15 des responsibilities rights 12:11,12, 12:11,12, 15 32:12 33:14 responsibility Salid Salid Salid 30:17 33:17 36:16 des 30:17 33:17 36:16 des </td <td> -</td> <td>1</td> <td>round 59:14</td> <td></td> <td>send 16:1 27:25</td>	-	1	round 59:14		send 16:1 27:25
168:23 169:1,2 reviewing 134:9 116:24,25 12:21 130.3 19 132:7 responded 63:4 revised 82:13,14 rules 6:4 177:5 sending 129:12 21 57:17 163:2, 6 157:24 158:12 159:1 163:8 runner 76:24 running 77:22 scroll 113:21 13:11 132:11,18 13:15 responses 6:19 8:3 rid 160:9 rural 64:17 rural 64:17 responsibilities responsibilities rights 12:11,12, 15 32:12 33:14 S responsibility 15:14,20 65:20,21 66:1,3, 116:2,8,9,11 SA 145:20 safe 91:20 safe 91:20 143:8,20,21,22 sanction 33:18 sanction 33:18 searchable 50:25 searches 16:5 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 separate 45:24 70:19,21 75:16 154:22 responsibe 13,21,24 69:3 7,23 80:3,5,8 10,14, 23:22 10,14,15,17,19 20:1 Sarah 5:5,13,14, 24 10:2 51:25 52:11 64:10,22 51:25 52:11 64:10,222 51:25 52:11 64:10,222 51:25 52:11 64:10,22 51:25 52:11 64:10,222 51:25 52:11 64:10,222 51:25 52:11 64:10,2	respectful	1	62:9,11,13,16		129:14 130:4,8,
responded 63:4 145:14 row 97:20 153:21,24 156:17 170:7 156:17 170:7 sending 129:12 response 16:6, 21 57:17 163:2, 6 157:24 158:12 157:24 158:12 runner 76:24 runner 76:24 running 77:22 145:16 154:10 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 172:1,23 178:7 172:1,23 178:1,23 172:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,23 173:1,2	_		116:24,25		19 132:7
response 16:6, 21 57:17 163:2, 6 revised 82:13,14 157:24 158:12 159:1 163:8 rules 6:4 running 77:22 145:16 154:10 177:5 seriol 113:21 14:15 135:1 132:11,18 134:15 135:1 responses 6:19 responses 6:19 8:3 rid 160:9 rights 12:11,12, 167:21 responsibility 115:14,20 115:14,20 116:2,8,9,11 responsible 23:22 71:11 72:9 79:3, 723 80:3,5,8, 23:22 rest 57:3 83:7 revised 82:13,14 responsible 23:22 10,14,15,17,19 82:322 rest 57:3 83:7 Sack 145:20 search 16:19,21 17:6 71:10 searchable 50:25 searches 16:5 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 separate 45:24 70:19,21 75:16 154:22 responsive 23:22 rest 57:3 83:7 Revised 82:13,14 157:24 158:12 runner 76:24 running 77:22 145:16 154:10 145:16 154:10 155:16 170:21 155:16 170:21 17:17:21,23 178:7 seamless 160:13 search 16:19,21 17:6 71:10 searchable 50:25 searchable 50:25 searches 16:5 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 separate 45:24 70:19,21 75:16 154:22 rest 57:3 83:7	<u> </u>		row 97:20	1	sending 129:12
21 57:17 163:2, 6 157:24 158:12 159:1 163:8 runner 76:24 running 77:22 scroll 113:21 145:16 154:10 155:16 170:21 145:16 154:10 155:16 170:21 172:1,23 178:7 senior 40:4,15 66:9 172:1,23 178:7 responsibilities responsibility 15:14,20 16:2,8,9,11 responsible 23:22 rest 57:3 83:7 13,21,24 69:3 71:17 72:9 79:3, 723 80:3,5,8, 10,14,15,17,19 23:22 rest 57:3 83:7 Sarah 5:5,13,14, 24 10:2 51:25 104:3 search 16:19,21 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 search 16:5 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 seating 37:7,21	_	revised 82:13.14	rules 6:4		132:11,18
6 159:1 163:8 running 77:22 145:16 154:10 senior 40:4,15 responses 6:19 revisit 114:12 runal 64:17 155:16 170:21 sense 6:22 7:17 responsibilities rights 12:11,12, 15 32:12 33:14 S search 16:19,21 17:6 71:10 searchable 50:25 57:9 89:14,23, 24 95:12 133:3 141:13 143:24 responsible 23:22 13,21,24 69:3 71:17 72:9 79:3, 723 80:3,5,8, 10,14,15,17,19 Sanction 33:18 Sarah 5:5,13,14, 24 10:2 51:25 52:11 64:10,22 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 separate 45:24 70:19,21 75:16 154:22 rest 57:3 83:7 82:9 83:3,22 83:		1	runner 76:24		134:15 135:1
responses 6:19 revisit 114:12 120:3 155:16 170:21 66:9 responsibilities rights 12:11,12, 15 32:12 33:14 rural 64:17 seamless 160:13 30:17 33:17 30:17 33:17 30:17 33:17 34:2 36:16 48:5 57:9 89:14,23, 24 95:12 133:3 57:9 89:14,23, 24 95:12 133:3 57:9 89:14,23, 24 95:12 133:3 57:9 89:14,23, 24 95:12 133:3 57:9 89:14,23, 24 95:12 133:3 50:25 58 archable 50:25 58 arches 16:5 58 arches 16:5 58 arches 16:5 58 arches 16:5 58 arching 17:11 58 ar	, and the second				senior 40:4,15
8:3 rid 160:9 rural 64:17 172:1,23 178:7 sense 6:22 7:17 responsibilities rights 12:11,12, S seamless 160:13 30:17 33:17 responsibility 37:1,9 63:18 SA 145:20 search 16:19,21 34:2 36:16 48:5 57:9 89:14,23, 115:14,20 65:20,21 66:1,3, SA 145:20 searchable 50:25 24 95:12 133:3 responsible 13,21,24 69:3 143:8,20,21,22 searches 16:5 searches 16:5 23:22 71:11 72:9 79:3, Sarah 5:5,13,14, seat 58:13,14 6,9 121:13 rest 57:3 83:7 82:9 83:3,22 52:11 64:10,22 seating 37:7,21 154:22	responses 6:19				66:9
responsibilities rights 12:11,12, 15 32:12 33:14 seamless 160:13 34:2 36:16 48:5 responsibility 37:1,9 63:18 65:20,21 66:1,3, 16:2,8,9,11 SA 145:20 safe 91:20 143:8,20,21,22 sanction 33:18 search 16:19,21 17:6 71:10 searchable 50:25 searchable 50:25 searches 16:5 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 10,14,15,17,19 82:9 83:3,22 rest 57:3 83:7 SA 145:20 searchable 50:25 searches 16:5 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 seat 58:13,14 104:3 seating 37:7,21 seating 37:7,21			rural 64:17		sense 6:22 7:17
167:21 15 32:12 33:14 S search 16:19,21 34:2 36:16 48:5 responsibility 37:1,9 63:18 SA 145:20 search 16:19,21 57:9 89:14,23, 24 95:12 133:3 115:14,20 65:20,21 66:1,3, 16:2,8,9,11 SA 145:20 searchable 50:25 24 95:12 133:3 141:13 143:24 responsible 23:22 13,21,24 69:3 71:11 72:9 79:3, 7,23 80:3,5,8, 10,14,15,17,19 Sarah 5:5,13,14, 24 10:2 51:25 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 separate 45:24 70:19,21 75:16 154:22 rest 57:3 83:7 82:9 83:3,22 82:9 83:3,22 82:11 64:10,22 seating 37:7,21 52:11 64:10,22 seating 37:7,21 seating 37:7,21 154:22	responsibilities			· ·	30:17 33:17
responsibility 37:1,9 63:18 SA 145:20 searchable 57:9 89:14,23, 24 95:12 133:3 115:14,20 65:20,21 66:1,3, 65:20,21 66:1,3, 14:13:24 safe 91:20 50:25 141:13 143:24 responsible 13,21,24 69:3 143:8,20,21,22 searches 16:5 searching 17:11 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 10:2 51:25 seating 37:7,21 6,9 121:13 separate 45:24 70:19,21 75:16 154:22 rest 57:3 83:7 82:9 83:3,22 seating 37:7,21 57:9 89:14,23, 24 95:12 133:3 14:13:14; 24 95:12 133:14; 24 95:12 133:14; 24 95:12 133:14; 24 95:12 133:14; 24 95:12 133:14; 24 95:12 133:14; 24	-	, ,			34:2 36:16 48:5
115:14,20 65:20,21 66:1,3, 116:2,8,9,11 SA 145:20 safe 91:20 24 95:12 133:3 141:13 143:24 sentiment 49:4, 6,9 121:13 responsible 23:22 71:11 72:9 79:3, 7,23 80:3,5,8, 10,14,15,17,19 Sarah 5:5,13,14, 24 10:2 51:25 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 searchable 50:25 searches 16:5 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 rest 57:3 83:7 82:9 83:3,22 searches 16:5 searching 17:11 seat 58:13,14 104:3 searching 37:7,21 seating 37:7,21	responsibility			1	57:9 89:14,23,
116:2,8,9,11 6 67:1,5,8,11, safe 91:20 50:25 141:13 143:24 responsible 13,21,24 69:3 143:8,20,21,22 searches 16:5 sentiment 49:4, 23:22 71:11 72:9 79:3, Sarah 5:5,13,14, searching 17:11 searching 17:11 responsive 10,14,15,17,19 24 10:2 51:25 104:3 rest 57:3 83:7 rest 57:3 83:7 82:9 83:3,22 52:11 64:10,22 seating 37:7,21 154:22		·	SA 145:20		
responsible 13,21,24 69:3 143:8,20,21,22 searches 16:5 searching 17:11 23:22 723 80:3,5,8, 7,23 80:3,5,8, Sarah 5:5,13,14, searching 17:11 separate 45:24 70:19,21 75:16 154:22 154:22 154:22 154:22 154:22	'		safe 91:20		141:13 143:24
23:22 71:11 72:9 79:3, 7,23 80:3,5,8, 10,14,15,17,19 82:9 83:3,22 82:21 64:10,22 82:9 83:3,22 82:21 64:10,22 82:9 83:3,22 82:9 83:9 83:9 82:9 83:9 82:9 83:9 82:9 83:9 82:9 82:9 82:9 82:9 82:9 82:9 82:9 82			143:8,20,21,22		sentiment 49:4,
responsive 7,23 80:3,5,8, Sarah 5:5,13,14, seat 58:13,14 separate 45:24 23:22 10,14,15,17,19 24 10:2 51:25 104:3 70:19,21 75:16 rest 57:3 83:7 82:9 83:3,22 52:11 64:10,22 seating 37:7,21 154:22	_		sanction 33:18		6,9 121:13
23:22		7,23 80:3,5,8,	Sarah 5:5,13,14,		separate 45:24
rest 57:3 83:7 82:9 83:3,22 52:11 64:10,22 seating 37:7,21 154:22	_	10,14,15,17,19			70:19,21 75:16
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		82:9 83:3,22			154:22
		84:3 87:17 89:1,		seating 3/:/,21	sequences 98:15
5,17,18,22 95:1	110.10 11 1.12	5,17,18,22 95:1			

Index: s..s

series 89:10	176:3	simultaneous	91:4,6 94:16	164:21 166:4
	shift 163:16	161:1	111:16 165:16	168:19
serve 26:11,17 34:25 41:14		single 114:22	sorting 99:25	specifics 166:8
served 27:5	shocked 125:8	153:15 154:16	Soto 5:19 154:6	speculate
	short 61:8	158:19 159:5		136:22
server 147:11	shorthand 90:2	161:2 166:9	sounds 39:13 173:23 181:20	
serves 35:20,21,	show 22:19	sit 49:19 98:22		speculation 137:6
23	153:22 154:10,	site 158:2	southwest 155:22	spend 91:13
service 118:4,5	23 155:8 157:21	sitting 126:3		108:21
176:8	shown 65:4	situated 153:9	space 38:7	
serving 18:13	side 127:12,15		117:12,13,17	spent 44:5 91:17
session 72:5	133:2 143:25	situation 106:22	speak 47:14	spirit 172:9
99:2 109:7	sign 8:8	120:21	65:12 73:15 98:16 108:11	split 62:10,16
set 43:9 70:20	signature	sixth 118:11	166:19,20,21	152:9
99:15 181:10	181:23	size 54:1	168:10 180:9	splitting 173:7
setting 38:7,23	significant	Skamania	speaker 6:7 61:3	spoke 15:16
settled 34:10	91:13	176:20 177:23,	_	96:18 110:4
161:14	silence 81:3,4,11	25	speaking 76:2	spoken 21:14,
settlers 34:16,17	silly 160:11,20	slate 62:7 71:2,		16,18
severe 161:10	161:6	14,16,18 72:24	specific 10:8 13:20 19:11,21	sponsored 33:13
shaking 6:21	similar 14:23	76:9,12 78:6	28:11 32:22,25	spreadsheet
shape 178:14,15	179:2,4	sleep 96:20	45:17 56:24	50:20,25
shapes 179:2	simply 9:17	133:10	61:14,16 63:15	spreadsheets
share 55:5 64:18	23:15,21 72:12	slightly 125:24	65:24 72:3,18	48:23
67:16 71:19	73:12 114:10	140:4 181:4	74:23 75:2,6,12,	spring 10:9,10,
73:23 101:2,3	123:25 175:20	small 34:19	22 76:7,17 78:5	11
110:15 112:15,	Sims 76:2 80:23	113:24	80:11 85:8	squeezed 46:24
19 122:8,21	84:9,11,22	social 23:16	102:20 107:4	staff 7:16 13:2,6
124:9 133:6	86:21 88:9,13,	36:14 50:22	109:21 137:20	15:11,12 19:19
137:21 153:21	23 90:25 91:9,	societal 30:22	141:1,9 142:8	26:8 27:19 28:4,
156:6,8 170:1,7	25 92:2,24 94:17,21 101:9,	sociology 30:6,	148:6 152:23	24 33:14 36:2,3
177:5	94:17,21 101:9, 15,19 104:7,12,	12,24	specifically	38:10 40:24
shared 49:3	14,16 116:19	software 43:24	13:24 19:10	41:14,15,20,22,
51:1,3 55:9	117:5 120:1	44:1,4,8,17,18	21:12 26:17	23 42:1,4,14,15, 18,21 44:22,24
60:16,19 67:16	121:13,15,19	53:11 55:1	32:16 38:2 56:9	45:1,12 47:11
75:24,25 76:19 82:12 110:8,16	122:5 123:3,19	solely 123:20	59:22 60:8	48:11,20,22
111:1 168:4	124:10,17,24	solicited 59:4	65:14 67:6	50:18 51:2,5,9,
178:24	139:3 140:15	solve 35:6	69:15 72:13	10,12 52:23,24
sharing 94:15	143:14 157:25	sort 30:22 40:6	78:11 81:6	53:2,8 54:6
99:4 128:12,17	161:24 180:10	43:19 45:10	85:11 95:9 96:3	55:7,10,12
150:5 169:24	Sims' 158:12	56:23 57:9,16	99:14 113:10,19 126:22 149:12	57:11,15 58:5
100.0 109.21		79:11 83:22	120.22 149.12	

Index: s..t

50 15 05 c0 0	T = 1 T = 0 10		1	1040
59:15,25 60:2,	56:4 58:9,10	stressful 168:2	succinct 169:21	swallow 104:2
18 61:9 68:7,8,	60:22 65:11	stricken 146:21	sued 37:4	Swan 5:25
15 69:2,6,12,16	85:24 87:3	strike 17:9,24	sufficiently	switched 120:12
70:1,7,9,10,20	94:14 95:6	25:12 28:8,16	107:24	switching 165:3
71:1,2,4 81:23	103:5 104:5,6	30:8 31:10	suggested 48:4	sworn/affirmed
83:19 87:7,20	129:7 144:24	34:23 36:23	173:1	5:6
97:16 98:18	149:5 150:12,13	39:15 40:2	suit 18:22 173:6	synthesizing
99:6 102:3,5	151:15,19	45:22 47:25	175:11,14	50:16
104:20 105:1,19	164:15 165:12	61:23 68:25	summarize	system 40:5,20
106:8,9,18,23	171:4 175:2,4	82:25 83:13,25	110:2	systems 30:14,
107:3,8 108:4	177:6,8 181:9	88:12 126:6	summary 80:4	15,20,21 31:5
117:13 118:6,13	State's 170:25	127:4 135:12	166:10	35:9 38:8 43:10
119:4,6,8,18	172:11	146:7 148:15	summer 74:1	33.7 30.0 43.10
123:15 125:4	stated 57:1,7	152:4 159:10	Sunday 27:24	
127:11,15	81:12 87:5	164:18 165:6,24		T
128:12 129:12,	163:11,14	168:21 174:20	supervise 41:12	table 137:1,2,14
13,23,24 130:3,	statement 22:18	striving 80:17	supervised	tacet 49:19
4,7,8,12,20	93:22 162:22	142:25	41:13 91:25	
133:2,9,11,23	statements 57:2,	strongly 107:20	supervisor 41:7,	tail 85:1
134:2,6,9 146:3	4 86:11	116:24	15,25 42:2	takes 154:20
167:25 168:15	states 33:19	structure 36:13,	supervisory	172:10
staffs 93:13	36:11 172:12	18 48:1	39:8	taking 7:15
stage 44:15	statewide 35:22	struggle 19:9	supplied 108:4	51:22 90:4
103:1 122:2	40:12	stuck 26:7	supply 106:15	139:21 144:10
148:8	status 40:14	studied 30:12	107:5 118:8	talk 74:7 77:10
stale 123:3	statute 165:20	31:3	support 118:5	102:17,18
stand 38:5	166:8	study 179:3	suppose 156:7	105:13 112:13
stand-alone	stayed 117:17	style 46:12	supposed 119:5	117:12 138:8
146:9	stayed 117.17 step 131:23		Supreme 7:24	150:2 167:7
standards 40:11	_	subject 92:23	131:24 132:4,7,	168:12
standing 38:11	steps 19:15 82:8	submit 60:11	12,14,18,21	talked 77:21
66:12	125:5 132:21	submitted 59:13	133:4,16	112:15 124:21
stands 33:9	sticking 91:4,8,9	82:16 83:23	134:20,21	161:23
start 53:17 54:5	176:18	substance 86:22	135:14 145:18	talking 21:12
118:8 152:19	stoney 81:2,4,10	102:13 104:10	surmised 7:11	44:18 80:21
started 13:15	stop 73:23 150:5	109:18	surmising 29:1	81:7 86:19
17:19 59:19	stored 51:3	substantially	surprised 129:7	90:18 94:13,23,
120:2 123:14	strange 46:16	179:2	159:24	24 102:9 117:7
state 5:22 7:19	strategy 23:1	substantive		141:1 142:7,9,
22:10,15,20	strengthening	61:16	surrounding	20,22 143:5
23:10,13,20	37:24	successful 35:11	128:4	152:23
33:18 34:6 40:5		165:1	Sutherland	talks 79:13 80:7
33.10 34.0 40.3			65:9,10,22 79:2	

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: t..T

	1 4.6 72.20		120 15 20 21	1
target 145:25	testify 73:20	Thrift-viveros	138:15,20,24	timeline 9:15
task 38:24 39:6,	testifying 8:22	5:11,15 9:24	139:1 140:3	17:16 112:25
7,9,10	54:20	10:1,19 12:18	141:5,24 142:12	113:6 140:6
tasks 22:23	testimony 8:15	17:1,18 18:11,	144:21 145:6,11	times 11:5 13:1
133:10	43:6 63:11	23 19:13 24:16	146:14,25	15:24 17:5
team 46:7,9	110:23	25:7,18 28:22	147:13,19	20:19 21:8 22:3
75:12,15 78:21,	tests 58:1	32:17 33:4	148:1,13 149:3,	39:3 51:12
23 97:11 120:8,	text 15:9 16:1,	34:21 36:9	11,21 150:3,6,	57:15 83:7 94:2
9,16 121:9	10,15 122:5,7	37:17 41:11	19 156:5,10	97:9 106:10
124:5,6 128:10,	123:1,7 124:9,	46:3 49:14	176:10,15,24	109:7 110:5
11 134:2,9,13	17,22 134:25	51:17,20 52:4,7,	178:5,19 179:12	112:15 119:24
168:6	texted 122:6	15,21 57:18 59:11 60:10	180:1,7,14	137:10 168:2
teams 120:4,7	123:1,3 135:7	61:20 63:23	thumb 107:10	170:16
telephone 38:9	thankful 112:8	64:3,7,13,20	Thursday 5:1	tiny 34:16
50:23	theories 31:2	65:3 66:22 68:6,	27:20 136:6,7,9,	title 15:13 154:8
telling 119:18	thing 44:12	24 69:23 70:11,	14,18	155:17 156:22
ten 43:25 44:1	55:25 69:19	18 71:8 72:16	time 6:7 11:17	157:7 158:3
47:2 56:6 63:2,4	100:2 113:25	73:8 74:16 75:4,	13:10 15:11	titled 145:21
97:25	117:25 118:2	14 80:6 81:19	18:1 21:22	170:11
ten-minute	128:2 136:15	82:6,23 83:11	22:25 23:15	to-be-published
51:22	142:7 160:22	85:18 86:2	24:3 26:20,24	146:10
tend 164:14,19	168:5	87:10,15,25	27:1,9,23,24	today 8:15 11:12
tension 174:18	things 19:23,24	88:5,22 89:19	28:13 33:9 43:7,	20:20 21:4
175:21,25	22:16 31:6	90:23 91:19	10 44:2,5 46:20	161:25 164:1,2
181:6,14	35:16 47:10	92:9 93:4,10	47:2,14 56:7	told 24:9 84:7
tensions 40:21	50:13 55:15	96:2 97:2,8	57:16 60:18	85:3 126:7
tenure 36:2 62:1	79:22 94:6	100:7,14 101:1,	74:6,11 75:3 76:1,15 77:23	136:12
term 96:1,3	102:9 117:21,23	6 103:7 105:17	84:23 85:2,6	tool 98:25
l '	118:10 137:10	106:17 107:23	87:11 91:13,17	tools 26:4 35:5
terms 59:20	161:18 163:8	108:10,24	93:19 94:4	160:4,5
99:1 115:6 167:18 171:23	165:11 168:1	109:17,22,23	96:22 102:12	top 43:16 48:25
	169:23	111:12,20,24	104:14 111:1	50:8 145:14
terrible 104:2	thinking 30:25	112:12,16,23	117:25 118:1,	170:12 172:24
territories 34:12	31:4 48:20	113:13 114:11	18,23,25 119:25	178:7
153:1,2 173:12	118:9	115:13,19 116:3	120:2,12,15,17	topic 30:9 51:9
territory	thought 73:19	122:10,14,17,	120:2,12,13,17	78:16 88:11
152:17,18,19,21	thoughts 67:13	20,25 124:8,13,	123:10,24 124:5	89:6,8
153:5,18	thousand 54:17	16 125:19,21	126:23 131:14	topics 101:25
tertiary 36:20	threatened	126:12,21	139:18 144:10	176:11
testified 5:7	149:9	128:22 130:6,25	149:22,25 162:3	Toppenish
149:5	three-day 90:1	134:11,18	168:4 169:9,21,	154:20 179:13
	94:2	135:8,20 137:24	25	
	, , , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
	I	I	I	I

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: t..v

towns 154:21	117:4 176:20	understand 6:24	31:3	videos 63:12,13
173:7	177:1,25 178:2	7:3 8:3,16,24	unveil 136:13,17	view 27:16,17
tracking 112:11	179:18 180:3	18:10 28:14	update 39:5	43:20 57:14
traction 59:20	trusted 163:10	48:25 50:11	_	82:21 100:1
trade 93:7	truth 5:6,7 8:20	69:25 79:24	updates 119:21, 24 123:14	127:14 160:10
	Tuesday 132:2	89:9 93:22		161:6,15 167:17
trades 89:10		100:8 102:8	uploaded 99:7	173:24 175:20
92:20,21,23	Tulalip 142:22	115:18 125:10	111:6	179:22
traditionally	turn 100:2	126:11 127:6	urged 84:4	viewed 128:10
55:20	turnout 99:11	129:20 135:3		villages 155:4
train 44:23	100:16	169:9 178:17	\mathbf{V}	violated 80:14,
trained 40:7,10	turns 91:5	179:16	valley 29:12,16	15
107:16,25	two-hour	understanding	33:25 34:3,5,15	violation 59:5
training 12:2,7,	149:24	23:12 24:4,9	35:1 36:6,25	107:15
8,19 20:24 35:5,	tying 33:18	28:12 66:2,4	37:8 91:1,3,14,	visuals 48:23
16 40:6 44:19	types 41:1	79:6 92:22	17,22,24 92:1,8,	
63:18 67:9	typical 38:7	102:24 103:24	11,14,18,23	voiced 48:10 78:5 93:18 94:8
trainings 45:1,8	typically 77:17	105:22 110:15	95:10 96:7,18	96:23 167:20
translation	81:2 137:8	120:11 124:4	151:10 164:20,	171:24
161:1	typo 8:11	127:4,6 128:2	23,25	voicemail
transmission		133:9	values 22:17,19	135:24 137:25
7:24 132:20	\mathbf{U}	understood 7:2	171:3	138:1
transmitting		23:9 39:11	variables 47:10	voices 22:13
132:3	U.S. 43:14	66:20 103:3	48:16,17	23:2,18,23
treasurer	163:24	110:13 120:6	variety 31:2	voicing 94:17
140:10	UCLA 73:5	121:7,8 127:9,	35:16,21 42:1	volunteer 33:10
treasury 143:18	Uh-huh 113:5	18,20 128:14,	45:13 48:16,24	
trial 8:13	123:9	16,18 129:16	57:23 84:14	vote 24:11,19
tribal 91:18	ultimate 74:12	153:7	160:3,4	25:20 47:17 68:18 69:6
151:14,16,19,	ultimately 72:17	undocumented	vast 49:9	71:20,22,24
22,24 176:20,25	74:22 78:23	36:22	venture 67:6	84:23 88:8
177:1 179:25	150:16	unfair 175:7,9,	venues 63:11	110:21 115:7
180:10	unaware 28:2	22	verbal 6:18	121:1 124:18,25
tribe 179:24	undergraduate	unfolds 43:2	verbally 168:25	125:13,22
tribes 34:11,13	29:24 30:2,4	United 33:19	Verification	126:1,14,18,20,
151:15	undermined	36:11	verification 118:15	22,23 127:1,2,5
trouble 19:5	104:6	universe 55:15		128:13,21,24
troubleshoot	undermines	universities 30:1	verify 170:16	164:15,20
51:13	171:3	40:25	version 154:11,	voted 25:14
true 171:23	underneath	university	23 159:4 170:15	69:15 85:15
trust 37:22 92:5,	141:6 148:2	29:22,23,24	versus 69:6	103:20 111:8,10
6 104:7,11,17		30:3,4,11,14	154:6	125:6 127:6
	I	I	I	1

SARAH AUGUSTINE - 10/06/2022 Index: v..Y

131:6 167:20	150:23 162:9,13	179:8	110:5	53:10,17 55:1
voter 57:21,22	164:23 165:11	warranted	weeks 13:11,15	77:23 88:10
85:9,15 121:24	166:20 172:6	167:14	14:24 47:2	91:21 122:10
164:6,8	VRA 66:16 68:9	warts 161:16	145:18	167:8,18 168:16
voters 22:20	70:12 73:25	Washington 5:1,	whichever 43:3	175:24
58:19 96:7	74:7,11,12,21,	25 22:10,20	white 5:25 164:6	workplace
99:11 104:1	24 76:3,6,21	23:10 25:5 29:6,	wide 161:16	35:13
173:4,5 174:1,9,	77:7 139:3,13,	10,11,23 30:11	widest 23:7	workplaces
11	15,16,23	34:7 40:5,12	winner 172:10	40:22
votes 68:22	140:14,21,23	65:11 95:6	winter 10:9	works 63:9
111:10	141:6,17,20	96:15 132:4,7,	wiser 160:13	Worse 171:2
voting 12:11,12,	142:1,11,14	12,14,18 150:12		wrapping
14 22:13 26:3	147:22 150:24	151:16,19	wishes 133:17	138:16
27:23 32:12	151:6	155:19 156:23	wondering	writes 118:12
37:1,9 38:19	vulnerable	157:8,15 164:16	33:22 140:20	119:3,18 123:18
43:22 45:6,23	35:24 79:18,19	165:12,15 167:2	word 30:18	130:19 131:2
49:5 51:2,4	173:3	171:4 172:10	46:13 158:2	writing 144:13
55:3,18,22 56:2,		173:14 175:4	words 6:20	175:15
3,5,9,13 58:22	\mathbf{W}	177:6,8 181:9	44:14 151:4	wrong 105:21
59:2,13 60:14	1 102 22	Washington's	wore 39:13	157:24 170:24
62:6 63:18	wade 102:23	7:19	work 13:21	wrote 21:7 22:3
65:20,21,25	wait 6:10,12	Washingtonians	16:15,20,23	119:9 139:3
66:3,6 67:1,4,8,	walk 22:4	180:23,24	17:22 18:3 19:2	140:5,14 141:25
11,13,21,24	Walkinshaw	watch 48:2	24:2 26:21 27:7,	142:2,14 144:1,
68:13,23 69:3,	77:5,9 82:18	ways 36:10 42:1	8,13 30:13 31:1,	6 147:22 148:2,
20 71:11 72:9	86:5 93:18	54:21 97:25	21,25 32:9,12,	5,16,22 170:18
79:3,7,23 80:3,	94:21,24 95:7,	115:24 168:6	14 34:22 35:3	172:5 173:23
5,7,10,14,15,17,	16,19 96:10,11,	website 20:5	39:2 47:6 49:17	175:25
19 82:9 83:3,22,	23 123:19	57:2 93:21,23	54:19 55:7	
23 84:3 85:11,	135:22 137:25	112:2 128:20	57:12 67:20 78:22 108:14	Y
13 87:16,20 89:1,5,17,18,22	146:15 159:1	133:15 135:13	133:4,6 160:14	
95:1 96:6,13	167:9,17,19	177:7	169:7 170:3	Yakama 34:11,
97:5 98:4,5,6,	168:8,16,22,24 169:11	Wednesday	175:13 176:5	13 91:18 151:8,
13,14,17 99:6,		136:7	workday 11:13	24 152:2,3,5,11,
24 106:20,24	wanted 18:25 47:15 77:3	week 27:7,9	worked 13:2	12 153:2,7,15
107:4,15,25	108:11 120:13	74:17 110:5	32:4,19,22,25	154:16,21
108:23 115:11	151:5 152:3,6	145:17	33:9,11 87:17	155:6,23 157:3,
126:8,9 128:8,	151.3 152.3,0	weekend 84:22	133:11	10,19 158:19
15 133:18	wanting 118:1	85:4,5 106:3	workers 36:17	159:5 173:7,8,9,
136:25 139:8	144:8	127:14	working 31:11,	11,15,20,25
140:17 144:17	Wapato 154:20	weekly 42:15,	16 34:25 44:5	174:14 176:19
146:8,17 147:2	**apatu 134.20	17,22,24 48:11	10 34.43 44.3	177:1,3,15,25
<u> </u>				

Index: Y..z

178:2 179:18 180:3,12 **Yakima** 13:9 27:6 29:12,15 33:24 34:3,5,20, 25 35:25 36:6, 25 37:4,8,13,22 91:1,3,13,17,21, 24 92:1,8,11,14, 18,23 95:10 96:7,18 151:9 164:20,23,25 173:12 177:13, 17,18,20 **year** 106:4 164:1 **years** 31:23 43:25 44:1 56:6 **young** 44:12 \mathbf{Z} **zoom** 6:8 10:24 50:4,5 63:22 126:1,2 154:25 158:22 177:9 179:6 **zoomed** 154:12